



СРПСКИ АРХИВ
ЗА ЦЕЛОКУПНО ЛЕКАРСТВО
SERBIAN ARCHIVES
OF MEDICINE

Address: 1 Kraljice Natalije Street, Belgrade 11000, Serbia

+381 11 4092 776, Fax: +381 11 3348 653

E-mail: office@srpskiarhiv.rs, Web address: www.srpskiarhiv.rs

Paper Accepted*

ISSN Online 2406-0895

Preliminary and Short Communication/ Претходно и кратко саопштење

Gordana Krljanac^{1,2}, Maja Stefanović^{3,4}, Zorica Mladenović^{5,6}, Marina Deljanin-Ilić^{7,8}, Aleksandra Janićijević⁹, Milica Stefanović⁹, Danijela Trifunović-Zamaklar^{1,2}, Aleksandar N. Nešković^{1,9}, Ivan Stanković^{1,9,†}

ECHOS survey on echocardiography in Serbia during the COVID-19 pandemic

Анализа спроведене анкете EXOC у Србији током пандемије COVID-19

¹University of Belgrade, Faculty of Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia;

²Clinical Centre of Serbia, Cardiology Clinic, Belgrade, Serbia;

³University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Novi Sad, Serbia;

⁴Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases of Vojvodina, Sremska Kamenica, Serbia;

⁵University of Defense, Medical Faculty, Belgrade, Serbia;

⁶Military Medical Academy, Belgrade, Serbia;

⁷University of Niš, Faculty of Medicine, Nis, Serbia;

⁸Niška Banja Institute for Treatment and Rehabilitation, Clinic of Cardiology, Niška Banja, Serbia;

⁹Zemun Clinical Hospital Centre, Department of Cardiology, Belgrade, Serbia

Received: June 6, 2020

Revised: September 13, 2020

Accepted: September 14, 2020

Online First: September 16, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH200606075K>

* **Accepted papers** are articles in press that have gone through due peer review process and have been accepted for publication by the Editorial Board of the *Serbian Archives of Medicine*. They have not yet been copy-edited and/or formatted in the publication house style, and the text may be changed before the final publication.

Although accepted papers do not yet have all the accompanying bibliographic details available, they can already be cited using the year of online publication and the DOI, as follows: the author's last name and initial of the first name, article title, journal title, online first publication month and year, and the DOI; e.g.: Petrović P, Jovanović J. The title of the article. *Srp Arh Celok Lek*. Online First, February 2017.

When the final article is assigned to volumes/issues of the journal, the Article in Press version will be removed and the final version will appear in the associated published volumes/issues of the journal. The date the article was made available online first will be carried over.

† **Correspondence to:**

Ivan STANKOVIĆ

Department of Cardiology, Zemun Clinical Hospital Centre, Vukova 9, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia

E-mail: future.ivan@gmail.com

ECHOS survey on echocardiography in Serbia during the COVID-19 pandemic

Анализа спроведене анкете ЕХОС у Србији током пандемије COVID-19

SUMMARY

Introduction/Objective The purpose of the current Echocardiographic Society of Serbia (ECHOS) survey was to assess echocardiography practice in Serbia during Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.

Methods An online survey consisting of 12 questions about the usage of echocardiography, the availability of portable ultrasound devices and personal protective equipment (PPE) was sent to all ECHOS members.

Results Overall, 126 ECHOS members (43%) answered the survey. One-third of respondents (36%) were physicians from specialized COVID-19 centers. During the pandemic, indications for echocardiographic examination were restricted in both COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 centers. In COVID-19 centers, 41% of respondents performed lung ultrasound (LUS) to each patient versus 26% in non-COVID-19 centers. Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) was not performed in suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases in any center. Portable ultrasound devices were available to 66% of respondents from COVID-19 versus 44% of respondents from non-COVID-19 centers ($p=0.018$). The respondents reported a regular use of PPE, regardless of the patient's COVID-19 status and found their personal knowledge about protective measures and use of PPE satisfactory.

Conclusion During the COVID-19 pandemic in Serbia, indications for echocardiography were restricted to clinical scenarios in which the results of examination were expected to alter the patient management. In both COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 centers, the use of PPE was in line with national and international recommendations. A wider availability of portable ultrasound devices and application of LUS could improve patient management in similar situations in the future.

Keywords: echocardiography, survey, COVID-19, Serbia

САЖЕТАК

Увод/Циљ Национална анкета Ехокардиографског удружења Србије (ЕХОС) спроведена је са циљем да се процени примена ехокардиографије у Србији током пандемије Корона вирус инфекције 2019 (енг. *Coronavirus disease-2019*; *COVID-19*).

