

# СРПСКИ АРХИВ

ЗА ЦЕЛОКУПНО ЛЕКАРСТВО

# **SERBIAN ARCHIVES**

# OF MEDICINE

## **Paper Accepted\***

### **ISSN Online 2406-0895**

## Case Report / Приказ болесника

Aleksandar R. Karamarković<sup>1,2</sup>, Vladica V. Ćuk<sup>1,2</sup>, Jovan T. Juloski<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

# Resection of inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma and reconstruction using ProxiCor patch

Ресекција лејомиосаркома доње шупље вене и реконструкција ProxiCor-ом

<sup>1</sup>Zvezdara University Clinical Center, Nikola Spasić Surgical Clinic, Belgrade, Serbia; <sup>2</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia

Received: February 6, 2023 Revised: June 4, 2023 Accepted: June 22, 2023 Online First: July 10, 2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH230206069K

Although accepted papers do not yet have all the accompanying bibliographic details available, they can already be cited using the year of online publication and the DOI, as follows: the author's last name and initial of the first name, article title, journal title, online first publication month and year, and the DOI; e.g.: Petrović P, Jovanović J. The title of the article. Srp Arh Celok Lek. Online First, February 2017.

When the final article is assigned to volumes/issues of the journal, the Article in Press version will be removed and the final version will appear in the associated published volumes/issues of the journal. The date the article was made available online first will be carried over.

#### \*Correspondence to:

Jovan JULOSKI Zvezdara University Clinical Center, Nikola Spasić Surgical Clinic, Dimitrija Tucovića 161, 11050 Belgrade, Serbia E-mail: **jovan.juloski@gmail.com** 

<sup>\*</sup>Accepted papers are articles in press that have gone through due peer review process and have been accepted for publication by the Editorial Board of the *Serbian Archives of Medicine*. They have not yet been copy-edited and/or formatted in the publication house style, and the text may be changed before the final publication.

# Resection of inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma and reconstruction using ProxiCor patch

### Ресекција лејомиосаркома доње шупље вене и реконструкција ProxiCor-ом

#### SUMMARY

**Introduction** Leiomyosarcoma (LMS) of the inferior vena cava (IVC) is a rare mesenchymal tumor originating from the endothelial smooth muscle of the intima and account for about 1–2% of all the sarcomas of the soft tissue.

The objective of this article is to show a case of IVC leiomyosarcoma, it's resection and reconstruction using ProxiCor.

**Case outlines** We showed a case of a 65-year-old woman presented with abdominal pain and mass in subhepatic space, who underwent surgery and resection of a leiomyosarcoma of IVC. IVC was reconstructed with ProxiCor patch, and patohistology confirmed that it was leiomyosarcoma.

**Conclusion** Our experience has shown that the application of extracellular matrix (ECM) is safe and has given a satisfactory treatment result. A comparison with a much larger number of patients will give a true picture of the advantages and disadvantages of this type of material in vascular reconstructive procedures.

**Keywords:** inferior vena cava; leiomyosarcoma; ProxiCor

Сажетак

Увод Сарком (ЛМС) доње шупље вене је редак мезенхимални тумор порекла ендотелијалних глатких мишићних ћелија интиме и чини око 1–2% свих саркома меких ткива. Циљ овог рада је да прикаже случај пацијенткиње са лејомиосаркомом доње шупље вене, ресекцију тог тумора и реконструкцију са *ProxiCor* zakrpom.

**Приказ болесника** Приказан је случај пацијенткиње старе 65 година, са боловима у трбуху и масом у субхепатичном простору. Код пацијенткиње је урађена хируршка ресекција тумора, са реконструкцијом доње шупље вене закрпом *ProxiCor*, док је патохистолошка анализа потврдила да се ради и лејомиосакрому.

Закључак Наше искуство је показало да је употреба екстрацелуларног матрикса сигурна и да је дала задовољавајуће резултате у лечењу. Поређење са знатно већим бројем пацијената пружиће бољи увид у предности и мане овог материјала у васкуларним реконструктивним процедурама.

Кључне речи: доња шупља вена; лејомиосарком; ProxiCor

### INTRODUCTION

Leiomyosarcoma (LMS) of the inferior vena cava (IVC) is a rare mesenchymal tumor originating from the endothelial smooth muscle of the intima and account for about 1–2% of all the sarcomas of soft tissue [1]. From Perl and Virchow's first description in 1871 until today, less than 450 cases have been reported in literature [2]. Women in the fifth to sixth decade of life are dominantly affected [3]. Radical tumor resection was associated with better 5- and 10-year survival rates 49.4% and 29.5%, respectively [3].

Because this disease is not common, data for management of this tumor are scarce and radical removal with grossly negative margins is considered the main treatment option [4]. Reconstruction techniques of IVC vary greatly in the published literature so far, which makes it difficult to form a consensus on the most appropriate reconstruction strategies, especially when achieving negative margins requires reconstruction in the form of interposition of different grafts [5, 6].

The purpose of this case report is to document our center's experience with IVC reconstruction using ProxiCor after "en block" resection of leiomyosarcoma and the belonging segment of the IVC in order to achieve negative resection margins.

