



**СРПСКИ АРХИВ**  
ЗА ЦЕЛОКУПНО ЛЕКАРСТВО  
**SERBIAN ARCHIVES**  
OF MEDICINE

Address: 1 Kraljice Natalije Street, Belgrade 11000, Serbia

+381 11 4092 776, Fax: +381 11 3348 653

E-mail: [office@srpskiarhiv.rs](mailto:office@srpskiarhiv.rs), Web address: [www.srpskiarhiv.rs](http://www.srpskiarhiv.rs)

**Paper Accepted\***

**ISSN Online 2406-0895**

**Case Report / Приказ болесника**

Vesna Samardžić\*

**Upper extremity sensory training after stroke**

**Стимулација сензибилитета руке након можданог удара**

University of Montenegro, Faculty of Medicine, Study program of applied physiotherapy, Igalo, Montenegro

**Received: January 27, 2021**

**Revised: April 29, 2021**

**Accepted: May 9, 2021**

**Online First: May 11, 2021**

**DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH210127039S>**

\***Accepted papers** are articles in press that have gone through due peer review process and have been accepted for publication by the Editorial Board of the *Serbian Archives of Medicine*. They have not yet been copy-edited and/or formatted in the publication house style, and the text may be changed before the final publication.

Although accepted papers do not yet have all the accompanying bibliographic details available, they can already be cited using the year of online publication and the DOI, as follows: the author's last name and initial of the first name, article title, journal title, online first publication month and year, and the DOI; e.g.: Petrović P, Jovanović J. The title of the article. *Srp Arh Celok Lek*. Online First, February 2017.

When the final article is assigned to volumes/issues of the journal, the Article in Press version will be removed and the final version will appear in the associated published volumes/issues of the journal. The date the article was made available online first will be carried over.

**\*Correspondence to:**

Vesna SAMARDŽIĆ

Trebinjska bb, 85347 Igalo, Montenegro

[vesna.samardzic.1971@gmail.com](mailto:vesna.samardzic.1971@gmail.com)

[vesnas@ucg.ac.me](mailto:vesnas@ucg.ac.me)

## Upper extremity sensory training after stroke

### Стимулација сензибилитета руке након можданог удара

#### SUMMARY

**Introduction** Stroke is one of the leading causes for disability worldwide. After stroke, the majority of stroke survivors experience significant arm-hand impairments and a decreased use of the paretic arm and hand in daily life. Tactile sensibility of the hand is essential for identifying objects and for motor performance. Despite important sensory contributions to normal and abnormal movement, research has predominantly focused on motor aspects of stroke recovery. In this paper, we present the effect of sensory stimulation program on arm sensation and motor recovery in subacute stroke.

**Case outline** In a 65 years old woman the sensibility stimulation program was administered in subacute phase of post-stroke rehabilitation, six weeks after stroke, involving active and passive somatosensory intervention, motor control, coordination, strength and balance exercises. The rehabilitation protocol was applied for four weeks, five times a week.

On discharge, the results of physiotherapy assessment showed full recovery of her right arm and hand.

**Conclusion** This case report shows that precise assessment, problems identification and problem oriented somatosensory interventions can improve, for short period, functional motor performance of the arm involved in rehabilitation after stroke.

**Keywords:** sensory training, effectiveness, stroke, upper extremity, motor control

#### САЖЕТАК

**Увод** Мождани удар је водећи узрок онеспособљености у свету. Након удара, већина преживелих има значајна оштећења руке-шаке и редуковану употребу паретичног екстремитета у свакодневном животу. Очуван осећај у пределу шаке је основа за препознавање додиром и за покрет. Упркос значају сензибилитета за нормалан покрет, истраживања су претежно усмерена на моторни аспект опоравка након можданог удара. У овом раду представљамо утицај програма сензорне стимулације на сензибилитет и моторику руке у субакутној фази рехабилитације након можданог удара.

**Приказ болесника** Код 65-огодишње жене примењен је програм стимулације сензибилитета у субакутној фази опоравка, шест недеља након можданог удара. Програм се састојао из пасивних и активних интервенција стимулације сензибилитета, вежби моторне контроле, координације, јачања и баланса. Програм терапијских вежби се примењивао током четворонедељне рехабилитације, пет пута недељно. На отпусту, резултати физиотерапеутске процене су показали потпун опоравак руке.

