



ORIGINAL ARTICLE / ОРИГИНАЛНИ РАД

Breech presentation – maternal and neonatal outcomes and obstetric challenges

Ivana Todić¹, Snežana Plešina^{2,3}, Tomislav Stefanović¹¹Zemun Clinical Hospital Centre, Hospital for Gynecology and Obstetrics, Belgrade, Serbia;²University of Belgrade, Faculty of Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia;³University Clinical Centre of Serbia, Clinical for Gynecology and Obstetrics, Belgrade, Serbia**SUMMARY****Introduction/Objective** Breech presentation occurs in 3–4% singleton pregnancies at term and its management is still a controversial in obstetric practice.

The aim of this study was to determine the factors that indicate breech delivery management and to compare maternal and neonatal outcomes in vaginal breech delivery, planned Cesarean section (C-section) and emergency C-section at the Hospital for Gynecology and Obstetrics of the Zemun Clinical Hospital Centre.

Methods This was a retrospective study conducted from 2015 to 2019. Depending on the mode of delivery, patients were divided in three group. In this study, we have analyzed maternal risk factors and postpartum complications, delivery details and neonatal characteristics and outcomes.**Results** The study included 176 women with singleton fetus in breech presentation. The incidence of breech deliveries was 2.12%. Most common way of delivery was vaginal with 47.72%. In all three groups, the majority of women were primiparous, at term, mostly without chronic and gestational diseases. Vaginal delivery was stimulated with oxytocin in 91.67%, and as a help for delivery various maneuvers were used. Maternal mortality and short-term complications during hospitalization period were reported in none of the groups. No significant difference in newborns birth weight between the groups was observed. The highest rate of birth injuries was in newborns from emergency C-section – 10%.**Conclusion** The results of our study have shown that vaginal delivery could be a very safe option for both mother and newborn.**Keywords:** breech presentation; vaginal delivery; cesarean section**INTRODUCTION**

Breech presentation is defined as fetal presentation with the buttocks and/or feet entering the pelvis first, instead of the head. The incidence of breech presentation decreases with gestational age and it occurs in 3–4% singleton pregnancies at term [1]. Depending on the position of the fetal legs, there are three main types of this presentation: Frank breech, complete breech, and incomplete breech. The type of breech presentation has an impact on the course of labor and possible complications. There are several risk factors that prevent spontaneous positioning of the fetus to cephalic presentation and contribute to the occurrence of the breech presentation those included multiparity, uterine malformations, placenta previa, prematurity, excessive amniotic fluid volume, macrosomia, fetal anomaly, previous breech presentation, fetal asphyxia, maternal anticonvulsant therapy, older maternal age [2]. The diagnosis of breech presentation is based on physical examination and ultrasound scan and it should include detailed information about the type of presentation, fetal head position, estimated fetal weight, amniotic fluid index, in order to make decision about the delivery management. Due to increased incidence of perinatal, neonatal and maternal morbidity and mortality compared to delivery in cephalic

presentation, breech presentation and delivery are marked as high risk [3].

Over the years the management of breech delivery, vaginal or cesarean section (C-section), has caused many controversies in obstetric practice. After the publication of the Term Breech Trial in 2000, in most countries the rate of vaginal breech delivery has significantly decreased and the cesarean birth is the preferred approach [1]. Recently, global concern about the high rate of C-section worldwide had an impact on rethinking of breech delivery management. Many international organizations and federations, including The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics, the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and the Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists of Canada support the vaginal breech birth [1].

The aim of this study was to determine the factors that indicate breech delivery management and to compare maternal and neonatal outcomes in vaginal breech delivery, planned C-section and emergency C-section.

METHODS

We conducted a retrospective clinical study that included women with a diagnosis of breech presentation, who were delivered at the

Received • Примљено:
July 3, 2023**Revised • Ревизија:**
April 24, 2024**Accepted • Прихваћено:**
June 14, 2024**Online first:** July 1, 2024**Correspondence to:**Ivana TODIĆ
Zemun Clinical Hospital Centre
Hospital for Gynecology and
Obstetrics
Karađorđeva 14
Belgrade 11000
Serbia
ivana9248@gmail.com

Hospital for Gynecology and Obstetrics, Zemun Clinical Hospital Centre from the January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2019. The study excluded women who had multiple gestation, intrauterine death, and those with incomplete medical data. For data collection we used birth protocols and data from computer database. All procedures in the study were following the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by Ethical committee of Zemun Clinical Hospital Center on March 21, 2023, with approval number 12/1.