#### **CASE REPORT**

A 65-year-old female with a recent history of chronic abdominal pain was referred to our clinic. An abdominal CT scan showed a mass of  $73 \times 56 \times 54$  mm in the subhepatic space, just below the right adrenal gland in close relationship with the IVC (Figure 1a and 1b). Esophago-gastro-duodenoscopy and colonoscopy showed normal findings.

After multidisciplinary evaluation of the case, the patient was offered surgical treatment. Through midline incision, and after Cattell-Braasch and a Kocher maneuver, the infrahepatic IVC and renal veins were exposed. Intraoperative finding showed that previously described mass originated from the anterior aspect of the upper segment of the IVC, and it didn't infiltrate adjacent structures. Both renal veins and the right gonadal vein were prepared and reined in (Figure 1). A cranial and caudal clamping of the IVC was applied and the tumor was resected (Figure 2).

The vena cava defect of approximately 7 cm in size was reconstructed using a ProxiCor patch.

The patch was sutured with two 5/0 polypropilene running sutures (Figure. 3). A heparinized solution was injected into the vessel and vascular clamp was then removed with good results.

Patient tolerated the procedure well and had no complications during patients's stay in the hospital. The patient was discharged in 9<sup>th</sup> postoperative day with oral anticoagulant therapy.

No symptoms, nor complications were observed during the postoperative period and checkups.

The histomorphological and immunohistochemical characteristics of the tumor correspond to a high-grade primary malignant mesenchymal tumor of smooth muscle differentiation of the leiomyosarcoma type, the largest diameter of which 67 mm.

No evidence of disease at the time of this report, six months after the surgery.

This case report was approved by the institutional ethics committee, and written consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of this case report and any accompanying images.

#### DISCUSSION

Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava (IVC) are exceedingly rare and with an incidence < 1/100,000 of all adult malignancies [7]. Because of the rarity of the disease, case studies and case reports are making the majority of available literature, thus are clinical decisions in everyday practice so difficult and no consensus on ideal management of these tumors exist. To our knowledge, surgical resection with negative margins is currently the only curative treatment and the best predictor of long-term survivals for leiomyosarcoma of the IVC [8]. In study conducted by Hines et al. [9], which included 14 patients with IVC leiomyosarcomas, 5-year survival rate in patients who had positive margins was 0%, on the other hand, patients with negative margins and 0% in group of patients with positive margins. On the other hand, a recent study has shown that neither disease-free survival rate, nor overall survival wasn't influenced by microscopic margin status [2].

In rare cases, the slow growth of the tumor causing complete obstruction of VCI and promotes the development of numerous collaterals, allows radical surgery without vascular reconstruction of IVC; however, low extremity edema is a frequent complication [11].

In the majority of cases, after resection of the IVC due to LMS, vascular reconstruction is often required. In the previous literature, the highest percentage of reconstruction involves the use of PTFE graft [12]. In a study by Wachtel et al. among the 315 cases (83.6%) with provided operative details, the IVC was ligated in 20.3%, primarily repaired in 21.9%, and replaced by prosthetic graft in 49.2%. Other types of materials that were used in reconstruction of IVC included: cadaveric graft (3.2%), bovine pericardium (1.6%), autologous vein (3.5%), and autologous peritoneum (0.3%) (12).

The use of biological material in IVC reconstruction is extremely rare [12, 13]. Therefore, the main indication for the application of biological material remains after the removal of the infected prosthetic graft [13]. In our case, we used ProxiCor to reconstruct the VCI defect after

The previous experience of this material is dominant in cardiac surgery in pericardial reconstruction and in cases such as repair of atrial or ventricular septal defect [14]. Unlike the synthetic alternative, this material has shown reduced foreign body reaction and postoperative inflammation [14]. Compared to other materials used in reconstructive procedures, ECM is significantly more expensive. To the best of our knowledge this is the first case of vascular reconstruction by ECM after VCI resection due to LMS. In our case, after the application of this material, there were no postoperative complications in the form of VCI thrombosis, thromboembolic complications and infections.

Intraoperative bleeding control with caudal and proximal IVC clamping has been shown to lead to stasis and increase the risk of thrombosis, and therefore intraoperative systemic heparinization prevents this complication [15]. In contrast, the use of postoperative systemic anticoagulants after IVC resection and reconstruction is still debated and there are no clear guidelines on this topic. During the hospitalization, our patient was prescribed low-molecular-weight heparin (0.4 ml twice daily), while in the postoperative period, novel anticoagulant drug was prescribed. Until the publication of the case report, the patency of the reconstructed segment of the vena cava was preserved.

