Self-perception and satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics with respect to patients' age, gender, and level of education

Ljiljana Strajnić¹, Dijana Bulatović¹, Ivica Stančić², Rade Živković²

¹University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Clinic for Dentistry of Vojvodina, Novi Sad, Serbia; ²University of Belgrade, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Clinic for Prosthodontics, Belgrade, Serbia

SUMMARY

Introduction Patient's subjective evaluation of dental appearance and aesthetics is becoming an increasingly important factor in aesthetic treatments and prosthetic therapy.

Objective The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of age, education level, gender, and different dental status and the appearance of the upper anterior teeth (color, size, shape, position and alignment of the anterior teeth) on the satisfaction of the respondents with dental appearance and aesthetics of their upper anterior teeth and their desire for improvement.

Methods The study encompassed 480 people aged 20 to 50 years with an average age of 30.84 years. There were 236 male and 244 female subjects. The respondents were interviewed using a questionnaire specially designed for the purpose of this research. For the study, the subjects were divided into the following three age groups: the younger age group (20–30 years of age), the middle age group (31–40 years of age), and the older age group (41–50 years of age).

Results The conducted study did not reveal statistical significance with respect to gender in any of the examined parameters (p > 0.05). A little more than one half of the respondents in each age group were satisfied with their dental appearance and aesthetics (60.3% of the respondents in the age group of 20–30 years, 55.7% in the age group of 31–40, and 53.7% in the age group of 41–50 years of age). Satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics increases linearly with the increase in the level of education and was the highest among the respondents with university degree (33.3%).

Conclusion Female respondents were more dissatisfied with their dental appearance and aesthetics as compared with male respondents, but the difference was found to be non-significant. Patients with higher education level were more satisfied with their dental appearance and aesthetics than those with lower education.

Keywords: dental aesthetics; dental appearance; anterior teeth; self-perception; tooth color

INTRODUCTION

The ultimate objective of aesthetics in dentistry is the creation of a beautiful smile with teeth of pleasing proportions and pleasant mutual relations of teeth in harmony with the gingiva and the patient's face. The difference between the subjective (patient) and objective (dental) assessment of aesthetic appearance of the teeth and the degree of satisfaction represents a very important aspect of aesthetic dental medicine [1]. In everyday practice, it is of utmost importance for the dentist to obtain confirmatory information from patients in order to avoid failures of aesthetic treatments [2]. The appearance of the teeth can play a key role in developing the first impression about another person [3]. It was established that the judgment of some personal characteristics of other people is affected by dental appearance [4, 5]. Physical appearance plays a key role in social interaction and smile and teeth have an important function in determining the level of attractiveness of the face. Among other things, the mouth is considered extremely important in social interaction. Tooth color influences social perception. The results can be explained by negative beliefs

about tooth decay as well as their relationship with poor oral hygiene [6]. Tooth color is the main factor associated with the satisfaction with the aesthetics of teeth. Most patients are interested in teeth whitening to improve their looks [7]. Prior to performing aesthetic treatment for tooth color, the dentist must ascertain and plan treatment to meet the expectations of the patient [8]. The use of questionnaires and written documents for ascertaining patients' expectations has been proposed. These forms should enquire about the aspect of aesthetic treatment that is important to the patient, e.g. the color, shape, alignment of the teeth, etc. [9]. Some studies indicated the correlation between dental appearance and quality of life and general health [10]. Aging is not necessarily associated with negative self-perception of dental appearance or tooth color. Greater dissatisfaction with dental appearance or color in younger ages may suggest that perceived appearance is linked to cognitive factors other than social and cultural ones [11]. The interest in dental aesthetics has increased highly over the past few decades, in both patients and dentists, and natural-looking teeth have become an important task in dentistry, especially in prosthodontics and restorative

Correspondence to:

Ljiljana STRAJNIĆ University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Clinic for Dentistry of Vojvodina, Hajduk Veljkova 12, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia Ijiljana.strajnic@mf.uns.ac.rs dentistry. In females, psychological elements are the main predictors that influence subjecting to dental treatments. Understanding the prevalence of dissatisfaction with current dental appearance and desire for treatment to improve the aesthetics can be a guide for an intervention strategy to improve the aesthetics [12]. Dental appearance satisfaction is important among young adults because judgment concerning the personal characteristics of individuals is influenced by their dental appearance in the absence of other information. It has been reported that individuals with less dental disease are judged to be more socially competent, show greater intellectual achievement and have better psychologic adjustment [4]. This is further supported by the fact that adults with visible dental problems are reluctant to seek employment because of their looks or damaged speech [3]. The knowledge and understanding of a patient's perception of dental appearance is an important aspect of patient management that can help dentists in the planning of treatment that is acceptable to the patient and achieving the highest level of patient satisfaction [13].

OBJECTIVE

The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of age, education level, gender, and different dental status and the appearance of the upper anterior teeth (color, size, shape, the position and alignment of the front teeth) to the satisfaction of the respondents with their dental appearance and aesthetics of the upper anterior teeth and their desire for improvement.

METHODS

The study included 480 people aged 20 to 50 years. Respondents were interviewed in an urban area - city of Novi Sad, Serbia. There were 236 male and 244 female subjects. Criteria for the selection of the sample were as follows: age (the period after completion of growth and development), eugnathic skeletal jaw relationship, harmony in the area of the face and jaw, the presence of all six anterior upper teeth, lack of temporary prosthetic restorations on anterior teeth, the absence of large abrasion on anterior teeth, the absence of diastema, the absence of fixed orthodontic appliances, unbleached teeth, non-wearing of splint for craniomandibular disorders. The respondents were interviewed using a questionnaire (Table 1) specially made for the purpose of this research. The questionnaire was divided into social part (name, gender, age, level of education, place of birth, and place of residence) and the part related to the satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics containing 15 questions related to the satisfaction with the color, shape, size, position and alignment of the teeth, presence of dentures, conservative restoration, orthodontic appliances, desire for a change of dental aesthetics, desire for correction of teeth alignment, and desire for artificial crown. For the study, the subjects were divided into the following three age groups: younger age group (20-30

years of age), middle age group (31–40 years of age), and older age group (41–50 years of age). The Ethics Committee of the Clinic for Dentistry of Vojvodina approved the implementation of this research. Before interviewing, each participant received information for respondents and signed a written consent. Statistical analysis was performed using statistical analysis software SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical methods included ANOVA, LSD, and t-test.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics of demographic variables are shown in Table 2. Of the total of 480 respondents, 50.8% were women and 49.2% men; 60.8% of the respondents belonged to the 20–30 years age group, whereas 22.1% and 17.1% of the respondents were from the 31–40 and 41–50 years age groups, respectively. In regard to the level of education, 1% of the respondents were persons with primary school degree, 31.7% of the respondents had a secondary education degree, 26.3% were university students, 35.2%

Table 1. Questionnaire pertaining to self-perception and satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics

Name and	surname:										
Age:											
Gender:	М	F									
Place of birth:											
Place of residence:											
Level of education:	primary school	secondary school	student	university	master						
Remark: the questionnaire refers to the upper anterior teeth											
1. Are you satisfied with the color of your natural teeth if you have not bleached them? YES											
2. Do you w	vant whiter natu	ural teeth?		YES	NO						
3. Are you s alignmer	satisfied with th nt?	e teeth posi	tion and	YES	NO						
4. Are you w	oliance	YES	NO								
5. Do you h of your te	oosition	YES	NO								
6. Are you s	our	YES	NO								
7. Are you s	satisfied with the	e size of you	ır	YES	NO						
	ave the desire t ural teeth?	o change th	e size of	YES	NO						
anterior t	ave a complete teeth without th artificial denta	ne presence		YES	NO						
10. Do you teeth?	have fillings in	the front an	terior	YES	NO						
,	have an artificia upper teeth?	al crown on	the	YES	NO						
12. Do you restorat	have a desire fo	or artificial d	ficial dental YES		NO						
13. Are you aesthet	nce and	YES	NO								
14. Do you have a desire for aesthetic dental treatment?											
15. Are you your sm	satisfied with t nile?	he aesthetic	s of	YES	NO						

Table 2. Distribution of the respondents according to age, gender, and level of education

Sample		Number of participants	Percentage of participants (%)	
Total		480	100	
Gender	women	244	50.8	
Gender	men	236	49.2	
	primary school	5	1	
	secondary school	152	31.7	
Level of education	student	126	26.3	
education	university	169	35.2	
	master	28	5.8	
	20-30	292	60.8	
Age group	31–40	106	22.1	
	41–50	82	17.1	

of the respondents had a university degree, and 5.8% were respondents with a master's degree.