Depending on the route of delivery patients were divided in three groups: vaginal delivery, planned C-section, and emergency C-section. Indications for C-section were absolute and relative defined by Association of Scientific Medical Societies in Germany [4]. Absolute indications were absolute disproportion, chorioamnionitis, maternal pelvic deformity, eclampsia and HELLP syndrome, fetal asphyxia, umbilical cord prolapse, placenta previa, abnormal lie and presentation and uterine rupture. Relative indications included pathological cardiotocography, failure to progress labor and previous C-section [4].

In each of the groups the following characteristics were recorded and analyzed:

1. maternal characteristics: age, parity, mode of conception, mother's medical history and associated diseases;
2. delivery details: spontaneous or stimulated with oxytocin, use of peridural analgesia, total duration of labor, prelabor rupture of membrane (PROM), maneuvers in vaginal breech delivery, episiotomy and perineal tear;
3. neonatal characteristics and outcomes: gestational age at birth, birth weight, length, head circumference, umbilical cord wrapped around the neck, 1st and 5th minute Apgar score, fetal complications as clavicle fracture, long bones fracture, brachial plexus injury, intracranial bleeding and need for intensive care unit;
4. Maternal postpartum complications: severe hemorrhage immediately postpartum, thrombosis, embolism, complications due to pre-existing disease, infections (wound infection, urinary infection and endometriosis) and incontinence.

Obtained study data were analyzed statistically using the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The categorical variables were stated as frequencies and percentages and quantitative variables as mean and standard deviation. ANOVA was used for comparison of numerical variables between followed groups. A two-sided p-value < 0.05 was defined as statistically significant. The results are presented in the tables.

RESULTS

Study included 176 women with singleton pregnancy, with fetus in breech presentation, who gave birth at the Hospital for Gynecology and Obstetrics, Zemun Clinical Centre in the observed five-year-period. The total number of deliveries over the study period was 8291, with an incidence of

2.12% of breech deliveries. Depending on the mode of delivery, patients were divided in three groups. First group included 84 women (47.72%) with breech presentation who had vaginal delivery, both spontaneously and stimulated with oxytocin. Second group included 42 women (23.86%) with breech presentation who had had elective C-section. Third group included 50 women (28.42%) breech presentation who had an emergency C-section.

Mean age of women in study was 30.79 ± 5.59 years, with age range 17–45 years. Using ANOVA, it was determined that age does not affect significantly the type of delivery ($p = 1.477$) (Table 1). In all three group the majority of them were primiparous women with 39 of them (46.4%) in the first group, 25 (59.5%) in the second and 36 (72%) in the third group (Table 1). The number of women with second pregnancy in the first group was 26 (31%), in the second group 12 (28.6%) and 10 (20%) in the last group, while the number of the multiparous women, with three and more pregnancies was decreasing between groups – 18 in group 1 (21.4%), five (11.9%) in group 2, and four in group 3 (8%). Common for all three groups was that the pregnancy has occurred spontaneously. In terms of maternal comorbidities, in the first group none of the women suffered from any chronic or gestational disease. In the second group, diseases were reported in five women – two women had gestational diabetes, two gestational hypertension, and one myopia and hypothyroidism in pregnancy. In the third group, gestational diseases were reported in five women – two preeclampsia, two gestational hypertension, and one gestational diabetes (Table 1).

At the time of delivery almost all women were at term 172 (97.7%). Women who gave birth vaginally were average at 38.75 ± 1.1 gestational week and there were two women in this group who were preterm, both 35 weeks. In the planned C-section group, the average gestation was 39.17 ± 1.1 weeks and there were not preterm births, but there were six post-term – 41 weeks. In the emergency The average gestation in C-section group was 38.9 ± 1.16 weeks, there were two preterm deliveries at 36 weeks, and four post term at 41 weeks (Table 1). The gestational age did not affect the way of ending childbirth (ANOVA, $p = 1.93$).

