



СРПСКИ АРХИВ
ЗА ЦЕЛОКУПНО ЛЕКАРСТВО
SERBIAN ARCHIVES
OF MEDICINE

Address: 1 Kraljice Natalije Street, Belgrade 11000, Serbia

+381 11 4092 776, Fax: +381 11 3348 653

E-mail: office@srpskiarhiv.rs, Web address: www.srpskiarhiv.rs

Paper Accepted¹

ISSN Online 2406-0895

Original Article / Оригинални рад

Emilija Vujičić^{1,2,*}, Miroslav Ilić^{1,2}, Dragica Kovačević^{1,2}, Jovan Javorac^{1,2}, Nevena Savić¹,
Milutin Kovač^{2,3}, Dejan Živanović^{2,4,5}, Dejan Miljković^{1,2}

**Hypersensitivity pneumonitis – experiences in treatment so far
and opening up new possibilities**

**Хиперсензитивни пнеумонитис – досадашња искуства у лечењу
и отварање нових могућности**

¹Institute for Pulmonary Diseases of Vojvodina, Sremska Kamenica, Serbia;

²University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Novi Sad, Serbia;

³University Clinical Centre of Vojvodina, Novi Sad, Serbia;

⁴College of Human Development, Belgrade, Serbia;

⁵College of Vocational Studies for the Education of Preschool Teachers and Sports Trainers, Subotica, Serbia

Received: April 29, 2025

Revised: July 28, 2025

Accepted: July 31, 2025

Online First: August 1, 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH250429063V>

¹**Accepted papers** are articles in press that have gone through due peer review process and have been accepted for publication by the Editorial Board of the *Serbian Archives of Medicine*. They have not yet been copy-edited and/or formatted in the publication house style, and the text may be changed before the final publication.

Although accepted papers do not yet have all the accompanying bibliographic details available, they can already be cited using the year of online publication and the DOI, as follows: the author's last name and initial of the first name, article title, journal title, online first publication month and year, and the DOI; e.g.: Petrović P, Jovanović J. The title of the article. Srp Arh Celok Lek. Online First, February 2017.

When the final article is assigned to volumes/issues of the journal, the Article in Press version will be removed and the final version will appear in the associated published volumes/issues of the journal. The date the article was made available online first will be carried over.

***Correspondence to:**

Emilija VUJIČIĆ

Institute for Pulmonary Diseases of Vojvodina, Put doktora Goldmana 4, Sremska Kamenica 21204, Serbia

Faculty of Medicine, University of Novi Sad, Hajduk Veljkova 3, Novi Sad 21000, Serbia

E-mail: emilija.vujicic@institut.rs

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis – experiences in treatment so far and opening up new possibilities

Хиперсензитивни пнеумонитис – досадашња искуства у лечењу и отварање нових могућности

SUMMARY

Introduction/Objective Hypersensitivity pneumonitis is diagnostic and therapeutic challenge. It occurs due to an excessive immune response from inhaling an antigen (bacteria, fungi, or protozoa) previously sensitized to the patient. This study analyze the clinical characteristics of patients during 10 years (2014–2023) treated at the Institute for Pulmonary Diseases of Vojvodina.

Methods Retrospective study was conducted including 74 patients. First phase included data collection, second included statistical data processing, third included the description of the obtained statistical parameters and discussion.

Results The average age was 57.61 ± 12.6 years; 52.7% men, 56.8% non-smokers. Most patients had one or more comorbidities (70.3%). There were only 27(36%) patients hospitalized more than 3 times. Progression and regression occurred in 14(28%) patients, while cessation occurred in 22(44%). Fatal outcomes happened to 7(9.5%). It was determined that in patients with three or more comorbidities, ≥ 3 hospitalizations (9;34.6%) will occur more often than < 3 hospitalizations (2;4.2%) (Fisher's exact test = 14.46; $p = 0.04$). By comparing the prognosis of disease to the number of hospitalizations, we found a statistically significant association (Fisher's exact test = 13.95; $p = 0.001$). The progression of disease in patients with ≥ 3 hospitalizations (10;62.5%) happens more often than in patients with < 3 hospitalization (4;11.8%).

Conclusion To obtain adequate data on the success of the therapy and its impact on slowing down the disease further monitoring of patients who are on antifibrotic therapy is necessary.

