

СРПСКИ АРХИВ

ЗА ЦЕЛОКУПНО ЛЕКАРСТВО

SERBIAN ARCHIVES

OF MEDICINE

Paper Accepted*

ISSN Online 2406-0895

Original Article / Оригинални рад

Dušica J. Popović¹, Kosta J. Popović², Dušan Lalošević², Jovan K. Popović^{2,3,*}

Effects of metformin and its combinations with other repurposed drugs on fibrosarcoma in hamsters

Ефекти метформина и његових комбинација са другим пренамењеним лековима на фибросарком код хрчака

¹State University of Novi Pazar, Novi Pazar, Serbia;

²University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Novi Sad, Serbia;

³Serbian Medical Society, Academy of Medical Sciences of the Serbian Medical Society, Belgrade, Serbia

Received: March 25, 2023 Revised: March 17, 2024 Accepted: March 24, 2024 Online First: March 28, 2024 DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/SARH230325027P

*Accepted papers are articles in press that have gone through due peer review process and have been accepted for publication by the Editorial Board of the *Serbian Archives of Medicine*. They have not yet been copy-edited and/or formatted in the publication house style, and the text may be changed before the final publication.

Although accepted papers do not yet have all the accompanying bibliographic details available, they can already be cited using the year of online publication and the DOI, as follows: the author's last name and initial of the first name, article title, journal title, online first publication month and year, and the DOI; e.g.: Petrović P, Jovanović J. The title of the article. Srp Arh Celok Lek. Online First, February 2017.

When the final article is assigned to volumes/issues of the journal, the Article in Press version will be removed and the final version will appear in the associated published volumes/issues of the journal. The date the article was made available online first will be carried over.

*Correspondence to:

Jovan K. POPOVIĆ

Academy of Medical Sciences of the Serbian Medical Society, Džordža Vašingtona 19m 11000 Belgrade, Serbia; University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Clinical Pharmacology, Hajduk Veljkova 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

E-mail: jovapopmf@gmail.com; jovan.popovic@mf.uns.ac.rs

Effects of metformin and its combinations with other repurposed drugs on fibrosarcoma in hamsters

Ефекти метформина и његових комбинација са другим пренамењеним лековима на фибросарком код хрчака

SUMMARY

Introduction/Objective Many drugs registered for various other indications can act selectively on tumor receptors, signaling pathways, metabolic processes, bioenergetic factors, enzymes, proteins and genes that regulate tumor proliferation, apoptosis and neoangiogenesis without affecting these activities in healthy cells. Introduction of new drugs is a very long, complex and expensive process of research. Detecting an anticancer effect in drugs already registered for other indications and forming their combinations may directly reduce the time and cost of such research.

Methods Anticancer efficacy of metformin and its caffeine, itraconazole and combinations with nitroglycerin was tested on fibrosarcoma experimentally induced by BHK21/C13 cells in Syrian golden hamsters (six animals per group, randomly allocated to control and experimental groups, doses equivalent to usual human doses). After animal sacrifice, tumors were excised and their size, biophysical characteristics, histology and immunohistochemistry were assessed. Blood samples were collected for hematological and biochemical analyses and the main organs were toxicologically analyzed. Statistical significance was determined by oneway ANOVA followed by the Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test.

Results Two-drug combinations of metformin with caffeine or itraconazole or nitroglycerin showed significant antitumor effects on hamster fibrosarcoma compared to control, regarding all tested tumor parameters (p < 0.05) without toxicity.

Conclusion Administration of metformin in combination with caffeine or itraconazole or nitroglycerin might be an effective and safe approach in novel nontoxic adjuvant anticancer treatment.

Keywords: metformin; caffeine; itraconazole; nitroglycerin; hamsters; fibrosarcoma

Сажетак

Увод/Циљ Многи лекови регистровани за различите друге индикације могу селективно деловати на туморске рецепторе, сигналне путеве, метаболичке процесе, биоенергетске факторе, ензиме, протеине и гене који регулишу пролиферацију, апоптозу и неоангиогенезу тумора без утицаја на ове активности у здравим ћелијама. Увођење нових лекова је веома дуг, сложен и скуп процес истраживања. Откривање антиканцерског ефекта код лекова који су већ регистровани за друге индикације и формирање комбинација, може директно смањити време и цену таквог истраживања.