In the vaginal delivery group, in 80 women labor started spontaneously, two were hospitalized due to PROM, one was diagnosed with a partial placental abruption and one with an intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) (Table 1). Vaginal delivery was mostly stimulated with oxytocin in 77 women (91.67%), and in seven women labor occurred natural without stimulation in those who were all multipara and came to the hospital with the cervical dilatation more than 6 centimeters. Only two women had a peridural analgesia. For completing delivery, manual assistance was used and in most cases by Bracht in 70 women (83.3%), 12 (14.3%) Mauriceau–Smellie–Veit and two (2.4%) Müller. Episiotomy was performed in 68 women (80.95%) and two of them had the first-degree perineum tear and one cervical rupture. Only first-degree perineum tear was reported in four women (4.75%). Due to an adherent placenta in one woman manual exploration of uterine cavity was performed (Table 2). There were no cases of instrumental deliveries,

Table 1. Birth characteristics of mother and fetus by group

Parameters	Vaginal delivery	Planned C-section	Emergency C-section
Percent of delivered women	47.2%	23.86%	28.42%
Median age	30.3 ± 5.35	33.2 ± 5.45	29.6 ± 5.6
Primiparous women	46.4%	59.5%	72%
Full term	97.6%	85.7%	90%
Chronic disease or gestosis	0	14.2%	10%
Prelabor rupture of membrane	2.38%	0	62%
Fetal macrosomia	3.57%	14.3%	0
Previous uterine operation	0	21.4%	9.7%
Maternal mortality	0	0	0
Maternal short-term complications	0	0	0
Fetal weight	3077.85 g	3562 g	3115 g
Fetal length	51.65 cm	53 cm	51.6 cm
Fetal head circumference	34.75 cm	36.4 cm	35 cm
APGAR score 1/5'	9/10	9/10	9/10
Newborns birth injuries and complications	4%	0	10%

Table 2. Details of vaginal delivery

Parameters	Stimulated with oxytocin	Spontaneous delivery
Number of deliveries	77	7
Prelabor rupture of membrane	2	0
Bracht manual assistance	64	6
Mauriceau–Smellie–Veit manual assistance	11	1
Müller manual assistance	2	0
Episiotomy	67	1
First-degree perineal tear	5	1
Manual revision of uterine cavity	1	0

instrumental revision of uterine cavity, and perineal tear degree III and IV. The average time of total labor duration was three hours and 45 minutes. During hospitalization period, women who had vaginal delivery, did not had any short-term complications such as postpartum hemorrhage, infection, thromboembolic or other complications (Table 1).

In the group of women who had elective C-section indications were: nine (21.4%) had a previous operation on the uterus i.e., a previous c-section i.e., a myomectomy, six (14.3%) had fetal macrosomia, six (14.3%) post term pregnancy, five (11.9%) cephalopelvic disproportion, five (9.5%) uterine myomas, five (9.5%) oligohydramnios, five (9.5%) advanced maternal age and in one intervertebral disc operation (Table 1). Mean duration of labor in this group was 45 minutes. In women who had undergone an elective C-section, maternal mortality and complications in postoperative hospitalization period were not reported (Table 1).

Speaking about an emergency C-section, indication we divided in two subgroups. First subgroup, 19 of them (38%), were the ones whose labor started spontaneously as a vaginal delivery stimulated with oxytocin, and afterwards due to stasis, in dilatation phase in 14 and threatened fetal asphyxia in five, thus operative management of labor was necessary. For the rest, 31 women with emergency C-section indications were:

1. in 13 women with PROM associated with other conditions such as: five threatened fetal asphyxia, three

had previous uterine operation, three IUGR, uterine myomas, one preterm birth, and one had gestational diabetes;

2. in seven oligohydramnios;
3. in three post-term pregnancy;
4. severe preeclampsia (Table 1).

Average labor duration in this group was one hour and 26 minutes, because in some of the women the labor started spontaneously. In this group, maternal mortality and short-term complications during postoperative hospitalization period were not reported (Table 1).

Results related to newborns showed that the average body weight of babies from vaginal delivery were weight 3077.85 gr, length 51.65 cm and head circumference 34.75 cm, in planned C-section it was 3562 gr, length 53 cm and head circumference 36.4 cm and in newborns from the emergency C-section weight was 3115 gr, length 51.6 cm and head circumference 35 cm (Table 1). There was no statistically significant difference in newborns' birth weight between the groups ($p > 0.005$). In all three groups the mean APGAR score in first minute was 9 and in the fifth minute it was 10 (Table 1). Although in one newborn from vaginal birth APGAR score was 3/5, and in two newborns from emergency C-section was 5/7, all of them had recovered and were stable in the 10th minute of life. The umbilical cord wrapped around the neck was noticed in 12 (14%) of newborns from vaginal, in six (14.2%) from elective C-section births, and in 11 (22%) of babies from emergency C-section. Birth complications were present in three newborns vaginal delivery group and they were perinatal asphyxia and respiratory distress syndrome, intracranial hemorrhage, and a clavicle fracture (Table 1). Neonatal birth complications in emergency C-section group were present in six (10%) babies and they were: respiratory distress syndrome in three newborns, brain infection, intracranial hemorrhage, and paresis of brachial plexus (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