**Закључак** Резултати нашег истраживања су показали да прецизна процена, препознавање проблема и примјена одговарајућих интервенција стимулације сензибилитета могу за кратко време унапредити моторну функцију паретичне руке након можданог удара.

**Кључне речи:** стимулација сензибилитета, учинковитост, рука, мождани удар, моторна контрола

## INTRODUCTION

Stroke is defined as the sudden onset of neurological signs and symptoms resulting from a disturbance of blood supply to the brain [1]. The number of people living with stroke is estimated to increase by 27% between 2017. and 2047. in the European Union, mainly because of population aging and improved survival rates [2]. Clinically, a variety of focal deficits are possible, including changes in the level of consciousness and impairments of sensory, motor, cognitive, perceptual and language functions. Proprioception, superficial

touch and temperature sensation losses are common [3] and the sensory impairments may affect the patient's ability to control and coordinate movement [1]. The proprioceptive senses, including static position sense and movement sense or kinesthesia, are critical for accurate movement, but are often impaired following stroke [4, 5]. These deficits significantly contribute to the patient's motor disability and largely determine the degree of recovery [6, 7].

Deficits in somatic sensations (body senses such as touch, temperature, pain, and proprioception) after stroke are common with prevalence rates variously reported to be 11–85% [8]. Approximately 50% of stroke patients have hand sensory impairments, especially in tactile and proprioceptive discrimination [9, 10]. Sensation is essential for safety even if there is adequate motor recovery [11]. Findings particularly suggest the importance of somatosensory function after stroke for recovery of precision grip force control [12], safety and dexterity in the paretic hand [13] and functional independence in activities of daily living (ADL) [14, 15].

Current findings showed that active and passive sensory retraining may be an effective intervention for improving the light touch threshold of the hand, dexterity, upper extremity (UE) motor function [10, 16] to improve the activity of daily living in stroke patients with impaired sensory motor abilities [17]. The quality of evidence is low to moderate [18, 19] so further research is required to determine the effectiveness of sensory training in stroke rehabilitation.

## **CASE REPORT**

The patient, a 65-year-old right-handed English teacher, sustained a left ischemic stroke in 2019. She had received hospitalization service and was discharged from the hospital two weeks after the acute moment. Physical therapy treatment consisted of early

verticalization and mobility exercise. She was able to walk on her own at the time of discharge from the hospital. Six weeks after the stroke she was admitted to a rehabilitation facility as inpatient for a four-week rehabilitation program. At the moment of the treatment, she was taking antihypertensive therapy. At clinical examination she presented normal muscular tone and mild muscle weakness at her right side (4 on MMT or higher) and significant weakness of her right hand intrinsics and all thumb muscles (2 to 3 on MMT). Motricity index (MI) was used to evaluate arm motor ability (pinch grip, elbow flexion, shoulder abduction) [20,21] and scored L: 100 R: 88/100. Despite satisfactory muscle strength, she was unable to perform functional movements. Tridigital and pinch grips were impossible and hook and lumbrical grasp were incomplete. The opposition of the thumb to the other fingers was possible but the fingers were not well directed. It was difficult to pick-up small objects. No weakness over LE. The patient's body structure and function impairments include impaired proprioception, kinesthesia and light touch sensation of her right hand. The perception of light touch was preserved but diminished compared to the left hand and precise localization of stimulus was lost. Mislocalization of touch sensations was present in the entire right palm and forearm. Joint position and arm motion reproduction was impossible. The right LE sensibility was intact.

Passive range of motion (ROM) was in functional range. Finger to nose test- right hand dysmetria was noted. Dysdiadochokinesia - right hand. Romberg negative. Tandem stance test: right leg back-positive at 5s and left leg back-negative but shaky. Tandem walking test with eyes open: unstable. Single leg stance: R 3s, L 10s.