Методе Антиканцерска ефикасност метформина и његових комбинација са кофеином, итраконазолом и нитроглицерином тестирана је на експериментално изазваном фибросаркому ВНК21/С13 ћелијама код сиријских златних хрчака (6 животиња по групи, насумично распоређених v контролне и експерименталне групе, дозе еквивалентне уобичајеним дозама за људе). Након жртвовања животиња, тумори су ексцидирани и одређене су њихове величине, биофизичке карактеристике, хистологија и имунохистохемија. Узети су узорци крви за хематолошке и биохемијске анализе и главни органи су токсиколошки анализирани. Статистичка значајност је одређена једносмерним ANOVA тестом, који је пратио Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc тест.

Резултати Комбинације два лека, метформина са кофеином или итраконазолом или нитроглицерином, показале су значајне антитуморске ефекте на фибросарком хрчка у поређењу са контролом, у односу на све тестиране параметре тумора (p < 0.05) без токсичности.

Закључак Примена метформина у комбинацији са кофеином, или итраконазолом, или нитроглицерином може бити ефикасан и безбедан приступ новој нетоксичној адјувантној антиканцерској терапији.

Кључне речи: метформин; кофеин; итраконазол; нитроглицерин; хрчци; фибросарком

INTRODUCTION

Metformin activates 5'AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which reduces Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex 1 signaling, inhibits nuclear factor kappalight-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF- κ B), protein synthesis and cancer cell proliferation [1]. Metformin inhibits glycolytic capacity and mitochondrial respiration in lymphocytic leukemia cells *in vitro* [2]. AMPK activation and glucose metabolism reduction negatively regulate Warburg effect (aerobic glycolysis – tumor cells preferentially use glucose rather than oxidation for energy production) and inhibit tumor progression [2]. Also, suppression of the Warburg effect in cancer cells by metformin decreases aerobic glycolysis and promotes oxidative phosphorylation, making cancer cells vulnerable to chemotherapy. Metformin interacts with respiratory electron transport chain in mitochondria to cause ROS production and oxidative stress [1]. Metformin therapy is also connected with both cyanocobalamin and folic acid deficiencies in patients with diabetes [3].

Caffeine induces apoptosis in many human tumor cells (lung, pancreatic, leukemia) *in vitro* [4]. Caffeine enhances tumor cells susceptibility to antineoplastic drugs and radiotherapy [5]. An important finding was that caffeine increased antifolate activity of pemetrexed in the various mesothelioma cell lines [6].

Itraconazole exhibits significant anticancer effects in different cancer tissues *in vitro* via suppression of: AMPK/mTOR pathway, neoangiogenesis, folic acid activity and autophagy [7, 8], Hedgehog signaling [9], P-glycoprotein (P-gp) and cholesterol transportation [7]. Itraconazole also induces chemosensitization [7]. Itraconazole, as ergosterol biosynthesis inhibitor, showed synergy with antifolates [8]. In addition to antifolate activity, the published studies have shown that itraconazole, as metformin, activates AMPK and thus downstream inhibits mTOR, protein synthesis, cell growth, proliferation and stimulates apoptosis [9].

Nitroglycerin acts through the liberation of nitric oxide (NO) in the tissues. NO may modify cancer tissue metabolism by modulating the Warburg effect in oncological treatment [10]. NO donors are especially useful as chemotherapeutic and radio-therapeutic sensitizing preparations and increase cancer hemodynamics, amplifying the effects of cancer treatment [10]. NO can produce nitrosative stress, showing effects likewise to oxidative stress (elevation of ROS) [10]. As NO from nitroglycerin results in cyanocobalamin and folic acid deficiency [11, 12] similar to metformin [3], it can be awaited that the mixture of nitroglycerin and metformin has synergistic antitumor effects via cyanocobalamin and folic acid deficiency. Nitroglycerin inhibits NF-□B and downstream P-gp [13].

In order to contribute to anticancer treatments, we conducted this study aiming to define the new efficacious, non-toxic and low-cost pleiotropic drug combinations, that can be immediately used in oncology.

METHODS

Three two-drug combinations, metformin and caffeine, metformin and itraconazole, metformin and nitroglycerin, were investigated in three separate independent experiments with three different control groups and simultaneously with appropriate investigated single and combined drug treatments.

Hamster model

Experiments were performed on *Mesocricetus auratus* (6 male Syrian golden hamsters per group; 12-15 weeks old; body mass ~90 g). The hamsters were kept under default housing conditions: diurnal light cycle 12 h light/12 h dark, at temperatures $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C and humidity 60±2%. The hamsters gained access to food and water *ad libitum*.