The incidence of breech deliveries over the five-year observed study period was about 2–3%, which is in accordance with the incidence worldwide [1]. During the last decades, overall rate of C-section has significantly increased, which is followed by an increase number of breech presentations escalating to C-section [5]. This has led to the loss of familiarity with vaginal breech delivery techniques and skills, especially in younger obstetricians, leaving the C-section often as the only available option. Today there is a global concern about high Caesarean rates worldwide and an urge to return to traditional obstetrics and vaginal delivery. Therefore, it is not surprising that lately there is more support for performing vaginal delivery in breech presentation. Nowadays, we have recommendations in this manner from the French College of Gynecologists and Obstetricians and The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists [6, 7].

The results of our study showed that almost a half of women had a vaginal delivery (47.72%), which was similar

to results of some authors from France and Belgium, where the breech delivery was managed following strict protocols. If we compare obtained results with other studies in Serbia, an increased rate of C-section is noticed in a five-year-period [8, 9]. The number of women undergoing vaginal breech delivery still remains high comparing to some other results both from Europe and worldwide, where C-section rates are as high as 70% and over [1, 6, 7, 10, 11]. Almost all the women who had vaginal delivery were at term, healthy, with estimated birth weight less than 4000 gr, so they had no contraindications for vaginal delivery. In terms of parity, primiparous women were the most numerous in all three groups, but with the highest rate in emergency C-section group. Nulliparity is considered as a risk factor for failed vaginal labor and other authors also reported high rates in C-section groups [12]. In this study, the majority of women were stimulated with oxytocin, which other authors do not report and we had a rare use of epidural analgesia which is considered to be effective for women in vaginal birth [1, 2, 13, 14]. Our patients did not go under labor induction, which is one of the factors that adversely affects the outcome of vaginal birth [2, 14]. Bracht's maneuver was used as a help for delivery of the fetal head, while some other reported Mauriceau–Smellie–Veit, which was present with less than 15% in our study [15]. The percentage of performed episiotomy was over 80%, which could be considered as high. due to the opinion that it is something that should not be done routinely, but the variable data are found in literature [1, 14]. Nevertheless, in our study, there were not instrumental deliveries such as outlet forceps for the delivery of fetal head [15]. In the vaginal delivery group, there were no postpartum complications such as bleeding or infections, as well as maternal death, which could be seen as a very good indicator of a safe delivery [16]. The newborns from vaginal birth had an average 9/10 APGAR score, and the majority of them was without any injuries and did not need access to intensive care units, also there was no recorded fetal or neonatal deaths [3]. Fetal birth asphyxia was less frequent in vaginal delivery than in emergency C-section [17].

The elective C-section was the least common mode of delivery and it was performed in less than third of the women (23.86%). Results of the study showed that most frequent indication for C-section was previous uterine surgery and dominantly previous C-section. This is with accordance to similar studies, which confirms that primary C-section leads to the next one, even when vaginal labor could be a safe option [18]. For primiparous women, who were the most frequent in this group, indications were cephalopelvic disproportion, fetal macrosomia, post term pregnancy and oligohydramnios. Estimated birth weight over 3500 gr and post term pregnancy are found to be common indication for elective C-section, especially in primiparous like our patients [2, 17]. In none of the women the indication was just fetal malpresentation i.e., breech presentation or maternal choice [2, 5]. There were no maternal and neonatal complications recorded in elective C-section group, which is in accordance with the current evidence on short-term benefits for the mother and baby with this way of the breech delivery [7].

In a third of patients, an emergency C-section was performed, which is more than the others have reported, mostly due to a higher rate of planned C-section as a safer option [1, 5]. The percentage of primiparous women in this group was the highest in compare to previous ones and most of them were at term pregnancies. We have noticed that in 40% of them the labor was planned as vaginal, but mostly due to stasis in dilatation phase i.e., dysfunctional labor or due to threatened fetal asphyxia it was finished operatively. Previously mentioned conditions and umbilical cord prolapse, which did not occur in our population, are found as ones that leads to emergency C-section [15]. Other indications were previous uterine operation, IUGR, uterine myomas, and PROM. Although the majority of newborns had a mean APGAR score 9/10, in this group we had a 10% of birth injuries and complications and they included respiratory distress syndrome, brain infection, intracranial hemorrhage, and paresis of brachial plexus. One of the limitations of this study was that we do not have available data whether those newborns admitted to neonatal intensive care unit because after birth they were transferred to another medical institution for further diagnosis and treatment. For the same reason, eventual long-term consequences in those babies remain unknown. However, the obtained data suggest that emergency childbirth should be avoided and emphasize the importance of proper planning of breech delivery.