The patient presented independent with ambulation and all basic ADLs. Physical function according to The Stroke Impact Scale 16 (SIS-16) [22] was scored 68/80. The ability to use the right hand in bilateral activities was reduced. She mostly performed activities with her left UE. She couldn't take or hold a cup in her right hand. She could not hold a pen or

sign. It was frustrating she could not hold a pen or sign because holding a pen and chalk was fundamental to her teaching profession. Reported participation restriction was regarding her paid work. There were no cognitive or speech impairments.

Short-term goals were: to improve sensibility, to hold a cup fulfilled with water in her right hand and to drink from it, to write short sentences and sign, to be stable in single leg stance.

Long-term goal: -return to the profession.

Physiotherapy treatment program consisted of sensory stimulation, motor control, strength and balance exercises. We placed different objects in her right hand while she was looking and then with her eyes closed. She tried to identify objects as they were placed in her hand again, one at a time. "Washing" and "dusting table" with a towel, wool socks and pieces of cloth of different textures. For texture identification, we made the patient recognize the difference in texture (cotton, velvet, cloth, paper towel, sponge, wool sock, sandpaper) by touch only. We applied stimulations with a cotton ball to both and then to her right forearm, wrist, hand and digits, volar and dorsal surfaces. She tried to identify and precisely localize the stimulus with her eyes closed. We also practiced hand movements into containers with rice, dry beans and corn. The patient had the task to feel an object (coin, eraser, paper clip) and then to try to find a matching object in the container. With her eyes closed and had someone else move her hand while holding a pencil, she tried to identify what letter, number or drawing was made.

Each exercise in treatment session was chosen to target a specific functional skill such as reaching, grasping and manipulating an object (ring, balls, pen, key, roller, plastic glass, clothespins). Grasp stability and adequate grips force were practiced. For manipulation we used spiky massage balls, roller and ring. We instructed the patient to grasp plastic cup and to try to determine how much pressure she was putting on to hold it. We practiced that the

patient takes a plastic cup, holds it in her right hand and brings it to her mouth as if she was going to drink from it, then we put first one and later two tangerines in a cup. The same task was performed with a cup half-filled with water. The patient was encouraged to count successful attempts. The tip-to-tip pinch, 3-jaw chuck (digital) and the key (lateral) pinch were practiced. We taught her to hold a pen first, to monitor the pressure of holding it and to allow movements required for writing. Writing was practiced: first lines and shapes, then letters, signature, words and sentences. During the last week of rehabilitation, we practiced writing on the board: instead of blackboard we pasted a sheet of paper on the wall and instead of chalk we used a thick felt pen.

Other exercises included bimanual alternative movements: clapping hands, playing the piano, thumb opposition and reposition.

Romberg stance, tandem stance and single leg stance balance exercises were performed. Tandem walking and fast walk with a stop at command were practiced.

Isotonic strengthening exercises with mild resistance: manual, putty (beige, red) springs, silicone balls (red and green), 10–15 repetition, 1–2 series, in progression 2–3 series.

Power (hook, fist, spherical, cylinder) and precision grips exercises using putty and silicone balls for resistance were administered. This exercise program (45 min) was applied once a day on weekdays for four weeks.

The final assessment: Subjectively, she reported a complete sensibility in her right hand. SIS-16 score: 77/80. Objectively, sensibility improved: she accurately recognized the location of applied stimuli. She could recognize and repeat position and movement in hand and finger joints. MI: L100, R 100. She could sign and write short sentences. She could write on a piece of paper taped to the wall. She could hold a plastic glass it in her right hand and drink from it. She could drink coffee from a small cup. The tandem walking was stable. Single leg stance: 10s bilateral.

Written consent for publication of this article has been obtained by the patient.