Метод Анкета која се састојала од 12 питања о примени ехокардиографије, доступности преносивих ехокардиографских уређаја и личне заштитне опреме (ЛЗО) послата је електронским путем свим члановима ЕХОС-а.

Резултати Укупно је 126 чланова ЕХОС-а (43%) одговорило на анкету. Око трећине испитаника (36%) били су лекари из специјализованих COVID-19 центара. Током пандемије, индикације за ехокардиографски преглед биле су редуковане и у COVID-19 и у не-COVID-19 центрима. У COVID-19 центрима 41% испитаника је ултразвук плућа (УЗП) радило сваком пацијенту, док је тај проценат у не-COVID-19 центрима износио 26%. Трансезофагеална ехокардиографија (ТЕЕ) није рађена сумњивим или потврђеним случајевима COVID-19 у било којем центру. Доступност портабилних ултразвучних апарата пријавило је 66% испитаника COVID-19 центрима наспрам 44% испитаника у не-COVID-19 центрима ($p = 0.018$). Испитаници су пријавили редовну употребу ЛЗО, без обзира на COVID-19 статус пацијента и сматрали су да је њихово знање о мерама заштите и употреби ЛЗО задовољавајуће.

Закључак Током пандемије COVID-19 у Србији, индикације за ехокардиографију биле су редуковане и ограничене на случајеве где се очекивало да ће резултати прегледа утицати на ток лечења пацијената. Како у COVID-19 тако и у не-COVID-19 центрима употреба ЛЗО била је у складу са националним и међународним препорукама. Шира доступност портабилних и ручних ехокардиографских апарата и употреба УЗП-а могу бити од великог значаја за успешно превазилажење сличних ситуација у будућности.

Кључне речи: ехокардиографија; анкета; COVID-19; Србија

INTRODUCTION

The novel coronavirus 2019, or severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) that results in COVID-19 has reached pandemic level in March 2020 [1]. During the pandemic in Serbia, several hospitals were turned into specialized COVID-19 centers and have been providing care only to confirmed COVID-19 patients, while the remaining centers continued providing health services, including echocardiography, to presumably COVID-19 negative patients.

Apart from causing pneumonia, SARS-CoV-2 may also affect cardiovascular (CV) system, resulting in worse prognosis [2]. Consequently, in COVID-19 centers, a clinical suspicion of CV involvement in patients with severe COVID -19 disease is likely to trigger cardiac diagnostic work-up that typically includes echocardiography, as it was the case with other respiratory viruses in the past [3].

Cardiologists and other HCP performing echocardiography at both COVID and non-COVID-19 centers were at risk of getting infected and the availability of personal protective equipment (PPE) and the training on its proper use were of paramount importance to minimize the risk of infection [4, 5, 6]. The aim of the current Echocardiographic Society of Serbia (ECHOS) survey was to assess the usage of echocardiography and the availability of PPE during the pandemic in Serbia, in both COVID and non-COVID-19 centers.

METHODS

The survey was conducted from April 22 to April 30, 2020. All ECHOS members (293 members at the time of the survey) were invited to anonymously complete an online questionnaire consisting of 12 questions about the use of echocardiography during the COVID-19 pandemic, the availability of portable echocardiographic devices, PPE and education regarding the use of PPE. The data were collected and analyzed using commercially available software (PASW Statistics 18, version 18, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical data were summarized by proportions and compared using a Fisher's exact test. The test was two-tailed, and a p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

RESULTS

Overall, 126 ECHOS members (43%) from all regions of Serbia, answered the survey. Approximately one-third of respondents (36%) were from the COVID-19 centers. After the outbreak of the pandemic in Serbia, indications for echocardiographic examinations were restricted in COVID-19 as well as in non-COVID-19 centers, as shown in Figure 1.

Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) was not performed in suspected or confirmed cases of COVID-19 at any center – in patients in whom COVID-19 was not suspected, TEE was performed in 2% in COVID-19 and in 4% in non-COVID-19 centers. In COVID-19 centers, lung ultrasound (LUS) was performed in every patient by 41% respondents and only when pneumonia was suspected by 21% respondents, while 38% of respondents did not perform LUS at all. In non-COVID-19 centers these percentages were 26%, 25% and 49%, respectively (Figure 2).

Small, portable echocardiographic machines or hand-held ultrasound devices were available to 52% of respondents (66% from COVID-19 centers vs. 44% from non-COVID-19 centers, $p=0.018$). Available PPE in both types of centers is summarized in Figure 3. N95 respirator mask was more frequently available at COVID-19 compared to non-COVID-19 centers (84% vs. 38%, $p<0.00001$). The protocols of cleaning and disinfection of echocardiographic machines and probes were affected by the pandemic in both COVID and non-COVID-19 centers. A thorough disinfection of echocardiographic equipment regardless of COVID-19 status was performed in 35% of COVID-19 and 46% of non-COVID-19 centers ($p=0.25$). Respondents from both types of centers found their personal knowledge about protective measures and the use of PPE satisfactory but the majority stated that they could benefit from additional education, as shown in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

This survey was carried out by ECHOS around the peak of the pandemic in Serbia. At the time of the survey, there were a few national and global recommendations on cardiac imaging during the pandemic based on expert opinion, national guidelines, and available evidence [4–12].