The experiments for this study were performed in accordance with national regulations for the handling of laboratory animals: Law on animal welfare of the Republic of Serbia dated 10 June, 2009 and the University of Novi Sad Rules for work with experimental animals, dated 11th June, 2020. All animals were meet with protocols approved by the University of Novi Sad Animal Ethics Committee (Novi Sad, Serbia): No. 04-81/25-5 dated 22nd July 2020, Doc. No. EK: II-E-2020-07; No. 04-150/15 dated 14th March 2022, Doc. No. EK: I-2022-01; No. 04-150/15 dated 14th March 2022, Doc. No. EK: I-2022-01; No. 04-150/15 dated 14th March 2022, and approved by the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management - Veterinary Directorate (Belgrade, Serbia): No. 323-07-09359/2020-05 dated 2nd September 2020; No. 323-07-03995/2022-05 dated 28th March 2022; No. 323-07-03996/2022-05 dated 28th March 2022.

Treatment with metformin, caffeine, itraconazole and nitroglycerin (all Galenika a.d.) and their co-administration to animals started after the hypodermic injection of 1 ml of BHK-21/C13 cell suspension ($2x10^6$ cells/ml) into the backside for the hypodermal fibrosarcoma growth. The following criteria for the humane termination of an animal's life were defined: serious body mass loss (20%), diminished activity/responsiveness with loss of body mass, poor posture, incapability to eat, urinate or defecate, largest cancer dimension >3.5 cm, cancer burden >10% body mass, or cancer ulceration. The next characteristics were observed: general condition; general clinical characteristics (breathing disorders, diarrhea, neurological signs); behavior; body weight (measured daily); tumor diameter, location and ulceration; appearance of multiple tumors.

Each of three experiments included 4 groups of hamsters which received different daily therapies via a gastric probe after fibrosarcoma cell inoculation.

The first experiment: peroral application of 1) water (control group with inoculated tumor); 2) 500 mg/kg metformin; 3) 100 mg/kg caffeine; or 4)combination of 500 mg/kg metformin and 100 mg/kg caffeine.

The second experiment: peroral application of 1) water (control group with inoculated tumor); 2) 250 mg/kg metformin; 3) 250 mg/kg itraconazole; or 4)combination of 250 mg/kg metformin and 250 mg/kg itraconazole.

The third experiment: peroral application of 1) water (control group with inoculated tumor); 2) 1000 mg/kg metformin; 3) 50 mg/kg nitroglycerin; or 4)combination of 500 mg/kg metformin and 25 mg/kg nitroglycerin.

The hamsters were sacrificed 19 days after fibrosarcoma cell inoculation. Before animal sacrification, intraperitoneal dose of 90 mg/kg pentobarbital was applied. The hamsters were evaluated for sleep into coma at 5 min by combined methods, such as a toe pinch, lack of respiration and lack of answer on palpation. Immediately after confirmation of loss of consciousness, total cardiac exsanguination was performed. Depending on animal weight, the volume of blood extracted was 3-5.5 ml. 2-3 ml of the obtained blood was subjected to biochemical and hematological analyses. After exsanguination and life deprivation, main organs (brain, heart, lungs, kidneys, liver, stomach, intestine) were excised for pathological, histological and toxicological examination. At the time of sacrifice, the weights of the animals were documented. All hamsters were in a good state during experiments, and none of the animals were euthanized before the end of the examination. During the experiment, the fibrosarcoma diameters and the tumor burdens were measured daily using calipers. The next formula for ellipsoid volume was used: volume= $4\pi abc/3$, where a, b and c are ellipsoid halfdiameters. After animal life deprivation, the cancers were excised, weighed and tumor diameters were exactly determined. The exact cancer volume was obtained by determination of the water level in a graduated cylinder before and after the submergence of the tumor (commonly used water volume displacement method).

In all experiments, drugs were dissolved in water and daily administered to animals in 1 ml/100 g body mass. Doses were < 50% of oral median lethal LD₅₀ for hamsters and equivalent to human doses (by normalization to surface area).

The relative tumor weight (tumor burden) was calculated as tumor mass and animal body weight ratio. The tumor density was determined as density=mass/volume. The tumor surface

area (S) was determined using the formula from three ellipsoid half diameters (a, b and c): S= $4\pi \{ [(ab)^{1.6}+(ac)^{1.6}+(bc)^{1.6}]/3 \}^{1/1.6}$.

Tumor slices $(4 \ \mu m)$ were analyzed pathohistologically and immunohistochemically for the determination of tumor development, tissue infiltration, necrosis and hemorrhagic zones expansion, proliferation, angiogenesis, apoptosis, glucose and NO-metabolism.