## DISCUSSION

Even though the fact that patients often complain about somatosensory disturbances, true prevalence of somatosensory impairment in stroke patients can be underestimated in clinical practice because motor symptoms usually raise greater awareness in the therapists while accompanying somatosensory deficits may be overlooked [23, 24]. Despite the importance of sensory contributions to normal and abnormal movement, research has predominantly focused on motor aspects of stroke recovery. There is lack of evidence that UE somatosensory training improves somatosensory impairment, motor control, function and participation after stroke. Also, the poor quality of current evidences [18, 19] assessing the effectiveness of sensory training after stroke suggests the need for further research. Our patient experience provided a unique opportunity to study the course and extent of UE motor recovery when sensibility disturbance is recognized and adequately treated. This case report points the importance of sensory information for motor function. Physiotherapy assessment revealed a somatosensory loss in right arm, a mild muscle weakness of right hand, thumb, fingers and right underarm, disturbance of motor control and fine coordination, difficulties in participating in instrumental ADLs and balance deficit. As she could not write, our patient could not practice her profession as a teacher. The decision that our rehabilitation protocol should involve sensory training was based on the results of physiotherapy assessment and current evidence. Precise assessment and identification of the problems were the first, and selection and application of adequate therapeutic interventions, the second step.

In similar study, findings showed that sensory retraining may be an effective adjunctive intervention for improving the light touch threshold of the hand, dexterity and upper limb motor function in chronic stroke survivors [16]. Serrada et al. concluded in 2019.

that the further high-quality research is required to determine the effectiveness of sensory retraining in stroke rehabilitation [19].

The rehabilitation protocol in our study mainly involved sensory and motor control training. Each evaluation test at discharge from rehabilitation unit showed quantitative and qualitative improvement. The level of manual ability of right arm in ADLs improved. This case report will provide an increased understanding of contributions of sensorimotor integration and sensorimotor learning to skilled hand movements post-stroke.