Keywords: antifibrotic therapy; extrinsic allergic alveolitis; pprogressive pulmonary fibrosis

САЖЕТАК

Увод/Циљ Хиперсензитивни пнеумонитис је увек дијагностички и терапијски изазов. Настаје услед претераног имунолошког одговора а након удисања антигена (бактерија, гљивица или протозоа) на које је пацијент претходно сензибилисан. Ова студија има за циљ анализу клиничких карактеристика пацијената током 10 година (2014–2023) лечених у Институту за плућне болести Војводине.

Методе Спроведена је ретроспективна студија која је обухватила 74 пацијента. Прва фаза истраживања обухватала је прикупљање података о пацијентима, друга фаза је обухватила статистичку обраду података, а трећа фаза је обухватала опис добијених статистичких параметара и дискусију.

Резултати Просечна старост пацијената била је $57,61 \pm 12,6$ година; 52,7% мушкараца, 56,8% непушача. Највише пацијената је имало један или више коморбидитета (70,3%). Само 27 (36%) пацијената је хоспитализовано више од 3 пута. До прогресије и регресије дошло је код 14 (28%) пацијената, док је стагнација верификована код 22 (44%). Фатални исход се десио код 7 (9,5%) пацијената. Утврђено је да се код пацијената са три или више коморбидитета чешће јављају ≥ 3 хоспитализације (9; 34,6%) него < 3 хоспитализације (2; 4,2%) (Фишеров тест = 14,46; $p = 0,04$). Упооређујући прогнозу болести са бројем хоспитализација, установили смо статистички значајну повезаност (Фишеров тест = 13,95; $p = 0,001$). Прогресија болести код пацијената са ≥ 3 хоспитализације (10; 62,5%) је чешћа него код пацијената са < 3 хоспитализације (4; 11,8%).

Закључак За добијање адекватних података о успешности терапије и њеном утицају на успоравање болести неопходно је даље праћење пацијената који су на антифибротској терапији.

Кључне речи: антифибротска терапија; екстринзични алергијски алвеолитис; прогресивна плућна фиброза

INTRODUCTION

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP), also known as extrinsic allergic alveolitis, is one of the interstitial lung diseases (ILD). This disease occurs due to an exaggerated immune response to an antigen from the external environment, leading to symptoms that, in differential diagnosis, may resemble symptoms of acute lung infection or progressive irreversible lung damage such as fibrosis [1, 2]. Numerous studies have assessed the prevalence of HP in various high-risk

occupations. HP happens most often among farmers and pigeon breeders. It can also occur in people employed in swimming pools, people exposed to contaminated air conditioners, employees exposed to tobacco, etc. [3].

The most common symptoms are fever, myalgia, headache, cough, chest tightness, and dyspnea, usually occurring four to twelve hours after exposure to an antigen. The fibrotic form occurs due to prolonged exposure to the antigen, which initially causes inflammation and eventually leads to irreversible and often progressive interstitial fibrosis, which leads to respiratory failure [4].

According to the latest European Respiratory Association guidelines from 2022, HP is classified into fibrotic and nonfibrotic forms. Part of patients with chronic (fibrous) HP develop a progressive disease-progressive pulmonary fibrosis (PPF). For diagnosis of PPF, at least two of the following three criteria are necessary: 1. worsening of respiratory symptoms; 2. pathophysiological criteria of disease progression (absolute decline in forced vital capacity (FVC) by $\geq 5\%$ during one year of follow-up, an absolute decrease of diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DLCO) by $\geq 10\%$ during one year of follow-up) and 3. radiological evidence of disease progression (one or more) - increased volume of traction bronchiectasis and bronchiolectasia, increased volume of ground glass opacity (GGO) with traction bronchiectasis, new fine reticulations, increased volume or increased roughness of reticular abnormalities, new or increased volume of honeycombing, loss of lung lobe volume [5].

Due to the lack of a diagnostic gold standard, diagnosing HP is not simple. It relies on numerous factors such as history of exposure, clinical characteristics, antigen-antibody precipitation tests, radiological findings, lung functional tests, and bronchological or surgical biopsies. Distinguishing HP from other ILDs can be challenging because HP's clinical, radiological, and pathohistological (PH) features are highly variable and overlap with those of other ILDs. Inflammatory disease may go unrecognized, while fibrotic disease may be misdiagnosed as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis [5, 6].

