

ORIGINAL ARTICLE / ОРИГИНАЛНИ РАД

Relationship between optic nerve head topography and nerve fiber layer thickness with central corneal thickness in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma

Nataša Čivčić-Kalinić¹, Miroslav Stamenković², Nada Čivčić¹, Stefan Brunet³¹Family Čivčić Ophthalmology Practice, Belgrade, Serbia;²University of Belgrade, Faculty for Special Education and Rehabilitation, Clinical Hospital Centre Zvezdara, Clinic for Eye Diseases, Belgrade, Serbia;³University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Clinical Centre of Vojvodina, Clinic for Eye Diseases, Novi Sad, Serbia**SUMMARY****Introduction/Objective** In patients with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) we explored the relationship between the optic nerve head (ONH) topography parameters and the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness with the central corneal thickness (CCT).**Methods** This retrospective study included 97 patients (97 eyes) with primary open-angle glaucoma. Patients were divided into a thin CCT < 540 μm (45 eyes) and a thick CCT ≥ 540 μm (52 eyes) group, using ultrasonic pachymeter. Topographic measurements of the ONH parameters and RNFL thickness was performed using optical coherence tomography (OCT). The outcomes were compared with the thin and thick CCT and correlated with the thin CCT of the subjects.**Results** There were significantly lower mean intraocular pressure ($p < 0.0001$) and CCT ($p < 0.0001$) in patients with thin CCT compared to patients with thick CCT. Statistically significant differences of ONH parameters were found in thin cornea group compared to thick cornea group in: cup/disc area ratio ($p < 0.03$), vertical cup/disc ratio ($p < 0.01$) and rim volume ($p < 0.01$). Statistically significant differences of RNFL thickness were found in thin cornea group compared to thick cornea group in: average ($p < 0.001$), superior ($p < 0.03$), inferior ($p < 0.03$) and nasal ($p < 0.01$). Significant positive correlation was found between thin CCT and OCT parameters in: optic disc area ($r = 0.429$, $p = 0.003$), cup/disc area ratio ($r = 0.287$, $p = 0.05$), horizontal cup/disc ratio ($r = 0.472$, $p < 0.001$), vertical cup/disc ratio ($r = 0.578$, $p < 0.001$), average RNFL ($r = 0.796$, $p < 0.001$), superior RNFL ($r = 0.665$, $p < 0.001$), inferior RNFL ($r = 0.650$, $p < 0.001$), nasal RNFL ($r = 0.611$, $p < 0.001$) and temporal RNFL thickness ($r = 0.601$, $p < 0.001$).**Conclusion** POAG patients with thin cornea will probably develop larger glaucoma changes than those with a thicker cornea. Ultrasonic pachymetry measurements of CCT and OCT analysis of ONH topography parameters and RNFL thickness provide significant information in early diagnosis and monitoring progression of POAG.**Keywords:** intraocular pressure; ultrasonic pachymetry; optical coherence tomography**INTRODUCTION**

Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) is the disorder of the structural and functional changes of the optic nerve [1].

Glaucoma changes of the optic nerve may manifest as a morphological damage in the optic nerve head (ONH) as well as a decrease in the thickness of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) [2].

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a method usually used for evaluation of the structural glaucoma damage [3, 4]. OCT is non-contact and high-resolution device which provides a cross-sectional image, good for quantitative evaluation of the ONH and RNFL [5]. It is a repeatable time-saving procedure.

Central corneal thickness (CCT) is a risk factor for the development of POAG and a predictive factor for conversion ocular hypertension (OHT) to POAG [1]. It has been reported

that thick cornea provides falsely elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), which may cause a false POAG diagnosis, whereas thin cornea provides an opposite result, which hides the risk of developing POAG [6]. Herendon et al. [7] reported that CCT was an important parameter of glaucoma ONH structural change. Hewitt et al. [8] also found that, in glaucoma eyes, thin CCT was related to increased vertical cup/disc ratio (VCDR).

The aim of this study was to determine whether thin CCT is associated with specific ONH topography parameters and RNFL thickness, measured by OCT in POAG patients.