Concerning the fetal weight as a very important factor that affects the decision of breech delivery ending, this parameter was analyzed. The average birth weight in all three groups was over 3000 gr (3077–3159 gr) and there was no statistically significant difference in newborns birth weight between the groups, which an important predictor for a successful vaginal delivery [12]. However, the largest average birth weight was noticed in planned C-section group where the fetal macrosomia was the second most common indication for elective C-section. This result is in accordance with other researches as well with recommendations about the importance of correct estimate of the fetal size and confirms that the decision of planning C-section in cases of fetal macrosomia is completely justified [19]. In addition to fetal weight, other important factor that could affect delivery outcome are woman's characteristics presented with obstetrical conjugate. Although there was a high incidence of cephalopelvic disproportion in both planned and emergency C-section group, in the study we have not specifically analyzed this parameter, which is also one of the study limitations.

CONCLUSION

The results of our study have shown that vaginal delivery is very safe option for both mother and newborn. Obstetric skills and accurate prenatal maternal and fetal assessment are the key for making the best possible decision on delivery management.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

- Derisbourg S, Costa E, De Luca L, Amirgholami S, Bogne Kamdem V, Vercoutere A, et al. Impact of implementation of a breech clinic in a tertiary hospital. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2020;20(1):435. [DOI: 10.1186/s12884-020-03122-4] [PMID: 32727421]
- Gunay T, Turgut A, Demircivi Bor E, Hocaoglu M. Comparison of maternal and fetal complications in pregnant women with breech presentation undergoing spontaneous or induced vaginal delivery, or cesarean delivery. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;59(3):392–7. [DOI: 10.1016/j.tjog.2020.03.010] [PMID: 32416886]
- Assefa F, Girma W, Woldie M, Getachew B. Birth outcomes of singleton term breech deliveries in Jimma University Medical Center, Southwest Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2019;12(1):428. [DOI: 10.1186/s13104-019-4442-6] [PMID: 31315636]
- Mylonas I, Friese K. Indications for and Risks of Elective Cesarean Section. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2015;112(29–30):489–95. [DOI: 10.3238/arztebl.2015.0489] [PMID: 26249251]
- Morton R, Burton AE, Kumar P, Hyett JA, Phipps H, McGeechan K, et al. Cesarean delivery: Trend in indications over three decades within a major city hospital network. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2020;99(7):909–16. [DOI: 10.1111/aogs.13816] [PMID: 31976544]
- Sentilhes L, Schmitz T, Azria E, Gallot D, Ducarme G, Korb D, et al. Breech presentation: Clinical practice guidelines from the French College of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians (CNGOF). *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2020;252:599–604. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.03.033] [PMID: 32249011]
- The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Committee Opinion No. 745: Mode of Term Singleton Breech Delivery. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(2):e60–e63. [DOI:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002755] [PMID: 30045211]
- Djuric J, Arsenijevic S, Bankovic D, Protrka Z, Sorak M, Dimitrijevic A, et al. Breech Presentation at Term: Cesarean Section or Vaginal Delivery?. *Srp Arh Celok Lek*. 2011;139(3–4):155–60. [DOI: 10.2298/SARH1104155D] [PMID: 21626760]
- Glisic A, Divac N, Ilic-Mostic T, Bila J, Milosevic M, Basailovic M. Surgical complications of cesarean section. *Srp Arh Celok Lek*. 2019;147(11–12):688–91. [DOI: 10.2298/SARH190116040G]
- Casteels M, Povevyn K, Vanoverschelde H, Louwen F. Implementation of a breech program in a Belgian obstetric team. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2022;158(2):432–8. [DOI: 10.1002/ijgo.14003] [PMID: 34735728]
- Negrini R, D'Albuquerque IMSC, de Cássia Sanchez E Oliveira R, Ferreira RDDS, De Stefani LFB, Podgaec S. Strategies to reduce the caesarean section rate in a private hospital and their impact. *BMJ Open Qual*. 2021;10(3):e001215. [DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-001215] [PMID: 34385187]
- Parissenti TK, Hebisch G, Sell W, Staedele PE, Viereck V, Fehr MK. Risk factors for emergency caesarean section in planned vaginal breech delivery. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2017;295(1):51–8. [DOI: 10.1007/s00404-016-4190-y] [PMID: 27631406]
- GJ Hofmeyer. Delivery of the singleton fetus in breech presentation. In: *UpToDate Post TW* (Ed), *UpToDate*, Waltham, MA. (Accessed on May 2023)
- Pulido Valente M, Carvalho Afonso M, Clode N. Is Vaginal Breech Delivery Still a Safe Option? *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet*. 2020;42(11):712–6. [DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1713804] [PMID: 33254265]
- Wasim T, Wasim AZ, Majrooh MA. Singleton vaginal breech delivery at term: maternal and perinatal outcome. *Annals of King Edward Medical University*. 2017;23(1). [DOI: 10.21649/akemu.v23i1.1491]
- Basnet T, Thapa BD, Das D, Shrestha R, Sitaula S, Thapa A. Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes of Singleton Term Breech Vaginal Delivery at a Tertiary Care Center in Nepal: A Retrospective Analysis. *Obstet Gynecol Int*. 2020;2020:4039140. [DOI: 10.1155/2020/4039140] [PMID: 33299421]
- Babovic I, Arandjelovic M, Plesinac S, Sparic R. Vaginal Delivery or Cesarean Section at Term Breech Delivery – Chance or Risk?. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2016;29(12):1930–4. [DOI: 10.3109/14767058.2015.1067768] [PMID: 26169706]
- Macharey G, Toijonen A, Hinnenberg P, Gissler M, Heinonen S, Ziller V. Term cesarean breech delivery in the first pregnancy is associated with an increased risk for maternal and neonatal morbidity in the subsequent delivery: a national cohort study. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2020;302(1):85–91. [DOI: 10.1007/s00404-020-05575-6] [PMID: 32409926]
- Zander N, Raimann FJ, Al Naimi A, Brüggmann D, Louwen F, Jennewein L. Combined Assessment of the Obstetrical Conjugate and Fetal Birth Weight Predicts Birth Mode Outcome in Vaginally Intended Breech Deliveries of Primiparous Women-A Frabat Study. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(11):3201. [DOI: 10.3390/jcm11113201] [PMID: 35683588]