HP can be treated with pharmacological therapy such as corticosteroids and immunosuppressants (mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), azathioprine, and monoclonal antibody-rituximab). Since 2022, nintedanib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has been licensed in several countries to treat chronic fibrous ILD with a progressive phenotype. Another antifibrotic (pirfenidone) is still being investigated as a treatment for HP. Non-pharmacological therapy in use is respiratory rehabilitation, continuous application of oxygen therapy, and lung transplantation. HP

prevention includes avoiding allergens, getting regular vaccinations, avoiding smoking, and treating comorbidities [4, 7–10].

This paper aims to analyze the clinical characteristics of patients with hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) treated at the Institute for Pulmonary Diseases of Vojvodina (IPDV) for ten years.

METHODS

Participants and study design

The research was conducted in the form of a retrospective study, which included 74 patients with a diagnosis of hypersensitivity pneumonitis who were treated at the Tuberculosis and Interstitial Lung Diseases Clinic of the Institute for Pulmonary Diseases of Vojvodina (IPDV) in Sremska Kamenica from 1st January 2014 to 31st December 2023. Data on patients diagnosed with HP found in the IPDV electronic database were gathered for the study's initial phase. The Integrated Health Information System (IHIS) was the electronic database to collect respondents' information. The following data were analyzed: sex, age, detection of disease (computerized tomography, pathophysiology sample, multidisciplinary team decision), therapy, comorbidities, number of hospitalizations, smoking status, lung function parameter (FVC), and fatal outcome. By examining the respiratory function, the values taken for statistical processing were determined with the help of spirometry and gas analyses performed during the diagnosis and the last examination. The first finding before the therapy and the last finding where the patients used the therapy for a certain period were compared to assess the prognosis of HP according to absolute change in FVC. During data analyses, it was determined that a certain number of respondents lacked FVC parameters at the beginning or in the last finding, so in the final statistics, we had only 50 patients with valid FVC results. By comparing spirometric parameters, the absolute decline in FVC by $\geq 5\%$ represented the progression of HP, while the absolute incline by $\geq 5\%$ represented the regression of HP. If there was no decline or incline in FVC by $\geq 5\%$, it represented the cessation of the disease.

Analysis of data

Descriptive statistical methods, statistical hypothesis testing methods, and correlation methods were used for data analysis. With the help of descriptive statistical methods, standard statistics were performed where the frequency was determined, measures of central tendency (arithmetic mean) were used for given parameters, as well as determination of standard deviation for

variables where it was possible as measures of variability, and relative numbers were used as indicators of structure. The non-parametric statistical analysis- χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test were used to test statistical hypotheses. Using the Phi correlation coefficient, the correlation between parameters was determined using Crosstabs. Hypotheses were tested at the level of statistical significance (α level) of 0.05. The results are shown in tables. Data was processed using standard statistical packages (IBM SPSS Statistics 26).

Ethics: The study was approved by the relevant ethics committee of Institute for Pulmonary Diseases of Vojvodina (No.33-III/1), and all data were used in accordance with applicable ethical guidelines and data protection regulations.

RESULTS

The research included 74 patients diagnosed with hypersensitivity pneumonitis with slightly male predominance. In the study, the average age of the patients was 57.61 ± 12.60 years; the youngest was 31 years old, and the oldest was 82 years old. The most common way of establishing the HP diagnosis was with the help of PH findings in more than half (58.1%) of the patients. More than two-thirds of patients got corticosteroids (CS) as therapy. There were 10 patients (14.1%) on dual therapy and one patient (1.4%) on triple therapy. The most significant number of patients had one or more comorbidities (70.3%), with arterial hypertension, which was the most common and present in 34 (45.9%) patients, followed by 7 (23.0%) patients with cardiovascular diseases (CVD), and 6 (8.1%) patients with osteoporosis and diabetes mellitus type II (DM II). Non-smokers were dominant, with 42 (56.8%) patients, while only 13 (17.6%) patients were active smokers (Table 1). The mean number of smoked cigarettes per patient was 10.14 ± 14.91 . The minimum number of cigarettes consumed daily was 10, and the maximum was 80.