METHODS

The is a retrospective study on documented 97 patients (97 eyes) with POAG at the Family Čivčić Ophthalmology practice in Belgrade.

Received • Примљено:

November 26, 2019

Revised • Ревизија:

June 24, 2020

Accepted • Прихваћено:

August 26, 2020

Online first: September 9, 2020**Correspondence to:**Nataša ČIVČIĆ KALINIĆ
Ophthalmology practice
Family Čivčić
Maksima Gorkog 23
11000 Belgrade, Serbia
ncivcic@gmail.com

The research was done in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and with the approval of the local Committee on Ethics.

Exclusion criteria were: myopia ≥ -6 D, secondary glaucoma, POAG advanced glaucoma stage, drusen of the optic nerve head and other anomalies of the optic nerve head, other ocular diseases, history of previous ocular surgeries and laser treatments, trauma, systemic comorbidities that may affect the visual field, patients with unreliable visual field (defined as false-negative errors $> 33\%$, false-positive errors $> 33\%$, and fixation losses $> 20\%$), mean deviation (MD) ≥ -10 dB.

In all participants we examined best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), slit-lamp biomicroscopy, IOP measurement using Goldmann applanation tonometry, gonioscopy, a dilated fundus evaluation using indirect ophthalmoscopy with 90 D lens, and a 24-2 threshold test using a standard automated perimetry AP-1000 Tomey (Tomey, Nagoya, Japan). In addition, CCT was measured with ultrasonic pachymeter SP-100 Tomey (Tomey). ONH analysis (disc, cup and rim area, cup and rim volume, cup/disc (C/D) ratio, horizontal and vertical C/D ratio) with RNFL measurements (average and four quadrants RNFL thickness), was performed using spectral domain OCT (SOCT Copernicus Plus, Optopol Technology, Zawiercie, Poland).

POAG patients were classified into two groups according to their median CCT: thin CCT < 540 μm (45 eyes) and thick CCT ≥ 540 μm (52 eyes).

Demographic and clinical characteristics and OCT parameters were compared with the two groups according to the CCT value, using unpaired t-test (Microsoft Excel, Office 2010, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) were calculated to assess the associations between thin CCT and optic disc morphological parameters. Statistical analysis of Pearson's correlation coefficients were performed by JASP version 0.12.2 (Jeffrey's Amazing Statistics Program, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). The significance level was set at p value of < 0.05 .

RESULTS

This study included 97 eyes of 97 patients with medically controlled POAG. Of these, 39 (40.21%) patients were male and 58 (59.79%) patients were female. The average age of the examined population was 57.18 ± 13.05 (range 26–78) years. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with POAG were compared with the two groups according to the CCT value (Table 1). There was statistically significant difference in the mean IOP and CCT between the groups ($p < 0.0001$). IOP with the prescribed therapy was significantly higher in patients with thick CCT compared to patients with thin CCT (17.92 ± 2.40 mmHg vs. 15.62 ± 2.39 mmHg, $p < 0.0001$). CCT was significantly higher in patients with thick CCT compared to patients with thin CCT (569.65 ± 22.06 μm vs. 512.44 ± 20.39 μm , $p < 0.0001$). We found no statistically significant difference between the groups in terms of age, gender, and MD ($p = 0.053$, $p = 0.65$, $p = 0.007$).

Table 2 shows a comparison of ONH parameters obtained by OCT between two studied groups. There were statistically significant differences between thin CCT and thick CCT in these stereometric parameters: cup/disc area ratio (0.48 ± 0.15 vs. 0.42 ± 0.11 , $p < 0.03$), VCDR (0.71 ± 0.12 vs. 0.69 ± 0.10 , $p < 0.01$) and rim volume (0.12 ± 0.06 mm^3 vs. 0.15 ± 0.05 mm^3 , $p < 0.01$). ONH parameters showed that cup/disc area ratio and VCDR were significantly larger and rim volume significantly smaller in POAG patients with thin CCT compared to patients with thick CCT.

