



Address: 1 Kraljice Natalije Street, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia 2 +381 11 4092 776, Fax: +381 11 3348 653 E-mail: office@srpskiarhiv.rs, Web address: www.srpskiarhiv.rs

# Paper Accepted<sup>\*</sup>

## **ISSN Online 2406-0895**

# Case Report / Приказ случаја

Zlatko Đurić<sup>1,†</sup>, Dragoljub Živanović<sup>2</sup>

# Complication during endoscopic removal of long esophageal foreign body in an 8-month-old girl

## Компликација током ендоскопског вађења дугачког страног тела из

једњака код девојчице узраста осам месеци

<sup>1</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Children's Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, University of Niš, Niš, Serbia; <sup>2</sup> Department of Surgery and Orthopedics, Children's Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, University of Niš, Niš, Serbia

Received: October 11, 2017 Accepted: April 4, 2018 Online First: : April 13, 2018 DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH171011034D

## <sup>†</sup> Correspondence to:

Zlatko ĐURIĆ Department of Gastroenterology, Children's Hospital, Niš, Serbia E-mail: **zldjuric@yahoo.com** 

<sup>\*</sup> Accepted papers are articles in press that have gone through due peer review process and have been accepted for publication by the Editorial Board of the *Serbian Archives of Medicine*. They have not yet been copy edited and/or formatted in the publication house style, and the text may be changed before the final publication.

Although accepted papers do not yet have all the accompanying bibliographic details available, they can already be cited using the year of online publication and the DOI, as follows: the author's last name and initial of the first name, article title, journal title, online first publication month and year, and the DOI; e.g.: Petrović P, Jovanović J. The title of the article. Srp Arh Celok Lek. Online First, February 2017.

When the final article is assigned to volumes/issues of the journal, the Article in Press version will be removed and the final version will appear in the associated published volumes/issues of the journal. The date the article was made available online first will be carried over.

# Complication during endoscopic removal of long esophageal foreign body in an 8-month-old girl

Компликација током ендоскопског вађења дугачког страног тела из једњака код девојчице узраста осам месеци

#### SUMMARY

**Introduction** Esophageal foreign bodies can cause a wide array of acute and delayed complications.

The aim of this paper was to report a complication during endoscopic removal of long esophageal foreign body.

**Case outline** An 8-month-old girl was admitted to our hospital because of acute onset of dysphagia, drooling and vomiting. From the result of a plain anteroposterior X-ray, the patient was found to have a long hair pin retained in her esophagus. During endoscopic removal through the use of a polypectomy snare, a hair pin was found to be stuck in the hypopharynx. It could not be removed by moving the scope and placing the patient in different positions. Finally, the hair pin was pulled out using a pair of Magill forceps under the control of a laryngoscope.

**Conclusion** The Magill forceps can be a very useful tool if the foreign body gets stuck in the hypopharynx during an endoscopic removal and should be readily available in any setting where this kind of endoscopic procedure is being performed.

**Keywords**: complication; esophageal; foreign body; endoscopy; removal; Magill forceps

#### Сажетак

Увод Страна тела у једњаку могу проузроковати велики број акутних и одложених компликација. Циљ овог рада је био да прикажемо компликацију у току одстрањивања дугог страног тела из једњака.

**Приказ болесника** Девојчица узраста 8 месеци примљена је у болницу због акутне дисфагије, хиперсаливације и повраћања. На нативној графији у антеропостериорном положају нађена је дугачка шнала која се задржала у једњаку. Током ендоскопског вађења уз примену омче за полипектомију шнала се заглавила у хипофарингсу. Није могла бити извађена покретима ендоскопа и постављањем болесника у различите положаје. Коначно, шнала је извађена уз примену Магиловог форцепса под контролом ларингоскопа.

Закључак Магилов форцепс може бити веома корисно оруђе ако се страно тело заглави у хипофарингсу током ендоскопског вађења и требало би да буде доступан у свим приликама када се обавља ова врста ендоскопске процедуре. Кључне речи: компликација; страно тело; ендоскопија; вађење; Магилов форцепс

## **INTRODUCTION**

Foreign body ingestion is a relatively common problem in the pediatric population. In most cases (98%), it occurs accidentally [1]. Foreign bodies most commonly found in the digestive tract are coins, batteries, magnets and toy parts. Approximately 80%–90% of ingested foreign bodies pass out of the body spontaneously while the rest 10%–20% may require non-surgical intervention or rarely (less than 1%) surgery [2].

Esophageal foreign bodies tend to be retained in areas of physiologic narrowing, such as the upper and lower esophageal sphincter and the level of the aortic arc. They can be more commonly found in children with anatomical defects (such as esophageal stenosis and diverticula), experience of previous surgery (such as treatment for esophageal atresia) or pre-existing disease (such as eosinophilic esophagitis) [3]. Children can be asymptomatic or can present symptoms such as the following: dysphagia, vomiting, drooling, refusal of meals, stridor, and respiratory distress. Regardless of being symptomatic or asymptomatic, these patients are prone to complications that range from negligible to life-threatening.

The aim of this paper was to report a complication during endoscopic removal of long esophageal foreign body.

## **CASE REPORT**

An 8-month-old girl was admitted to our hospital because of acute onset of dysphagia, drooling and vomiting. Her medical and family history was unremarkable. Physical examination showed signs of mild dehydration. A plain anteroposterior X-ray revealed a long hair pin in her esophagus (Figure 1). The patient was taken to the operating room for endoscopic removal of the hair pin under endotracheal general anesthesia. During a flexible esophagoscopy, after unsuccessful attempts to grasp the hair-pin by an alligator grasper, it was encircled using the polypectomy snare (Figure 2) and pulled up to the hypopharynx where it suddenly became stuck. It could not be removed either by the gentle left–right movements of the scope or by shifting the patient from the prone to the left and right lateral positions. Finally, the anesthesiologist grasped and pulled the 7-cm long pin out using Magill forceps under the control of a laryngoscope. Second-look esophagoscopy revealed mucosal erythema and a few erosions in the middle third of the esophagus. The post-procedural course was uneventful.