Regarding hospitalizations, only 27 (36.5%) patients had more than three hospitalizations (Table 1). No statistically significant association was found when comparing the number of hospitalizations with both gender (Fisher's exact test = 3.72; $p = 0.09$) and age (Fisher's exact test = 2.67; $p = 0.14$). Analysis of the relationship between smoking status and the number of hospitalizations also did not show a statistically significant association. A statistically significant moderate positive correlation (Phi = 0.45; $p = 0.04$) was found between the number of comorbidities and hospitalizations (Fisher's exact test = 14.46; $p = 0.04$). With the help of the Z test, it was determined that in patients without comorbidities, ≥ 3 hospitalizations (18; 37.5%) occurred more often than < 3 hospitalizations (3; 11.5%). Also, in patients with three

or more comorbidities, ≥ 3 hospitalizations (9; 34.6%) will occur more often than < 3 hospitalizations (2; 4.2%) (Fisher's exact test = 4.02; $p = 0.14$) (Table 2).

Most of the patients with HP were in cessation (22; 44.0%), while the same number of patients were in progression and regression (14; 28.0%) (Table 1). By comparing the prognosis of HP to the number of hospitalizations, we found a statistically significant association (Fisher's exact test = 13.95; $p = 0.001$). The correlation coefficient showed a moderate positive correlation between the prognosis of HP and the number of hospitalizations ($\Phi = 0.53$; $p = 0.001$). The progression of HP in patients with ≥ 3 hospitalizations (10; 62.5%) happens more often than in patients with < 3 hospitalization (4; 11.8%). The number of patients with cessation and regression of HP did not differ between patients with < 3 and ≥ 3 hospitalizations (Table 2).

No statistically significant association was found when comparing fatal outcome with gender (Fisher's exact test = 3.12; $p = 0.12$), age (Fisher's exact test = 1.62; $p = 0.26$), smoking status (Fisher's exact test = 0.78; $p = 0.72$), number of comorbidities (Fisher's exact test = 7.92; $p = 0.08$) (table 2.) and prognosis of HP (Fisher's exact test = 2.18; $p = 0.45$) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective study, we analyzed data from 74 patients diagnosed with HP over a ten-year period from January 2014 to December 2023.

Our results show that the higher frequency of HP was in male patients (52.7%), and the average age was 57.61 ± 2.60 years. Nishida et al. concluded that HP was also more common in men (50.41%), and the average age of the subjects was 63.0 years, similar to our study. Prior and colleagues found similar data, that HP was more common in male patients 53.6% and that the mean value of years of life was 63 ± 13.3 years [11, 12].

Our study showed that there were 42 non-smokers (56.8%), 19 of them ended their active smoking status (ex-smokers) (25.7%), while there were 13 current smokers (17.6%). The data found in the study conducted by Prior and associates indicate that 50.2% of respondents were non-smokers. In the research of Selman and associates, it is highlighted as an interesting aspect that HP is less common in cigarette smokers. They believe nicotine inhibits the activation of macrophages, the proliferation of lymphocytes, and their function, but this information does not apply to former smokers. They also state that if HP occurs in smokers, the clinical picture and prognosis are worse than in non-smokers. From 80% to 95% of patients with hypersensitivity

pneumonitis do not use tobacco. Creamer et al. and Alexandre et al. reported that HP is more common in non-smokers than active smokers [12–15].

The most significant number of patients from our study had no comorbidities associated with HP (29.7%); 27.0% had one comorbidity, 28.4% had two comorbidities, and 14.9% had three or more. The most common comorbidities were arterial hypertension-45.9%, CVD at 23%, osteoporosis, and DM II at 8.1%. Wälscher et al. identified that the most frequent number of comorbidities in HP patients was 3. Of 211 patients, 11.0 % had no comorbidities, 58.0% had 1-3 comorbidities, and 31.0 % had ≥ 4 comorbidities. The most common comorbidities found were cardiovascular (65%). According to the study by Prior and colleagues, the most common comorbidities were arterial hypertension 55.5%, diabetes mellitus 20.4%, ischemic heart disease 17.5%, obstructive lung disease 9.5% and pulmonary hypertension 9.5% [12, 16].