The average and quadrant RNFL thickness were compared between the thin CCT and thick CCT. Statistically significant differences were found in thin cornea group compared to thick cornea group in: average (102.88 ± 11.04 μm vs. 110.32 ± 10.83 μm , $p < 0.001$), superior (118.42 ± 16.76 μm vs. 125.57 ± 15.82 μm , $p < 0.03$), inferior (118.44 ± 19.38 μm vs. 126.59 ± 16.93 μm , $p < 0.03$) and nasal (78.33 ± 12.39 μm vs. 84.15 ± 11.16 μm , $p < 0.01$) RNFL thickness (Table 3). The average and quadrants (superior, inferior, nasal) RNFL thickness were significantly lower in thin cornea group compared to thick cornea group in POAG patients.

There was no statistically significant difference in optic disc area ($p = 0.45$), horizontal cup/disc ratio ($p = 0.15$), cup area ($p = 0.18$), cup volume ($p = 0.21$), rim area ($p = 0.11$) and temporal RNFL thickness ($p = 0.31$) between the two groups (Table 2 and 3).

Table 4 gives the correlation coefficient between OCT parameters (ONH parameters and RNFL thickness) and thin CCT. There was a positive correlation with all OCT parameters. Statistical significance was found in: optic disc area ($r = 0.429$, $p = 0.003$), and cup/disc area ratio ($r = 0.287$, $p = 0.05$). High statistical significance was found in: horizontal cup/disc ratio ($r = 0.472$, $p < 0.001$), VCDR ($r = 0.578$, $p < 0.001$), average RNFL ($r = 0.796$, $p < 0.001$), superior RNFL ($r = 0.665$, $p < 0.001$), inferior RNFL ($r = 0.650$, $p < 0.001$), nasal RNFL ($r = 0.611$, $p < 0.001$) and temporal RNFL thickness ($r = 0.601$, $p < 0.001$).

DISCUSSION

OCT provide objective and reliable data of ONH and RNFL with a high reproducibility in glaucoma and healthy eyes [9].

CCT has been demonstrated as an important risk factor for development and progression of ocular hypertensive to primary open-angle glaucoma patients [10]. The Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study (OHTS) discovered that the risk for development of glaucoma is larger in eyes with thin CCT and lower in eyes with thick CCT [10]. Our study showed a significantly lower mean IOP ($p < 0.0001$) and CCT ($p < 0.0001$) in POAG patients with thin cornea compared to patients with thick cornea. Patil et al. [11] demonstrated that the mean CCT in the normal group (554.38 ± 17.67 μm) and the glaucoma group (554.15 ± 16.39 μm) was similar and was significantly lower than the mean CCT in the OHTN group

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with primary open-angle glaucoma

Parameters	CCT < 540 μm (n = 45) $\bar{x} \pm \text{SD}$	CCT \geq 540 μm (n = 52) $\bar{x} \pm \text{SD}$	p
Age (years)	59.93 \pm 12.81	54.80 \pm 12.91	0.053
Gender (M/F), n	17/28	22/30	0.65
Mean IOP (mmHg)	15.62 \pm 2.39	17.92 \pm 2.40	< 0.0001
CCT (μm)	512.44 \pm 20.39	569.65 \pm 22.06	< 0.0001
MD (dB)	-3.72 \pm 1.57	-3.22 \pm 1.1	0.077

M/F – male/female; IOP – intraocular pressure; CCT – central corneal thickness; p – unpaired t-test; MD – mean deviation

Table 2. Optic nerve head topography parameters classified by central corneal thickness (CCT)

Optic nerve head parameters	CCT < 540 μm (n = 45) $\bar{x} \pm \text{SD}$	CCT \geq 540 μm (n = 52) $\bar{x} \pm \text{SD}$	p
Optic disc area (mm^2)	1.72 \pm 0.4	1.78 \pm 0.36	0.45
Cup/disc area ratio	0.48 \pm 0.15	0.42 \pm 0.11	< 0.03
Horizontal cup/disc ratio	0.67 \pm 0.13	0.63 \pm 0.12	0.15
Vertical cup/disc ratio	0.71 \pm 0.12	0.69 \pm 0.10	< 0.01
Cup area (mm^2)	0.84 \pm 0.33	0.76 \pm 0.27	0.18
Cup volume (mm^3)	0.21 \pm 0.13	0.18 \pm 0.10	0.21
Rim area (mm^2)	0.87 \pm 0.29	0.97 \pm 0.31	0.11
Rim volume (mm^3)	0.12 \pm 0.06	0.15 \pm 0.05	< 0.01

p – unpaired t-test

Table 3. Retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness classified by central corneal thickness (CCT)