Our experience has shown that the application of ECM is safe and has given a satisfactory treatment result. A comparison with a much larger number of patients will give a true picture of the advantages and disadvantages of this type of material in vascular reconstructive procedures.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Dzsinich C, Gloviczki P, van Heerden JA, Nagorney DM, Pairolero PC, Johnson CM, et al. Primary venous leiomyosarcoma: a rare but lethal disease. Journal of vascular surgery. 1992;15(4):595-603. PMID: 1560548.
- Keller K, Jacobi B, Jabal M, Stavrou GA. Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava: A case report of a rare tumor entity. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2020;71:50-53. doi: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2020.04.094. Epub 2020 May 13. PMID: 32438337; PMCID: PMC7240060.
- 3. Rusu CB, Gorbatâi L, Szatmari L, Koren R, Bungărdean CI, Feciche BO, et al. Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava. Our experience and a review of the literature. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2020;61(1):227-233. doi: 10.47162/RJME.61.1.25. PMID: 32747914.
- 4. Gaignard E, Bergeat D, Robin F, Corbière L, Rayar M, Meunier B. Inferior Vena Cava Leiomyosarcoma: What Method of Reconstruction for Which Type of Resection? World J Surg. 2020 Oct;44(10):3537-3544. doi: 10.1007/s00268-020-05602-2. PMID: 32445073.
- 5. Risaliti M, Fortuna L, Bartolini I, Taddei A, Muiesan P. Inferior vena cava resection and reconstruction with a peritoneal patch for a leiomyosarcoma: A case report. International Journal of Surgery Case Reports. 2020;71:37-40. doi: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2020.04.031. PMID: 32438334,
- Kalluri AG, Jain AK, Rodriguez HE, Eskandari MK. Polytetrafluoroethylene Is a Safe and Effective Interposition Conduit for Caval Reconstruction After Resection of Primary Leiomyosarcoma of the Inferior Vena Cava. Annals of vascular surgery. 2019;58:289-94. doi: 10.1016/j.avsg.2018.12.068. PMID: 30769055.
- López-Ruiz JA, Tallón-Aguilar L, Marenco-de la Cuadra B, López-Pérez J, Oliva-Mompeán F, Padillo-Ruiz J. [Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava. Case report and literature review]. Cirugia y cirujanos. 2017;85(4):361-5. doi: 10.1016/j.circir.2016.05.002. Epub 2016 Jun 16. PMID: 27318389.
- Zhou M, Javadi C, Charville GW, Bui NQ, Harris EJ, Poultsides GA, et al. Surgical resection of leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava: A case series and literature review. Surg Oncol. 2021 Dec;39:101670. doi: 10.1016/j.suronc.2021.101670. Epub 2021 Oct 22. PMID: 34710646.
- 9. Hines OJ, Nelson S, Quinones-Baldrich WJ, Eilber FR. Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava: prognosis and comparison with leiomyosarcoma of other anatomic sites. Cancer. 1999;85(5):1077-83. PMID: 10091791.
- 10. Hollenbeck ST, Grobmyer SR, Kent KC, Brennan MF. Surgical treatment and outcomes of patients with primary inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma. Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 2003;197(4):575-9. doi: 10.1016/S1072-7515(03)00433-2. PMID: 14522326
- 11. Daylami R, Amiri A, Goldsmith B, Troppmann C, Schneider PD, Khatri VP. Inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma: is reconstruction necessary after resection? Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 2010;210(2):185-90. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2009.10.010. PMID: 20113938.
- Pan J, Qiu CY, He YY, Xue X, Li DL, Tian L, Cheng F, Wu ZH, Zhang HK. A 10-year experience of leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava. Phlebology. 2022 Sep;37(8):572-578. doi: 10.1177/02683555221101706. Epub 2022 May 14. PMID: 35570826.
- 13. Gaignard E, Bergeat D, Robin F, Corbière L, Rayar M, Meunier B. Inferior Vena Cava Leiomyosarcoma: What Method of Reconstruction for Which Type of Resection? World journal of surgery. 2020;44(10):3537-44. doi: 10.1007/s00268-020-05602-2. PMID: 32445073.
- 14. Rego A, Cheung PC, Harris WJ, Brady KM, Newman J, Still R. Pericardial closure with extracellular matrix scaffold following cardiac surgery associated with a reduction of postoperative complications and 30-day hospital readmissions. Journal of cardiothoracic surgery. 2019;14(1):61. doi: 10.1186/s13019-019-0871-5. PMID: 30876459.
- 15. Bower TC, Nagorney DM, Cherry KJ, Jr., Toomey BJ, Hallett JW, Panneton JM, et al. Replacement of the inferior vena cava for malignancy: an update. Journal of vascular surgery. 2000;31(2):270-81. doi: 10.1016/s0741-5214(00)90158-7. PMID: 10664496.



**Figure 1.** Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) of the abdomen, coronal section; the yellow dashed lines mark the tumor; the blue dashed lines mark the border of the inferior vena cava (IVC) (left). Intraoperative finding (right); yellow tape is on hepatoduodenal ligament, uppermost blue tape on IVC, left blue tape is on left renal veins, two lower blue tapes are on right gonadal vein and lower portion of IVC



Figure 2. Resected inferior vena cava (IVC) (left); resected tumor protruding into the lumen of the IVC (right)



**Figure 3.** Inferior vena cava reconstruction using ProxiCor patch, intraoperative appearance(left); 3-D MDCT reconstruction during the postoperative period (right)