Figure 1. Anteroposterior X-ray – A long hair Figure 2. A hair pin encircled with polypectomy snare during the endoscopic removal.

#### DISCUSSION

Foreign bodies in the esophagus can cause a variety of complications, which can be divided into acute (erosions, deep laceration, ulcer, perforation, respiratory distress and even death) and delayed (stricture, esophago-tracheal and esophago-aortic fistulas) complications [4-7]. The rate of complications is directly proportional to the foreign body sharpness and impaction time [8]. Early recognition and endoscopic intervention is of paramount importance in order to prevent a wide array of potential complications.

A variety of therapeutic procedures have been described to remove esophageal foreign bodies. These include flexible and rigid endoscopy, Foley catheter extraction, bougienage and use of Magill forceps [9-11]. Flexible endoscopy under general anesthesia is the therapeutic procedure of choice because the foreign body can be directly removed and the esophagus can be examined for potential complications and other pathology [1]. Endoscopists should be familiar with different tools for

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH171011034D Copyright © Serbian Medical Society

According to the NASPGHAN (North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition) endoscopy committee's recently revised guidelines for management of ingested foreign bodies in children, the timing of the esophageal foreign body removal depends on the foreign body type, the presence of symptoms, and the time of the patient's last oral intake and can either be emergent [< 2 hours from presentation, regardless of nil per os (NPO) status] or urgent [< 24 hours from presentation, following usual NPO guidelines] [1]. In cases of long esophageal foreign bodies, like the one presented in this article, endoscopic intervention should be done urgently in case of both asymptomatic and symptomatic patients. When the patient is symptomatic, surgical consultation before endoscopy is mandatory as the impacted foreign body may have perforated the esophageal wall.

Magill forceps can also be used for fast and safe removal of foreign bodies from the pharynx and the proximal esophagus. Successful and complication-fee removal of coins by the use of this technique has been reported by Mahafza in case of 71 children and by Janik & Janik in case of 36 children [12, 13]. Arana *et al.* have reported foreign body extraction by Magill forceps in 28 children with foreign bodies in the oropharynx [14]. Of the 28 patients, 22 had ingested fish or poultry bones, and the rest had ingested glass or metal objects. In a recently published article, Turkish authors reported very good clinical experience in removal of different foreign bodies from proximal esophagus by Magill forceps in almost all of the 88 pediatric patients [11].

In conclusion, if a long foreign body is retained in the esophagus, an urgent endoscopic removal is absolutely necessary. The Magill forceps can be a very useful tool if the foreign body gets stuck in the hypopharynx during an endoscopic removal and should be readily available in any setting where this kind of endoscopic procedure is being performed.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Kramer RE, Lerner DG, Lin T, Manfredi M, Shah M, Stephen TC, et al. Management of ingested foreign bodies in children: a clinical report of the NASPGHAN Endoscopy Committee. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2015; 60(4): 562–74.
- 2. Louie MC, Bradin S. Foreign body ingestion and aspiration. Pediatr Rev. 2009; 30(8): 295–301.
- 3. Antoniou D, Christopoulos-Geroulanos G. Management of foreign body ingestion and food bolus impaction in children: a retrospective analysis of 675 cases. Turk J Pediatr. 2011; 53(4): 381–7.
- 4. Peters NJ, Mahajan JK, Bawa M, Chabbra A, Garg R, Rao KL. Esophageal perforations due to foreign body impaction in children. J Pediatr Surg. 2015; 50(8): 1260–3.
- 5. Lu YP, Yao M, Zhou XY, Huang B, Qi WB, Chen ZH, et al. False esophageal hiatus hernia caused by a foreign body: A fatal event. World J Gastroenterol. 2014; 20(39): 14510–4.
- Zhang YB, Gao ZG, Xiong QX, Zhang LF, Cai DT, Cai JB. Clinical experience in the treatment of children who swallowed multiple magnetic foreign bodies. A report of five cases. World J Pediatr. 2017; 13(3): 274– 7.
- Si X, Du B, Huang L. Multiple magnetic foreign bodies causing severe digestive tract injuries in a child. Case Rep Gastroenterol. 2016; 10(3): 720–7.

- 8. Hong KH, Kim YJ, Kim JH, Chun SW, Kim HK, Cho JH. Risk factors for complications associated with upper gastrointestinal foreign bodies. World J Gastroenterol. 2015; 21(26): 8125–31.
- 9. Bao WK. Study of foreign-body extraction from the upper third of the esophagus in children. Iran J Pediatr. 2014; 24(2): 214-8.
- 10. Waltzman M. Management of esophageal coins. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2006; 22(5): 367-70.
- 11. Oncel M, Sunam GS, Elsurer C, Yildiran H. Use of Magill forceps to remove foreign bodies in children. Surg J (NY). 2017; 3(2): e91–5.
- 12. Janik JE, Janik JS. Magill forceps extraction of upper esophageal coins. J Pediatr Surg. 2003; 38(2): 227-9.
- 13. Mahafza TM. Extracting coins from the upper end of the esophagus using a Magill forceps technique. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2002; 62(1): 37–9.
- 14. Arana A, Hauser B, Hachimi-Idrissi S, Vandenplas Y. Management of ingested foreign bodies in childhood and review of the literature. Eur J Pediatr. 2001; 160(8): 468–72.