RNFL thickness	CCT < 540 μm (n = 45) $\bar{x} \pm \text{SD}$	CCT \geq 540 μm (n = 52) $\bar{x} \pm \text{SD}$	p
Average (μm)	102.88 \pm 11.04	110.32 \pm 10.83	< 0.001
Superior (μm)	118.42 \pm 16.76	125.57 \pm 15.82	< 0.03
Inferior (μm)	118.44 \pm 19.38	126.59 \pm 16.93	< 0.03
Temporal (μm)	63.15 \pm 9.81	71.47 \pm 11.03	0.31
Nasal (μm)	78.33 \pm 12.39	84.15 \pm 11.16	< 0.01

p – unpaired t-test

Table 4. Optical coherence tomography parameters in relationship to thin central corneal thickness (CCT)

OCT parameters	Correlation coefficient (r)	P
Optic disc area (mm^2)	0.429	0.003
Cup/disc area ratio	0.287	0.05
Horizontal cup/disc ratio	0.472	< 0.001
Vertical cup/disc ratio	0.578	< 0.001
Cup area (mm^2)	0.227	0.126
Cup volume (mm^3)	0.118	0.429
Rim area (mm^2)	0.268	0.069
Rim volume (mm^3)	0.108	0.472
Average RNFL (μm)	0.796	< 0.001
Superior RNFL (μm)	0.665	< 0.001
Inferior RNFL (μm)	0.650	< 0.001
Nasal RNFL (μm)	0.611	< 0.001
Temporal RNFL (μm)	0.601	< 0.001

OCT – optical coherence tomography; RNFL – retinal nerve fiber layer; r – Pearson's correlation coefficient

(568.18 \pm 30.52 μm , $p < 0.01$). Bulut et al. [12] found that the CCT in the POAG group (545.6 \pm 29.7 μm) and the healthy control group (551.9 \pm 26.2 μm) was significantly

higher than the CCT in the normal tension glaucoma group (519.0 \pm 25.7 μm , $p < 0.001$). Marić et al. [13] found in patients with suspected glaucoma significantly lower mean CCT in adults than in children (547 \pm 35 μm vs. 578 \pm 35, $p < 0.032$).

In the current study ONH parameters showed that the cup/disc area ratio and VCDR were significantly larger and rim volume significantly smaller in POAG patients with thin CCT compared to patients with thick CCT. Anton et al. [14] and Dagdalen and Dirican [9] showed that rim parameters were significantly smaller and C/D ratio significantly greater in glaucomatous eyes than in normal and OHT eyes.

Several studies using OCT showed that the mean RNFL thickness and superior and inferior sector thickness are valuable measurement parameters in the differentiation of glaucoma. Kaushik et al. [15] found that the RNFL in ocular hypertensives with CCT \leq 555 μm was thinner than in those with thicker corneas. Anton et al. [14] and Dagdalen and Dirican [9] discovered that mean RNFL thickness and superior and inferior RNFL thickness were thinner in eyes with glaucoma, than in eyes with ocular hypertension and normal eyes. Chen et al. [16] found that the most RNFL thickness (except at the nasal quadrant) were significantly lower in preperimetric glaucoma eyes compared to normal eyes. Bulut et al. [12] discovered that the mean RNFL thickness were thinner in normal tension glaucoma group than in POAG and healthy control group. In the present study, the average and quadrants (superior, inferior, nasal) RNFL thickness were significantly lower in thin cornea group compared to thick cornea group in POAG patients.