Immunohistochemical examinations

Besides the primary hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining, immunohistochemical Ki-67, PCNA, CD34, CD31, COX4, Cytochrome C, GLUT1 and iNOS staining (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.; Abcam) was performed according to already published methodology [14] to analyze cancer cell mitosis (Ki-67, PCNA), angiogenesis (CD34, CD31), apoptotic activity (COX4, Cytochrome C), glucose turnover intensity (GLUT1) and nitric oxide expression (iNOS). The stained fibrosarcoma slices were analyzed under microscope (Leica DMLB 100T, Leica Microsystems GmbH) with 400 times magnification. Images were taken by a Leica MC190 HD camera (Leica Microsystems GmbH). The Ki-67 and PCNA staining images were analyzed using the UTHSCSA Image Tool for Windows version 3.00. Individual Ki-67 or PCNA-positive cells in 20 cancer images from each hamster were comparated among the experimental groups. Immunoexpression level was assessed by measuring part of stained surface area (stained /whole surface ratio) in the fibrosarcoma slices (mean of 20 measurements) by software UTHSCSA Image Tools for Windows version 3.00.

Blood biochemical tests and hematological analyses

Blood was collected for standard laboratory analyses: glucose, serum proteins, albumins hemoglobin, sedimentation, leucocytes, granulocytes, lymphocytes, monocytes, platelets, erythrocytes, mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular hemoglobin and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, hematocrit, in all three experiments.

Statistical evaluation

Means and standard deviations were determined for the experimental data. The differences among the groups in all measured parameters were calculated using one-way ANOVA followed by a Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test. A probability P-value less than

0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Data analysis was performed using TIBCO Statistica 13.3.1 software (TIBCO Software, Inc.) in all experiments.

RESULTS

The subcutaneous application of BHK-21/C13 cell culture caused fibrosarcoma production in all animals. Experimental animals had separated, well-delimited solid tumors without side effects on overall state and welfare. The largest tumor diameters after animal life deprivation, were <3.5 cm in all experiments. The maximal tumor burdens after animal life deprivation were much below 10% of the hamster body weight in all experiments. Pathological, histopathological and toxicological analysis following autopsy revealed no marks of toxic influence on main organs (brain, heart, lungs, kidneys, liver, stomach and intestine), nor ascites or metastases.

The experimental and control groups were parametrically and nonparametrically tested for sedimentation, red and white blood cell counts, platelet number, glucose levels, hematocrit levels, hemoglobin levels, serum proteins, but no statistically important inequalities were detected among the groups in all three experiments (P>0.05).

Treatment with metformin and caffeine

Treatment with combination of metformin and caffeine significantly suppressed cancer development as demonstrated by statistically important reduction of fibrosarcoma weight, volume and Ki-67 (mean for 20), compared with control (Table 1).

Only the comedication of metformin with caffeine produced statistically important (P<0.05) anticancer effects in comparation to control. Neither metformin, nor caffeine given alone showed significant antitumor effects compared to control. The treatments had no statistically important effects on the body weight of the animals during the experiment, in comparison to control (Table 1).

The results proved the statistically important antitumor influence of the metformin and caffeine combination on experimental fibrosarcoma, without toxic effect.

Treatment with metformin and itraconazole

Treatment with combination of metformin and itraconazole significantly suppressed cancer development as demonstrated by statistically important reduction of fibrosarcoma weight, length, volume, surface area, relative weight, density, ratio of tumor surface area to volume, compared with control and single treatments (Table 2, Table 3, Figure 1, Figure 2).

The pathohistological and immunohistochemical analysis showed a decrease in tissue insertion, an extension of necrosis and hemorrhagic areas, statistically important reduction in cancer cell proliferation, as shown by Ki-67, statistically important reduction of: glucose metabolism, as demonstrated by GLUT1; NO metabolism, as demonstrated by iNOS staining; tumor vasculature, as demonstrated by CD34; and apoptosis intensity, as demonstrated by COX IV in all examined cancer slices from hamsters treated with the combination of metformin and itraconazole, in comparison to the control group and the single treatment groups (Table 3, Figure 1, Figure 2).

Only the combined treatment with metformin and itraconazole produced statistically important (P<0.05) anticancer effects in comparation to control. Neither metformin, nor itraconazole given alone showed significant antitumor effects compared to control (Table 2, Table 3, Figure 1, Figure 2). The treatments had no statistically important effects on the body weight of the animals during the experiment, in comparison to control (Table 2).

The results proved the statistically important antitumor influence of the metformin and itraconazole combination on experimental fibrosarcoma, without toxic effect.