**Conflict of interest:** None declared.



## REFERENCES

1. Martin S, Kessler M. Neurologic intervention for physical therapy. 3rd edition. St Louis: Elsevier Saunders; 2016.
2. Wafa HA, Wolfe CDA, Emmett E, Roth GA, Johnson CO, Wang Y. Burden of stroke in Europe. *Stroke*. 2020;51(8):2418-2427. DOI:10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.029606. PMID: 32646325
3. Arya KN, Pandian S, Agarwal GG, Chaudhary N, Joshi AK. Effect of NE uroplasticity-principles-based Sensory-rehabilitation (NEPSEER) on sensory-motor recovery in stroke: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Neurological research and practice*, 2021; 3(1):8. DOI: 10.1186/s42466-021-00108-1. PMID:33536067.
4. Block HJ, Mirdamadi JL, Ryckman S, Lynch AK, Wilson R, Udayan D, et al. A tablet-based tool for accurate measurement of hand proprioception after stroke. *Journal of neurologic physical therapy*. 2019;43(2):106-116. DOI: 10.1097/NPT.0000000000000259. PMID: 30883498
5. Zandyliet SB, Kwakkel G, Nijland RHM, Van Wegen EEH, Meskers CGM. Is recovery of somatosensory impairment conditional for upper limb motor recovery early after stroke? *Sage*.2020; 34(5):403-416. DOI: 10.1177/1545968320907075. PMID: 32391744
6. Bernard-Espina J, Beranek M, Maier MA, Tagliabue M. Multisensory integration in stroke patients: A theoretical approach to reinterpret upper-limb proprioceptive deficits and visual compensation. *Front Neurosci*.2021;15: 646698.DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2021.646698. PMID: 33897359.
7. Galofaro E, D'Antonio E, Patane F, Casadio M, Masia L. Three-dimensional assessment of upper limb proprioception via a wearable exoskeleton. *Appl.Sci*. 2021; 11,2615. DOI: 10.3390/app11062615.
8. Hatem SM, Saussez G, dellaFaille M, Prist V, Zhang X, Dispa D, et al. Rehabilitation of motor function after stroke: A multiple systematic review focused on techniques to stimulate upper extremity recovery. *Frontier in human neuroscience*. 2016; 10:442. DOI: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00442. PMID: 27679565
9. Kessner SS, Schlemm E, Cheng B, Bingel U, Fiehler J, Gerloff C, Thomalla G. Somatosensory deficits after ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2019; 50: 1116-1123. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.118.023750. PMID: 30943883.
10. Umeki N, Murata J, Higashijima M. Effects of training for finger perception on functional recovery of hemiplegic upper limbs in acute stroke patients. *Occupational therapy international*. 2019 (2): 1-5. DOI: 10.1155/2019/6508261. PMID: 31777474
11. Huang Y, Jiao J, Hu J, Hsing C, Lai Z, Yang Y, et al. Measurement of sensory deficiency in fine touch after stroke during textile fabric stimulation by electroencephalography (EEG). *Neural Eng*. 2020;17(4):045007. DOI: 10.1088/1741-2552/aba160. PMID: 32613946
12. Pennati GV, Plantin J, Carment L, Roca P, Baron JC, Pavlova E, et al. Recovery and prediction of dynamic precision grip force control after stroke. *Stroke*. 2020;51:944-951. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.026205. PMID: 31906829
13. Pavlova EL, Borg J. Impact of tactile sensation on dexterity: a Cross-Sectional Study of Patients with impaired hand function after stroke. *J Mot Behav*. 2018; 50(2):134-143. DOI: 10.1080/00222895.2017.1306482. PMID: 28524811
14. Kessner SS, Bingel U, Thomalla G. Somatosensory deficits after stroke: a scoping review. *Stroke*. 2016;23(2):136-146. DOI: 10.1080/10749357.2015.1116822. PMID: 27078117
15. Chen PW, Baune NA, Zwir I, Wang J, Swamidass V, Wong AWK. Measuring activities of daily living in stroke patients with motion machine learning algorithms: A pilot study. *Environmental research and public health*. 2021;18(4):1634. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph 18041634. PMID: 33572116.
16. Hejazi-Shirmard M, Taghizadeh G, Azad A, Lajevardi L, Rassafiani M, Sensory retraining improves light touch threshold of the paretic hand in chronic stroke survivors: a single-subject A-B design. *Somatosensory&motor research*. 2020;37(2):74-83. DOI: 10.1080/08990220.2020.1736021. PMID: 32162568
17. Turville ML, Walker J, Blennerhassett JM, Carey LM. Experiences of upper limb somatosensory retraining in persons with stroke: An interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Front. Neurosci*. 2019; 13:756. DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00756. PMID: 31396040
18. Yilmazer C, Boccuni L, Thijs L, Verheyden G. Effectiveness of somatosensory interventions on somatosensory, motor and functional outcomes in the upper limb post-stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *NeuroRehabilitation*. 2019;44(4):459-477. DOI: 10.3233/NRE-192687. PMID: 31256086
19. Serrada I, Hordacre B, Hillier SL. Does Sensory Retraining Improve Sensation and Sensorimotor Function Following Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 2019; 13:402. DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00402. PMID: 3111447
20. Malmut L, Chen L, Srdanovic N, Kocherginsky M, Richard H, Shyam P. Arm subscore of motricity Index to predict recovery of upper limb dexterity in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *AJ Physical medicine&rehabilitation*. 2020; 99 (4): 3000-304. DOI: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000001326. PMID: 31592879

21. van Lieshout ECC, van de Port IG, Dijkhuizen RM, Visser-Meily JMA. Does upper limb strenght play a prominent roled in health-related quality of life in stroke patients discharged from impatient rehabilitation? *Stroke*. 2019; 27(7):525-533. DOI: 10.1080/10749357.2020.1738662. PMID: 32148183
22. Katzan IL, Thompson NR, Lapin B, Uchino K. Adde value of patient-reported outcome measures in stroke clinical practice. *J Am Heart Assoc*.2017; 6(7): e005356. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.005356. PMID: 28733434
23. Kessner SS, Schlemm E, Cheng B, Bingel U, Fiehler J, Gerloff C, et al. Somatosensory deficits after ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2019; 50:1116-1123. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.118.023750. PMID: 30943883
24. Edwards LL, King EM, Buetefisch CM, Borich MR. Putting the „sensory” into sensorimotor control: The role of sensorimotor integration in goal-directed hand movements after stroke. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience* 2019;13(16). DOI: 10.3389/fnint.2019.00016. PMID: 31191265

Paper accepted



**Figure 1.** Light touch evaluation with a piece of cotton wool



**Figure 2.** Spiky ball manipulation



**Figure 3.** Strengthening exercises using red color putty



**Figure 4.** Opposition of thumb and fingers using silicone ball for resistance