In our study a significant positive correlation was found between thin CCT and OCT parameters in: optic disc area ($p = 0.003$), cup/disc area ratio ($p = 0.05$), horizontal cup/disc ratio ($p < 0.001$), VCDR ($p < 0.001$), average RNFL ($p < 0.001$), superior RNFL ($p < 0.001$), inferior RNFL ($p < 0.001$), nasal RNFL ($p < 0.001$) and temporal RNFL thickness ($p < 0.001$). In the recent study Öztürker [17] found a significant positive correlation between thin CCT and inferior RNFL thickness ($r = 0.353$, $p < 0.005$) in patients with POAG. Wangsupadilok and Orapiriyakul [18] found a significant positive correlation between CCT and RNFL thickness in all quadrants and average RNFL thickness, with highest correlation for average RNFL thickness ($r = 0.487$, $p = 0.001$) in POAG patients.

CONCLUSION

POAG patients with thin cornea will probably develop larger glaucoma changes than those with a thicker cornea. Ultrasonic pachymetry measurements of CCT and OCT analysis of ONH topography parameters and RNFL thickness, provide significant information in the early diagnosis and monitoring progression of POAG. It is necessary to perform a larger prospective study in the future to confirm these findings.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

1. European Glaucoma Society Terminology and Guidelines for Glaucoma. 4th Edition-Chapter 2: Classification and terminology. *British J Ophthalmol.* 2017;101(5):73–127.
2. Hasnain SS. The missing piece in glaucoma? *Open Ophthalmol J.* 2016;6:56–62.
3. Abe RY, Gracitelli CP, Medeiros FA. The use of spectral-domain optical coherence tomography to detect glaucoma progression. *Open Ophthalmol J.* 2015;9:78–88.
4. Sahoo B, Pegu J. A practical guide to clinical application of OCT in ophthalmology. *Intech Open;* 2019.
5. Trenkić Božinović M, Zlatanović G, Jovanović P, Veselinović D, Dorđević Jocić J, Radenković M, et al. Optical coherence tomography in the evaluation of structural changes in primary open-angle glaucoma with and without elevated intraocular pressure. *Vojnosanit Pregl.* 2016;73(7):618–25.
6. Doughty MJ, Jonuscheit S. Effect of central corneal thickness on Goldmann applanation tonometry measures—a different result with different pachimeters. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2007;245(11):1603–10.
7. Herndon LW, Weizer JS, Stinnett SS. Central corneal thickness as a risk factor for advanced glaucoma damage. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2004;122(1):17–21.
8. Hewitt AW, Cooper RL. Relationship between corneal thickness and optic disc damage in glaucoma. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol.* 2005;33(2):158–63.
9. Dagdalen K, Dirican E. The assessment of structural changes on optic nerve head and macula in primary open angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. *Int J Ophthalmol.* 2018;11(10):1631–7.
10. Gordon MO, Beiser JA, Brandt JD, Hener DK, Higginbotham EJ, Johnson CA, et al. The Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study: baseline factors that predict the onset of primary open-angle glaucoma. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2002;120(6):714–20.
11. Patil M, Balwir D, Jain H. Correlation between central corneal thickness and intraocular pressure among normal IOP, ocular hypertensive and primary open angle glaucoma patients. *MVP Journal of Medical Sciences.* 2017;4(2):144–7.
12. Bulut M, Yaman A, Erol MK, Kurtuluş F, Toslak D, Coban DT, et al. Cognitive performance of primary open-angle glaucoma and normal-tension glaucoma patients. *Arq Bras Oftalmol.* 2016;79(2):100–4.
13. Marić V, Marković V, Božić M, Marjanović I. Comparing characteristics of the optic nerve head among subjects with suspected glaucoma in different ages of onset. *Srp Arh Celok Lek.* 2018;146(3–4):136–42.
14. Anton A, Moreno-Montañes J, Blázquez F, Alvarez A, Martín B, Molina B. Usefulness of optical coherence tomography parameters of the optic disc and the retinal nerve fiber layer to differentiate glaucomatous, ocular hypertensive, and normal eyes. *J Glaucoma.* 2007;16(1):1–8.
15. Kaushik S, Gyatsho J, Jain R, Pandav SS, Gupta A. Correlation between retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and central corneal thickness in patients with ocular hypertension: an optical coherence tomography study. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2006;141(5):884–90.
16. Chen MJ, Yang HY, Chang YF, Hsu CC, Ko YC, Liu CJ. Diagnostic ability of macular ganglion cell asymmetry in Preperimetric Glaucoma. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2019;19(1):12–21.
17. Öztürker ZK. Relationship between optic nerve head and nerve fiber layer with central corneal thickness in primary open-angle glaucoma: a three-dimensional optical coherence tomography study. *Haydarpaşa Numune Med J.* 2018;58(4):205–9.
18. Wangsupadilok B, Orapiriyakul L. Correlation between central corneal thickness and visual field defect, cup to disc ratio and retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in primary open-angle glaucoma patients. *J Med Assoc Thai.* 2014;97(7):1–7.