Treatment with metformin and nitroglycerin

Treatment with combination of metformin and nitroglycerin significantly suppressed cancer development as demonstrated by statistically important reduction of fibrosarcoma weight, length, volume, density, compared with control (Table 4).

The pathohistological and immunohistochemical analysis showed a decrease in tissue insertion, an extension of necrosis and hemorrhagic areas, statistically important reduction in cancer cell proliferation, as shown by Ki-67 and PCNA, statistically important reduction of: glucose metabolism, as demonstrated by GLUT1; NO metabolism, as demonstrated by iNOS staining; tumor vasculature, as demonstrated by CD34 and CD31; and statistically important reduction in apoptosis intensity, as demonstrated by COX IV and Cytochrome C, in all examined cancer slices from hamsters treated with the combination of metformin and nitroglycerin, in comparison to the control group and the single treatment groups (Table 4, Figure 3, Table 5).

Only the combined treatment with metformin and nitroglycerin produced statistically important (p<0.05) anticancer effects in comparation to control. Neither metformin, nor

nitroglycerin given alone showed significant antitumor effects compared to control (Table 4, Table 5, Figure 3). The treatments had no statistically important effects on the body weight of the animals during the experiment, in comparison to control (Table 4).

The results proved the statistically important antitumor influence of the metformin and nitroglycerin combination on experimental fibrosarcoma, without toxic effect.

DISCUSSION

Our three experiments suggested that dual therapy by using two repositioned drugs with anticancer and NF- \Box B activity (such as metformin combinations with caffeine, itraconazole and nitroglycerin) shows effectiveness against fibrosarcoma in hamsters, contrary to ineffective monotherapy with each of them.

In the Introduction, many pathways are listed and examples of literature for several relevant *in vitro* experiments are given, yet none of this is shown for BHK21/C13 cancer cell line used in our *in vivo* experiments. For proposing a feasible synergistic influence free from the toxic effects, the reasonable would be a double hit approach targeting distinct pathways or different targets within same pathway. In agreement to our effective combined treatment experiments with two NF- \Box B inhibitors, it can be supposed that combined treatment affects different targets within NF- \Box B pathway and that NF- \Box B is one of signaling pathways underlying anticancer mechanism of our three effective two-drug combinations with metformin.

Three weeks peroral administration of metformin, efavirenz and fluoxetine combination resulted in drastic decrease of cancer weight and volume in human colon cancer xenografts of mices compared with untreated controls [15]. Profound anticancer activities *in vitro* and *in vivo* of the drug combination used in that study [15] were explained by ROS amplification which caused DNA damage, apoptosis, autophagy and necroptosis.

The results of recent meta-analysis showed favourable clinical signal on the response rate after adding metformin to chemotherapy in the breast cancer treatment [16]. Breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy have been shown to experience better therapeutic responses with use of metformin [16].

Comedication of metformin with various oncological drugs showed significant sinergism in different cancer types [17]. Metformin activated AMPK causing inhibition of mTOR and also reduced protein kinase B (PKB = Akt) causing inhibition of the phosphatidylinositol 3 Disclosure of anticancer effects in the tested non-oncological marketed drug combinations may be the first step to finding effective, cheap and immediately applicable treatment for tumors.

CONCLUSION

The results of our three experiments proved the significant anticancer effects of the metformin co-treatments with caffeine, or itraconazole, or nitroglycerin on hamster fibrosarcoma, without toxicity. Opposite to the examined drug combinations, monotherapies did not show anticancer effects. Anticancer properties of the three examined two-drug combinations (metformin and caffeine, metformin and itraconazole, metformin and nitroglycerin) in hamsters, with used doses equivalent to standard human doses, suggest that effective nontoxic oncological therapies in humans and cancer relapse prevention using these drug combinations may be attainable. Combined treatment of metformin in combination with caffeine, or itraconazole, or nitroglycerin may be promising efficacious nontoxic new adjuvant anticancer therapy and proposed for further clinical investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by the Republic of Serbia, Autonomous Province of Vojvodina, Provincial Secretariat for High Education and Scientific Research, grants nos. 142-451-2498/2021-03 (DP), 142-451-2676/2021 (JM), 142-451-2626/2021 (DL) and Republic of Serbia, Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, grant no. 451-03-68/2022-14/200114.