The most diagnosed cases in our research were with the help of PH (43/74; 58.1%). In their study, Noh et al. concluded that HP was diagnosed with biopsy and PH findings in significantly fewer patients (40.0%), and Lacasse et al. concluded that HP was diagnosed based on PH findings in 37.0 %. In the study of Casal et al., 12.4% of patients, as in our case, were diagnosed based on the clinical presentation. Koyuncu et al. stated that the PH diagnosis of HP was made in 43 (55.1%) patients and that the multidisciplinary team decided on the diagnosis of HP in 19 (24.7%) patients. In the study by Adams et al., 85.7% of the group underwent an invasive technique (bronchoalveolar lavage, transbronchial biopsy, and/or surgical lung biopsy) to confirm the diagnosis of HP [17–21].

Improving diagnostic accuracy for HP is based on multidisciplinary approach. This includes detailed anamnesis with environmental and occupational exposure history, high-resolution CT imaging, serological testing for antigen-specific antibodies which is not a diagnostic standard in our country unfortunately, and histopathology sample when invasive diagnostic is needed. Use of diagnostic algorithms that integrate radiological, clinical, and pathological findings are essential in differentiating HP from other interstitial lung diseases like idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

Impaired lung function in patients with HP plays a critical role in determining the severity of the disease. However, it does not differ from other interstitial lung diseases. Restrictive lung ventilation disorder is the most common pathological finding in these patients. In our research, in 22 (44.0%) patients, there was no significant change in FVC; in 14 (28%) patients, there was a significant decline in FVC by $\geq 5\%$; and in 14 (28.0%) patients, there was a significant decline in FVC value by $\geq 5\%$. In the study conducted by Macaluso and colleagues, the initial average

FVC value in the participants was 67.5%. A decline of $\geq 5\%$ in FVC during the first year was observed in 45 patients (31.0%) [22].

In recent years MMF has been used in treatment of HP. Casal et al. conducted a study in which after a one-year treatment with MMF, FVC stabilised and DLCO improved significantly. In the study from Okuda et al. the immunosuppressant with prednisolone group's mean change in FVC in the 12 months before therapy was substantially lower than that of the immunosuppressant-naive prednisolone group. In analyze conducted by Kaneko et al. the yearly FVC drop in the PPF group reduced from -11.5 percent before to therapy to -4.2% in the first year following treatment ($p < 0.001$). There was no significant difference between the annual FVC change before and after therapy in the non-PPF group (1.6 % vs. -1.7 %, $p = 0.065$). [23, 24, 25].

We found that the most significant number of patients were treated with the use of corticosteroids (50/74;70.64%), followed by patients who used azathioprine (7/74; 9.9%), and in third place were patients on antifibrotic drugs (nintedanib) (3/74; 4.2%). The therapeutic indication for antifibrotic therapy for progressive forms of HP was expanded in Serbia in 2022, and due to this fact, the number of patients receiving this therapy is small. In their study, Salisbury et al. noted that the most common forms of HP treatment were corticosteroids and azathioprine. Wijsenbeek et al. monitored the effects of nintedanib in patients with progressive pulmonary fibrosis (PPF) using the Living with Pulmonary Fibrosis (L-PF) questionnaire. Based on the assessment of L-PF questionnaire scores, nintedanib reduced the worsening of symptoms of dyspnea, fatigue, and cough during the 52-week study in patients with PPF [26, 27].

Although the lung transplatation is not possible in our country, it is a treatment for patients with HP in developed countries. In the University Hospital of Munich, in the last 30 years, there were total of 1054 lung transplatations performed, and the best five-year survival rate was observed in patients with lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM) and HP [28].

Our study found a statistically significant correlation between the number of comorbidities and hospitalizations. No statistically significant association was found between the death outcome and the number of comorbidities. Prior et al. state that there was a statistically significant association between the number and type of comorbidities with the death outcome and increased number of hospitalizations. The highest number of deaths was in the group of patients with cardiovascular comorbidities. A combination of better patient education, earlier diagnosis, and more proactive disease care is probably needed to lower hospitalization rates among HP patients. Broader screening for environmental exposures, prompt therapy beginning after diagnosis, and multidisciplinary team participation are a few possible interventions.

Additionally, improving patient access to social support services, regular follow-up, and pulmonary rehabilitation can help manage comorbidities and reduce illness exacerbations, which may minimize the frequency of hospitalizations. Walscher et al. report that deceased patients had more comorbidities than survivors. A study by Schwarzkopf et al. [29] reported that comorbidities had a clinically significant adverse effect on survival that was more pronounced in the case of untreated comorbidities. In our study, the reason for the cause-and-effect relationship between a higher number of comorbidities and a lower number of hospitalizations is not known. We can only assume that the patients received adequate therapy for associated diseases and controlled them well [12, 16, 29].