Карлична презентација плода – матерални, неонатални исходи порођаја и савремени акушерски изазови

Ивана Тодић¹, Снежана Плешинац^{2,3}, Томислав Стефановић¹

¹Клиничко-болнички центар „Земун“, Болница за гинекологију и акушерство, Београд, Србија;

²Универзитет у Београду, Медицински факултет, Београд, Србија;

³Универзитетски клинички центар Србије, Клиника за гинекологију и акушерство, Београд, Србија

САЖЕТАК

Увод/Циљ Карлична презентација плода се јавља у 3–4% једноплодних терминских трудноћа и начин завршавања порођаја код ње још увек представља контроверзу у акушерској пракси.

Циљ ове студије био је да утврди факторе који су утицали на вођење и начин завршетка порођаја код карличне презентације плода и да упореди матералне и неонаталне исходе порођаја код вагиналног порођаја, планираног царског реза и хитног царског реза у Болници за гинекологију и акушерство Клиничко-болничког центра „Земун“.

Метод Истраживање је спроведено као ретроспективна клиничка студија у периоду од 2015. до 2019. године. У зависности од начина завршетка порођаја породиље су биле подељене у три групе. У истраживању смо анализирали факторе ризика од стране мајке и њене постпарталне компликације, карактеристике порођаја и неонаталне исходе порођаја.

Резултати Истраживање је обухватило 176 жена са једноплодним трудноћом и фетусом у карличној презентацији. Учесталост порођаја са карличном презентацијом плода је била 2,12%. Најчешћи начин завршетка порођаја био је вагинални – 47,72%. У све три испитиване групе најзаступљеније су биле прворотке, у термину, без хроничних обољења и гестоза. Вагинални порођај је био стимулисан окситоцином у 91,67% случајева и као помоћ при порођају коришћени су различити маневри. Смртност мајке и краткорочне компликације током периода хоспитализације нису забележене ни у једној групи. Није примећена значајна разлика у тежини новорођенчета између група. Највећа стопа порођајних повреда забележена је код новорођенчади рођених хитним царским резом – 10%.

Закључак Резултати нашег истраживања указују да би вагинални порођај могао да представља врло безбедну опцију и за мајку и новорођенче.

Кључне речи: карлична презентација; вагинални порођај; царски рез