The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge Doc. Dr. Dejan Miljković, Prof. Dr. Zana Dolićanin, Prof. Dr. Mihalj Poša, Prof. Dr. Ivan Čapo, and Mrs. Vesna Popović for their expert support, technical assistance, and suggestions during the preparation of this study.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Misirkic Marjanovic MS, Vucicevic LM, Despotovic AR, Stamenkovic MM, Janjetovic KD. Dual anticancer role of metformin: an old drug regulating AMPK dependent/independent pathways in metabolic, oncogenic/tumorsuppresing and immunity context. Am J Cancer Res. 2021;11(11):5625-43. [PMID: 34873484]
- Moldasheva A, Surov V, Aljofan M. Editorial: New lights Through Old Windows: Metformin and Derivatives as Anti-Cancer Treatments. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:889642. [DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2022.889642] [PMID: 35559266]
- 3. Garcia A, Tisman G. Metformin, B(12), and enhanced breast cancer response to chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(2), e19-20. [DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.25.7857] [PMID: 19949002]
- 4. Osarieme ED, Modupe DT, Oluchukwu OP. The Anticancer Activity of Caffeine A Review. Arch Clin Biomed Res. 2019;3(5):326-42 [DOI: 10.26502/acbr.50170077]
- Eguchi H, Kimura R, Onuma S, Ito A, Yu Y, Yoshino Y, et al. Elevation of Anticancer Drug Toxicity by Caffeine in Spheroid Model of Human Lung Adenocarcinoma A549 Cells Mediated by Reduction in Claudin-2 and Nrf2 Expression. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:15447. [DOI: 10.3390/ijms232415447] [PMID: 36555089]
- 6. Min SH, Goldman ID, Zhao R. Caffeine markedly sensitizes human mesothelioma cell lines to pemetrexed. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2008;61:819-27. [DOI: 10.1007/s00280-007-0539-z] [PMID: 17594092]
- 7. Tsubamoto H, Ueda T, Inoue K, Sakata K, Shibahara H, Sonoda T. Repurposing itraconazole as an anticancer agent. Oncol Lett. 2017;14:1240–46. [DOI: 10.3892/ol.2017.6325] [PMID: 28789339]
- Navarro-Martínez MD, Cabezas-Herrera J, Rodríguez-López JN. Antifolates as antimycotics? Connection between the folic acid cycle and the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway in Candida albicans. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2006;28:560-7. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.07.012] [PMID: 17046206]
- 9. Li CL, Fang ZX, Wu Z, Hou YY, Wu HT, Liu J. Repurposed itraconazole for use in the treatment of malignancies as a promising therapeutic strategy. Biomed Pharmacother. 2022;154:113616. [DOI: 10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113616] [PMID: 36055112].
- Mintz J, Vedenko A, Rosete O, Shah K, Goldstein G, Hare JM, et al. Current Advances of Nitric Oxide in Cancer and Anticancer Therapeutics. Vaccines (Basel). 2021;9(2):94. [DOI: 10.3390/vaccines9020094] [PMID: 33513777]
- 11. Erkurt MA, Aydoğdu İ, Bayraktar N, Kuku İ, Kaya E. The levels of nitric oxide in megaloblastic anemia. Turk J Hematol. 2009;26(4):197-200. [PMID: 27265632]
- 12. Pradhan P. Malarial anaemia and nitric oxide induced megaloblastic anaemia: a review on the causes of malarial anaemia. J Vector Borne Dis. 2009;46(2):100-8. [PMID: 19502689]
- 13. Sukhatme V, Bouche G, Meheus L, Sukhatme VP, Pantziarka P. Repurposing Drugs in Oncology (ReDO) □ nitroglycerin as an anti-cancer agent. Ecancermedicalscience. 2015;9:568. [DOI: 10.3332/ecancer.2015.568] [PMID: 26435741]
- Popović DJ, Popović KJ, Miljković D, Popović JK, Lalošević D, Poša M, Dolićanin Z,Čapo I. Diclofenac and metformin synergistic dose dependent inhibition of hamster fibrosarcoma, rescued with mebendazole. Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy 2023;167:115528. [DOI: 10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115528] [PMID: 37738800]
- 15. Kang BG, Shende M, Inci G, Park SH, Jung JS, Kim SB, et al. Combination of metformin/efavirenz/fluoxetine exhibits profound anticancer activity via a cancer cell-specific ROS amplification. Cancer Biol Ther. 2023;24(1):20-32. [DOI: 10.1080/15384047.2022.2161803] [PMID: 36588385]
- Barakat HE, Hussein RRS, Elberry AA, Zaki MA, Elsherbiny Ramadan M. Factors influencing the anticancer effects of metformin on breast cancer outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. 2022;22(4):415-36. [DOI: 10.1080/14737140.2022.2051482] [PMID: 35259320]
- 17. Deng J, Peng M, Wang Z, Zhou S, Xiao D, Deng J, et al. Novel application of metformin combined with targeted drugs on anticancer treatment. Cancer Sci. 2019;110(1):23-30. [DOI: 10.1111/cas.13849] [PMID: 30358009]