Fatal outcomes were observed in seven (9.5%) of our patients. In a study conducted by Gonnelli et al. [30], five-year survival rate, after diagnosis of HP, was 66%.

The limitations of this study are: data on the pulmonary function parameter (FVC) were not available in all patients; diffusion capacity (DLCO) values were not available in most patients; therefore, the DLCO parameter was not extracted from the system in this study. The primary reason for the low number of patients in our cohort who received antifibrotic medication is that antifibrotics were only recently authorized for use in Serbia, specifically in 2022, for progressive types of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. As a result, only a small number of patients had access to these medications during the study period.

CONCLUSION

With the introduction of new treatment protocols for the use of antifibrotic drugs, further studies are necessary that will include a more extended period and a more significant number of patients on antifibrotic therapy to obtain more complete data on the success of the therapy and its effect on disease regression. Treatment will become increasingly complex, with new therapeutic options and the possibility of daily progress, taking into account pharmacological and non-pharmacological forms of therapy and a multidisciplinary approach. The future of patients with PPF is realized through the joint effort of each medical worker who treats these patients and the patients themselves.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Reynolds C, Feary J, Cullinan P. Occupational contributions to interstitial lung disease. *Clin Chest Med*. 2020;41(4):697–707. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ccm.2020.08.015] [PMID: 33153688]
2. Walkoff L, Hobbs S. Chest imaging in the diagnosis of occupational lung diseases. *Clin Chest Med*. 2020;41(4):581–603. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ccm.2020.08.007] [PMID: 33153681]
3. Quirce S, Vandenplas O, Campo P, Cruz MJ, de Blay F, Koschel D, et al. Occupational hypersensitivity pneumonitis: an EAACI position paper. *Allergy*. 2016;71(6):765–79. [DOI: 10.1111/all.12866] [PMID: 26913451]
4. Hamblin M, Prosch H, Vašáková M. Diagnosis, course and management of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2022;31(163):210169. [DOI: 10.1183/16000617.0169-2021] [PMID: 35140104]
5. Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Richeldi L, Thomson CC, Inoue Y, Johkoh T, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (an update) and progressive pulmonary fibrosis in adults: an official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2022;205(9):e18–e47. [DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202202-0399ST] [PMID: 35486072]
6. Kang J, Kim YJ, Choe J, Chae EJ, Song JW. Acute exacerbation of fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis: incidence and outcomes. *Respir Res*. 2021;22(1):152. [DOI: 10.1186/s12931-021-01748-2] [PMID: 34016104]
7. Barnes H, Troy L, Lee CT, Sperling A, Strek M, Glaspole I. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: current concepts in pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *Allergy*. 2022;77(2):442–53. [DOI: 10.1111/all.15017] [PMID: 34293188]
8. Adegunsoye A, Oldham JM, Pérez ER, Hamblin M, Patel N, Tener M, et al. Outcomes of immunosuppressive therapy in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *ERJ Open Res*. 2017;3(3):00016-2017. [DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00016-2017] [PMID: 28845429]
9. Mateos-Toledo H, Mejía-Ávila M, Rodríguez-Barreto Ó, Mejía-Hurtado JG, Rojas-Serrano J, Estrada A, et al. An open-label study with pirfenidone on chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2020;56(3):163–9. [DOI: 10.1016/j.arbres.2019.08.019] [PMID: 31784348]
10. Ejima M, Okamoto T, Suzuki T, Miyazaki Y. Role of serum surfactant protein-D as a prognostic predictor in fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Respir Investig*. 2022;60(3):369–78. [DOI: 10.1016/j.resinv.2021.12.003] [PMID: 34998715]
11. Nishida T, Kawate E, Ishiguro T, Kanauchi T, Shimizu Y, Takayanagi N. Antigen avoidance and outcome of non-fibrotic and fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *ERJ Open Res*. 2022;8(1):00474-2021. [DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00474-2021] [PMID: 35141326]
12. Prior TS, Wälscher J, Gross B, Bendstrup E, Kreuter M. Clusters of comorbidities in fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Respir Res*. 2022;23(1):368. [DOI: 10.1186/s12931-022-02291-4] [PMID: 36539821]
13. Selman M, Pardo A, King TE Jr. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: insights in diagnosis and pathobiology. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2012;186(4):314–24. [DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201203-0513CI] [PMID: 22679012]
14. Creamer AW, Barratt SL. Prognostic factors in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2020;29(156):190167. [DOI: 10.1183/16000617.0167-2019] [PMID: 32414744]
15. Alexandre AT, Martins N, Raimundo S, Melo N, Mota PC, Bastos HN, et al. Impact of azathioprine use in chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis patients. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther*. 2020;60:101878. [DOI: 10.1016/j.pupt.2019.101878] [PMID: 31862300]
16. Wälscher J, Gross B, Morisset J, Johansson KA, Vašáková M, Bruhwyler J, et al. Comorbidities and survival in patients with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Respir Res*. 2020;21(1):12. [DOI: 10.1186/s12931-020-1283-8] [PMID: 31918716]
17. Noh S, Yadav R, Li M, Wang X, Sahoo D, Culver DA, et al. Use of leflunomide in patients with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2020;20(1):199. [DOI: 10.1186/s12890-020-01227-2] [PMID: 32693781]
18. Lacasse Y, Selman M, Costabel U, Dalphin JC, Ando M, Morell F, et al. Clinical diagnosis of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2003;168(8):952–8. [DOI: 10.1164/rccm.200301-137OC] [PMID: 12842854]
19. Casal A, Suárez-Antelo J, Riveiro V, Ferreiro L, Rodríguez-García C, de Alegría AM, et al. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: application of a new diagnostic algorithm to a time series of the disease. *Expert Rev Respir Med*. 2024;18(3-4):237–43. [DOI: 10.1080/17476348.2024.2358939] [PMID: 38775489]