After the outbreak of the corona virus pandemic in Serbia indications for echocardiographic examinations were restricted in COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 centers alike. This is in accordance with cardiac imaging societies' recommendations which advised that only essential echocardiographic studies should be performed, focusing solely on the acquisition of images needed to answer the clinical question that is likely to change the management strategy [5, 12]. The avoidance of performing TTE, and particularly TEE in patients in which the test results are unlikely to change the management strategy is recommended [5, 12]. The TEE increases the risk of spread of COVID-19 due to the exposure of HCP to aerosolization of large viral load [6, 12]. Therefore, it should not be performed if an alternative imaging modality is available [12]. In line with this, TEE was not performed in suspected or confirmed cases of COVID-19 at any center, but it was still performed when needed in selected COVID-19 negative cases.

Small, laptop-sized, portable machines and hand-held ultrasound devices were at disposal to 52% of respondents. This data, as the measure of quality of echocardiography practice in critically ill patients, at the time being, is not at the satisfactory level in Serbia. The "point of care" ultrasound (POCUS), focus cardiac ultrasound (FoCUS) and critical care echocardiography could be preferred bedside imaging options and effective alternatives for initial assessment and treatment guidance of CV complications of COVID19 infection [5, 8, 12].

In COVID-19 centers, LUS has been done by 62% of respondents whilst 38% did not perform LUS at all, suggesting that the usage of the LUS is not at a desirable level in Serbia. The current clinical evidence suggests that LUS may be useful for the diagnosis and prognosis of COVID-19 pneumonia [8]. However, limited evidence exists for the use of lung ultrasound to differentiate acute respiratory distress syndrome from heart failure [8].

During echocardiographic examinations, the N95 respirator mask was more often available at COVID-19 than non-COVID-19 centers. Worldwide, the level of PPE depended on the risk level of the patient with regard to COVID-19 status [13].

The Institute of Public Health of Serbia issued a series of recommendations for HCP providing care to suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients as well as negative COVID-19 patients [14]. Over the time, these national recommendations were updated in line with the new data. Thus, in COVID-19 centers, HCP should be protected by wearing a N95 respirator mask, coveralls or impermeable coat with cap, gloves and goggle or face shield [14, 15]. In non-COVID-19 centers, PPE consisting of surgical facemask, gown for a single use, gloves and face shield was considered sufficient [14, 15]. In our survey, a lower degree of protection was present in non-COVID-19 centers, which was in accordance with these recommendations.

It should also be underlined that the risk of infection remains in the examination rooms and therefore the equipment should be frequently sanitized [12, 16]. However, the cleaning and disinfection of echocardiographic machines and probes were performed a slightly less frequently at COVID centers than in non-COVID-19 centers, which was probably due to the impression that the risk of cross infection at COVID-19 centers was lower. Local standards vary, but echocardiogram machines and probes should be thoroughly cleaned, ideally in the patient's room and again in the hallway [12, 16]. Respondents from both types of centers found their personal knowledge of protective measures and the use of PPE satisfactory but needed additional education.

Although less than 50% of ECHOS members participated in the survey, this response rate is comparable to our previous and similar international surveys [17, 18, 19]. In addition, our survey was conducted several weeks after the outbreak of the epidemic in Serbia – it is, therefore, possible that initial shortages of PPE, which was a global phenomenon occurring even in more performant health systems, were not captured by the current survey. It would be worthwhile to repeat the current survey at the end of the pandemic and to include a larger number of participants.

CONCLUSION

This survey revealed that the usage of echocardiography during COVID-19 pandemic in Serbia was in line with international standards. In both COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 centers, the use of PPE was in line with national recommendations. A wider availability of portable ultrasound devices and usage of LUS could facilitate patient management in similar situations in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank all ECHOS members who answered the survey.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Paper accepted