		Hamster		Tumor						
	Weight at start (g)	Weight at end (g)	Serum glucose (mM/l)	Weight (g)	D _{max} (cm) ^a	Volume (cm ³)	Density (mg/mm ³)	Mean ^c No Ki-67- positive cells		
Control group with inoculated tumor, without treatment										
Mean	88.7	99	4.4	2.54	1.71	1.84	1.38	19.1	1	
\pm SD	6.05	8.75	0.75	2.3	0.29	1.67	0.194	2.99		
Group treated with metformin (500 mg/kg) daily										
Mean	86.36	88.72	4.2	1.1	1.58	0.82	1.34	14.45	\mathbf{r}	
\pm SD	12.9	13.99	0.99	0.81	0.42	0.56	0.123	6.03		
р			> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	1	
			Group treated	with caffein	ne (100 mg	/kg) daily 📐			I	
Mean	95.11	100.1	5	2.32	1.85	1.96	1.18	16.28	1	
\pm SD	10.95	12.55	3.02	1.31	0.5	1.11	0.177	4.86	1	
р			> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	1	
Group treated with metformin (500 mg/kg) and caffeine (100 mg/kg) daily										
Mean	91.47	98	3.95	0.42	1.12	0.36	1.17	13.27	1	
\pm SD	12.05	13.75	2.31	0.32	0.22	0.11	0.087	3.39	1	
р			> 0.05	< 0.05 ^b	< 0.05 ^b	< 0.05 ^b	$< 0.05^{b}$	< 0.05 ^b	I	

Table 1. Characteristics of animals and tumors in control and groups treated with metformin
 and caffeine, with significance (p-values)

^aLargest tumor diameter (cm);

 $^{b}p < 0.05$ significant difference between treated groups compared to control;

^cmean of 20 analyses of each tumor

			Tumor									
	Weight at start (g)	Weight at end (g)	Serum glucose (mM/l)	Weight (g)	D _{max} (cm) ^a	Volume (cm ³)	Density (mg/mm ³)	Mean ^b No Ki-67- positive cells				
Control group with inoculated tumor, without treatment												
Mean	115	133.6	5.77	7.99	3.1	7.41	1.09	22.0				
\pm SD	15	23.2	2.39	5.76	0.7	5.45	0.031	7				
Group treated with metformin (250 mg/kg) daily												
Mean	88.7	95.4	4.95	4.59	2.5	4.33	1.075	18.2				
± SD	14.3	9.8	3.11	2.14	0.45	1.95	0.04	4.8				
		G	roup treated wi	ith itraconaz	zole (250 r	ng/kg) daily			/			
Mean	102.7	107.2	4.82	6.57	3.12	6.32	1.074	19				
\pm SD	19.9	9.3	3.74	0.98	0.11	0.98	0.042	4.1				
	Grou	p treated w	ith metformin	(250 mg/kg) and itrac	onazole (250	mg/kg) daily					
Mean	97.2	102.5	5.57	1.97	1.93	1.89	1.032	11.2				
\pm SD	7.3	8.1	2.81	0.71	0.44	0.64	0.012	5.9				
. 0	a Largest tumor diameter (cm); b mean of 20 analyses of each tumor $0.71 0.44 0.64 0.012 5.9$											

Table 2. Characteristics of animals and tumors in control and groups treated with metformin and itraconazole

Table 3. Statistical evaluation of tumor characteristics following treatment withmetformin and itraconazole

Crown	Tumor (p-values)									
Group comparison	Weight	Relative weight	Volume	Length	Surface area	Density	Surface/ volume	Mean Ki-67		
C/M	0.200	0.840	0.122	0.137	0.349	0.490	0.045 ^a	0.615		
C/I	0.609	0.891	0.553	0.675	0.648	0.470	0.047^{a}	0.769		
C/M+I	0.034 ^a	0.047^{a}	0.037 ^a	0.043 ^a	0.045 ^a	0.002 ^a	0.048^{a}	0.040^{a}		
M/I	0.052	0.217	0.047 ^a	0.011 ^a	0.021ª	0.967	0.721	0.763		
M/M+I	0.019 ^a	0.014 ^a	0.017 ^a	0.061	0.009 ^a	0.030 ^a	0.457	0.048 ^a		
I/M+I	0.003 ^a	0.003 ^a	0.004 ^a	0.006 ^a	0.001 ^a	0.040 ^a	0.561	0.024 ^a		