20. Koyuncu A, Sari G, Şimşek C. Evaluation of cases with hypersensitivity pneumonia: 10-year analysis. *Clin Respir J*. 2023;17(4):329–38. [DOI: 10.1111/crj.13598] [PMID: 36780898]
21. Adams TN, Redlich CA, Glazer CS, Gulati M. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis associated with home mold exposure: a retrospective cohort analysis. *PLoS One*. 2025;20(5):e0323093. [DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0323093] [PMID: 40338891]
22. Macaluso C, Boccabella C, Kokosi M, Sivarasan N, Kouranos V, George PM, et al. Short-term lung function changes predict mortality in patients with fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Respirology*. 2022;27(3):202–8. [DOI: 10.1111/resp.14204] [PMID: 35023231]
23. Casal A, Suárez-Antelo J, Gude F, Lado-Baleato Ó, Otero B, Toubes ME, et al. Use of mycophenolate mofetil for the treatment of fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Am J Med Sci*. 2025;369(1):24–34. [DOI: 10.1016/j.amjms.2024.07.021] [PMID: 39009283]
24. Okuda R, Takemura T, Misumi T, Komatsu S, Hagiwara E, Ogura T. Effects of immunosuppressants in patients with mild fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Respir Investig*. 2025;63(1):13–19. [DOI: 10.1016/j.res-inv.2024.11.011] [PMID: 39612544]
25. Kaneko T, Okuda R, Takemura T, Iwasawa T, Haga S, Takeda Y, et al. Antifibrotic agents in progressive and non-progressive pulmonary fibrosis of fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Respir Investig*. 2025;63(5):737–43. [DOI: 10.1016/j.resinv.2025.06.004] [PMID: 40541130]
26. Salisbury ML, Myers JL, Belloli EA, Kazerooni EA, Martinez FJ, Flaherty KR. Diagnosis and treatment of fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonia: where we stand and where we need to go. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2017;196(6):690–9. [DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201608-1675PP] [PMID: 28002680]
27. Wijsenbeek M, Kreuter M, Olson A, Fischer A, Bendstrup E, Wells CD, et al. Progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases: current practice in diagnosis and management. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2019;35(11):2015–24. [DOI: 10.1080/03007995.2019.1647040] [PMID: 31328965]
28. Vorstandlechner M, Schneider CP, Fertmann JM, Michel S, Kneidinger N, Walter J, et al. Thirty years of lung transplantation: development of postoperative outcome and survival over three decades. *J Thorac Dis*. 2024;16(12):8513–27. [DOI: 10.21037/jtd-24-326] [PMID: 39831218]
29. Schwarzkopf L, Witt S, Waelscher J, Polke M, Kreuter M. Associations between comorbidities, their treatment and survival in patients with interstitial lung diseases – a claims data analysis. *Respir Res*. 2018;19(1):73. [DOI: 10.1186/s12931-018-0769-0] [PMID: 29695236]
30. Gonnelli F, Eleangovan N, Smith U, Heatley H, Navarantam V, Corte TJ, et al. Incidence and survival of interstitial lung diseases in the UK, 2010–2019. *ERJ Open Res*. 2025;11(2):00823–2024. [DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00823-2024] [PMID: 40040895]