REFERENCES

1. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. [cited March 11, 2020]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020>.
2. Zheng YY, Ma YT, Zhang JY, Xie X. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2020;17(5):259-60. doi:10.1038/s41569-020-0360-5 PMID:32139904
3. Stanković I, Obradović GD, Vidaković R, Maksimović R, Ilić I, Putniković B, et al. Severe short-lasting left ventricular dysfunction associated with a respiratory infection. *Srp Arh Celok Lek*. 2019;147(1-2):74-77 doi: 10.2298/SARH171013068S
4. Peng QY, Wang XT, Zhang LN. Using echocardiography to guide the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):143. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-02856-z PMID 32276598
5. Johri AM, Galen B, Kirkpatrick JN, Lanspa M, Mulvagh S, Thamman R. ASE statement on Point-of-Care ultrasound (POCUS) during the 2019 novel Coronavirus pandemic. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2020; 33(6): 670–673. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2020.04.017 PMID: 32503704
6. Mitchell C, Collins K, Hua L, McClanahan C, Shea E, Umland M, et al. Specific considerations for sonographers when performing echocardiograms during the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak: supplement to the American society of echocardiography statement. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2020;33(6):654-7. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2020.04.014 PMID:7151492
7. Szekely Y, Lichter Y, Taieb P, Banai A, Hochstadt A, Merdler I, et al. The spectrum of cardiac manifestations in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) - a systematic echocardiographic study. *Circulation*. 2020;142(4):342-53. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047971 PMID: 32469253
8. Soldati G, Smargiassi A, Inchingolo R, Buonsenso D, Perrone T, Briganti DF, et al. Is there a role for lung ultrasound during the COVID-19 pandemic? *J Ultrasound Med*. 2020;39(7):1459-1462. doi: 10.1002/jum.15284. PMID: 32198775
9. World Health Organization. (2020). Rational use of personal protective equipment for coronavirus disease (COVID-19): interim guidance, 27 February 2020. World Health Organization. [cited August 8, 2020]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/331215>. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
10. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Infection prevention and control for COVID-19 in healthcare settings – Fourth update. 3 July 2020. ECDC: Stockholm; 2020.. [cited August 8, 2020]. Available from: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Infection-prevention-control-for-the-care-of-patients-with-2019-nCoV-healthcare-settings_update-31-March-2020.pdf
11. AIUM. Guidelines for cleaning and preparing external-and internal-use ultrasound transducers between patients and safe handling and use of ultrasound coupling gel. [cited March 20, 2020] Available from: <https://www.aium.org/officialStatements/57>.
12. Skulstad H, Cosyns B, Popescu BA, Galderisi M, Salvo GD, Donal E, et al. COVID-19 Pandemic and Cardiac Imaging: EACVI Recommendations on precautions, indications, prioritization, and protection for patients and healthcare personnel. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020;21(6):592-598. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeaa072 PMID: 32242891
13. CDC. Strategies for optimizing the supply of facemasks. [cited March 20, 2020]. Available from <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/face-masks.html>.
14. Insitut za javno zdravlje Srbije “Dr Milan Jovanović Batut”. Lična zaštitna oprema. [cited Aug 8, 2020]. Available from: http://www.batut.org.rs/download/aktuelno/LZO%20za%20COVID-19_RSK%20za_BI_15.3.2020.pdf
15. European centre for disease prevention and control. Infection prevention and control for COVID-19 in healthcare settings – Fourth update. 3 July 2020. ECDC: Stockholm; 2020. [cited August 8, 2020]. Available from: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Infection-prevention-control-for-the-care-of-patients-with-2019-nCoV-healthcare-settings_update-31-March-2020.pdf
16. AIUM. Guidelines for cleaning and preparing external-and internal-use ultrasound transducers between patients and safe handling and use of ultrasound coupling gel. [cited March 20, 2020]. Available from: <https://www.aium.org/officialStatements/57>.
17. Stefanović M, Krljanac G, Mladenović Z, Trifunović-Zamaklar D, Nešković AN, Stanković I. Current echocardiography practice in Serbia: a national survey by the Echocardiographic Society of Serbia. *Srp Arh Celok Lek*. 2020;148(7-8):430-435. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH200125032S>
18. Holte E, Dweck MR, Marsan NA, D'Andrea A, Manka R, Stankovic I, et al. EACVI survey on the evaluation of infective endocarditis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020;21(8):828-32. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeaa066. PMID: 32361725
19. Stankovic I, Dweck MR, Marsan NA, Bergler-Klein J, Holte E, Manka R, et al. The EACVI survey on cardiac imaging in cardio-oncology. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2020 May 28;jeaa111. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeaa111. (in press)




Figure 1. Transthoracic echocardiographic examinations (TTE) in Serbia during the COVID-19 pandemic




Figure 2. Lung ultrasound (LUS) during COVID-19 pandemic




Figure 3. Summary of available personal protection equipment

Table 1. Summary of personal educational preferences regarding personal protection equipment during echocardiographic examinations

Personal educational stand	COVID-19 centers	Non-COVID-19 centers
My knowledge is complete	29%	20%
My knowledge is satisfactory but I need further education	60%	69%
My knowledge is insufficient	11%	11%

Paper accepted