C- control group; M- group treated with metformin (250 mg/kg); I- group treated with itraconazole (250 mg/kg); M+I- group treated with the combination of metformin (250 mg/kg) and itraconazole (250 mg/kg);

 $^{a}p < 0.05$

		Hamster		Tumor						
	Weight at start (g)	Weight at end (g)	Serum glucose (mM/l)	Weight (g)	D _{max} (cm) ^a	Volume (cm ³)	Density (mg/mm ³)	Mean ^c No Ki-67 positive cells		
			Cont	rol group (C)					
Mean	65.89	87.2	6.77	3.7	3.13	3.11	1.21	19.5		
\pm SD	8.32	6.44	2.41	0.89	0.39	0.95	0.09	5.8		
		Group trea	ted with met	formin (100	0 mg/kg)	daily (M)				
Mean	62.73	82.83	5.55	3.63	3.01	3.07	1.24	19.0		
\pm SD	7.34	7.02	1.31	0.88	0.51	0.87	0.095	7.06		
p (MN/M)				0.011 ^b	0.010 ^b	0.020 ^b	0.020 ^b	0.010 ^b		
		Group trea	ted with nitr	oglycerin (5	0 mg/kg)	daily (N)				
Mean	67.35	85.74	6.21	3.38	2.93	2.83	1.22	18.5		
\pm SD	4.21	4.03	1.91	0.87	0.58	0.81	0.03	7.72		
P(MN/N)				0.029 ^b	0.037 ^b	0.048 ^b	0.010 ^b	0.017 ^b		
Group co-treated with metformin (500 mg/kg) and nitroglycerin (25 mg/kg) daily (MN)										
Mean	70.04	87.72	6.27	2.33	2.32	2.11	1.12	10.2		
\pm SD	6.03	6.32	1.92	0.69	0.43	0.50	0.09	3.79		
P(MN/C)				0.010 ^b	0.002 ^b	0.030 ^b	0.037 ^b	0.003 ^b		

Table 4. Comparison of fibrosarcoma growth between hamsters treated with metformin and nitroglycerin, with significance (p-values)

C – control group; M – metformin; N – nitroglycerin; MN – combination of metformin and nitroglycerin;

^alargest tumor diameter (cm).

 $^{b}p < 0.05$ significant difference between treatments;

^cmean of 20 analyses of each tumor

Group	Tumor (p-values)									
comparison	Ki-67	PCNA	CD 34	CD 31	GLUT-1	iNOS	COX 4	Cytochr. C		
C/M	0.8895	0.9705	0.5870	0.4110	0.4610	0.4190	0.1126	0.9870		
C/N	0.6790	0.6404	0.4130	0.2910	0.2590	0.3090	0.2370	0.4019		
C/M + N	0.0032 ^a	0.0082^{a}	0.0153 ^a	0.0157 ^a	0.0091 ^a	0.0081 ^a	0.0085^{a}	0.0137 ^a		
M/N	0.9670	0.7105	0.9120	0.6062	0.6010	0.7890	0.2470	0.0461ª		
M/M + N	0.0097^{a}	0.0089 ^a	0.0487 ^a	0.0909	0.0077 ^a	0.0087^{a}	0.0094 ^a	0.0179ª		
N/M + N	0.0167 ^a	0.0091 ^a	0.0801	0.2029	0.0074 ^a	0.0085 ^a	0.0413 ^a	0.0109ª		

Table 5. Statistical evaluation of immunohistochemical tumor characteristics (p-values)

C – control group; M – group treated with metformin; N – group treated with nitroglycerin; M + N – group treated with combination of metformin and nitroglycerin; ap < 0.05




Figure 1. Biophysical and immunohistochemical characteristics of the excised tumors: tumor density, surface area, surface/volume ratio and Ki-67 positivity among the groups of animals treated with metformin and itraconazole;

*p < 0.05, as indicated




Figure 2. Immunohistochemical characteristics of the excised tumors (Mean \pm SD);

GLUT-1, iNOS, CD 34, COX IV, in the second experiment;

C – control group; M – group treated with metformin (250 mg/kg); I – group treated with itraconazole (250 mg/kg); M+I – group treated with the combination of metformin (250 mg/kg) and itraconazole (250 mg/kg)

*p < 0.05, as indicated;




Figure 3. Means and standard deviations (SD) of immunohistochemical-histopathological characteristics of the excised tumors in the third experiment: Ki-67, PCNA, GLUT-1, iNOS, CD34, CD31, COX4, Cytochrom C; *p < 0.05, as indicated