Table 1. Frequency and percentage of patients with hypersensitivity pneumonitis across different variables

| Variables | | n (%) |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Sex | Male | 39 (52.7) |
| | Female | 35 (47.3) |
| Detection of disease | Pathohistology | 43 (58.1) |
| | CT | 19 (25.7) |
| | Multidisciplinary team | 12 (16.2) |
| Therapy | CS | 50 (70.4) |
| | AZ | 7 (9.9) |
| | AF | 3 (4.2) |
| | CS + AZ | 6 (8.5) |
| | CS + AF | 3 (4.2) |
| | AZ + AF | 1 (1.4) |
| | CS + AZ + AF | 1 (1.4) |
| Comorbidities | 0 | 22 (29.7) |
| | 1 | 20 (27) |
| | 2 | 21 (28.4) |
| | ≥ 3 | 11 (14.9) |
| Smoking status | Smokers | 13 (17.6) |
| | Ex smokers | 19 (25.6) |
| | Non-smokers | 42 (56.8) |
| Hospitalization | < 3 | 47 (63.5) |
| | ≥ 3 | 27 (36.5) |
| Prognosis of HP | Progression | 14 (28) |
| | Cessation | 22 (44) |
| | Regression | 14 (28.0) |
| Fatal outcome | Yes | 7 (9.5) |
| | No | 67 (90.5) |

CS – corticosteroid therapy; AZ – azathioprine; AF – antifibrotic therapy; HP – hypersensitivity pneumonitis

Table 2. Number of hospitalizations and death outcomes depending on gender, age, smoking status, number of comorbidities and prognosis of hypersensitivity pneumonitis

| Variables | | Hospitalizations | | p | Fatal outcome | | p |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|--------|---------------|---------------|------|
| | | < 3 | ≥ 3 | | Yes | No | |
| Sex | Women | 26 (54.2%) | 8 (30.8%) | 0.09 | 1 (14.3%) | 33 (49.3%) | 0.12 |
| | Men | 22 (45.8%) | 18 (69.2%) | | 6 (85.7%) | 34 (50.7%) | |
| Age | < 60 years | 28 (58.3%) | 10 (38.5%) | 0.14 | 2 (28.6%) | 36 (53.7%) | 0.26 |
| | ≥ 60 years | 20 (41.7%) | 16 (61.5%) | | 5 (71.4%) | 31 (46.3%) | |
| Smoking status | Smokers | 13 (27.1%) | 2 (7.7%) | 0.14 | 2 (28.6%) | 13 (19.4%) | 0.72 |
| | Non-smokers | 24 (50.0%) | 16 (61.5%) | | 4 (57.1%) | 36 (53.7%) | |
| | Ex-smokers | 11 (22.9%) | 8 (30.8%) | | 1 (14.3%) | 18 (26.9%) | |
| Comorbidities | No comorbidities | 18 (37.5%) | 3 (11.5%) | 0.04* | 1 (14.3%) | 20 (29.9%) | 0.08 |
| | 1 | 13 (27.1%) | 8 (30.8%) | | 0 (0%) | 21 (31.2%) | |
| | 2 | 15 (31.3%) | 6 (23.1%) | | 3 (42.9%) | 18 (26.9%) | |
| | ≥ 3 | 2 (4.2%) | 9 (34.6%) | | 3 (42.9%) | 8 (12%) | |
| Prognosis of HP | Progression | 4 (11.8%) | 10 (62.5%) | 0.001* | 2 (66.7%) | 12 (25.5%) | 0.45 |
| | Cessation | 18 (52.9%) | 4 (25%) | | 1 (33.3%) | 21 (44.7%) | |
| | Regression | 12 (35.3%) | 2 (12.5%) | | 0 (0.0%) | 14 (29.8%) | |

*p < 0.05