Повезаност топографских параметара главе оптичког нерва и дебљине слоја нервних влакана ретине са централном дебљином рожњаче код болесника са примарним глаукомом отвореног угла

Наташа Чивчић-Калинић¹, Мирослав Стаменковић², Нада Чивчић¹, Стефан Брунет³

¹Очна ординација *Family Čivčić*;

²Универзитет у Београду, Факултет за специјалну едукацију и рехабилитацију, Клиничко-болнички центар Звездара, Клиника за очне болести, Београд, Србија;

³Универзитет у Новом Саду, Медицински факултет, Клинички центар Војводине, Клиника за очне болести, Нови Сад, Србија

САЖЕТАК

Увод/Циљ Истраживали смо повезаност између топографских параметара главе оптичког нерва (ГОН) и дебљине слоја нервних влакана ретине (СНВР) са централном дебљином рожњаче (ЦДР) код болесника са примарним глаукомом отвореног угла.

Метод У ову ретроспективну студију укључено је 97 болесника (97 очију) са примарним глаукомом отвореног угла. Болесници су подељени на групу са тањом рожњачом (ЦДР < 540 μm , 45 очију) и дебљом рожњачом (ЦДР \geq 540 μm , 52 ока), које су мерене ултразвучном пахиметријом. Топографска мерења параметара ГОН и дебљине СНВР рађена су оптичком кохерентном томографијом (ОКТ). Резултати су упоређивани са тањом и дебљом ЦДР и корелирани са тањом ЦДР учесника студије.

Резултати Утврђене су статистички значајно ниже вредности интраокуларног притиска ($p < 0,0001$) и ЦДР ($p < 0,0001$) код болесника са танком ЦДР у поређењу са болесницима са дебљом ЦДР. Код параметара ГОН добили смо статистички значајну разлику у групи са танком рожњачом у поређењу са групом са дебљом рожњачом код површине односа *cup/disc* ($p < 0,03$), вертикалног односа *cup/disc* ($p < 0,01$) и

волумена *rim* ($p < 0,01$). Пронађена је статистички значајна разлика код дебљине СНВР у групи са танком рожњачом у поређењу са групом са дебљом рожњачом код средње ($p < 0,001$), горње ($p < 0,03$), доње ($p < 0,03$) и унутрашње ($p < 0,01$) дебљине СНВР. Утврђена је статистички значајна позитивна корелација танке ЦДР и параметара оптичке кохерентне томографије код површине *optic disc* ($r = 0,429$, $p = 0,003$), површине односа *cup/disc* ($r = 0,287$, $p = 0,05$), хоризонталног односа *cup/disc* ($r = 0,472$, $p < 0,001$), вертикалног односа *cup/disc* ($r = 0,578$, $p < 0,001$), средње дебљине СНВР ($r = 0,796$, $p < 0,001$), горњег СНВР ($r = 0,665$, $p < 0,001$), доњег СНВР ($r = 0,650$, $p < 0,001$), унутрашњег СНВР ($r = 0,611$, $p < 0,001$) и спољашњег СНВР квадранта ($r = 0,601$, $p < 0,001$).

Закључак Болесници са примарним глаукомом отвореног угла и тањом рожњачом вероватно ће развити веће глаукомне промене од оних са дебљом рожњачом. Ултразвучном пахиметријом мерена ЦДР и оптичком кохерентном томографијом анализирани топографски параметри ГОН и дебљина СНВР пружају значајне информације у раној дијагнози и праћењу прогресије код примарног глаукома отвореног угла. **Кључне речи:** интраокуларни притисак; ултразвучна пахиметрија; оптичка кохерентна томографија