The frequency of each test parameter and crosstabulations by sex is shown in Table 3. Crosstabulations related to gender show very uniform distribution among both sexes. The desire for teeth alignment was higher in women (57.3%) than in men (42.7%) as well as the desire for aesthetic dental treatment, which is also higher among women (54.8%) than in men (45.2%); however, the differences between the sexes were not statistically significant – p > 0.05 (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the frequency of each test parameter and crosstabulations with age. Satisfaction with tooth color was expressed by 51.7% of the respondents from the 20-30 age group, 51.9% from the 31-40 age group, and 50% from the 41-50 age group. The desire for whiter teeth was expressed by 48.3% of the respondents from the 20-30 age group, 48.1% from the age group 31-40, and 50% from the 41-50 age group. Satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics was recorded in 60.3% of the respondents from the 20-30 age group, 55.7% from the 31-40 age group, and 53.7% from 41-50 age group. Satisfaction with teeth position and alignment was expressed by 65.1% of the respondents from the 20-30 age group, 65.1% from the age group 31-40, and 72.2% from the 41-50 age group. High percentage of satisfaction with the shape of natural teeth was observed in all groups, with 84.6% in the 20-30 age group, 83% in the age group 31-40, and 85.4% in the 41-50 age group. High rate of satisfaction with size of natural teeth was observed in respondents from all the groups, being 86.6% in the 20-30 age group, 84% in the age group 31-40, and 92.7% in the 41-50 age group. High percentage of 'no' as an answer to the question on the desire to change the size of natural anterior teeth was recorded in all the groups, being 86.6%, 84%, and 92.7% in the 20-30, 31-40, and 41-50 age groups, respectively. The presence of artificial crowns on the anterior teeth linearly increases with the age of respondents. In the age group of 20-30, the percent-

Table 3. Results of frequency, crosstabulation, and significance of differences between the sexes

Dependent variable	Sex	Frequency and	crosstabulation	t-test				
Dependent variable	Sex	(4	%)	Х	Mean difference	SE	р	
	F	Yes	50.6	1.49				
Satisfaction with the color of teeth	Г	No	51.5	1.49	0.005	0.46	0.919	
1. Satisfaction with the color of feeth	M	Yes	49.4	1.48	0.003	0.40	0.919	
	IVI	No	48.9	1.40				
	F	Yes	51.1	1.51				
2. Desire for whiter teeth	F	No	50.6	1.51	-0.005	0.46	0.919	
2. Desire for writter teetif	М	Yes	48.9	1.52	-0.003	0.40	0.919	
	IVI	No	49.4	1.52				
	F	Yes	49.7	1.35		0.43	0.482	
3. Satisfaction with the position and	-	No	53.1	1.35	0.030			
alignment of teeth	М	Yes	50.3	1.32				
	IVI	No	46.9					
	F	Yes	55.2	1.63				
4. Previous orthodontic tretment		No	48.6		-0.060	0.43	0.169	
4. Previous orthodontic tretment		Yes	44.8		-0.060	0.43		
	M	No	51.4					
	F	Yes	57.3	1 72	0.063	0.20	0.110	
C. Desire for tooth alignment	-	No	48.8	1.73				
5. Desire for teeth alignment		Yes	42.7	1.70	-0.063	0.39		
	M	No	51.2	1.79				
		Yes	51.8	1.45				
	F	No	49.8	1.45	0.000	0.46		
6. Intact natural upper anterior teeth		Yes	48.2	1 47	-0.020	0.46	0.667	
	M	No	50.2	1.47				
		Yes	52.3	1.50			0.597	
Presence of fillings on the upper anterior	F	No	49.8	1.58	0.024	0.45		
7. teeth		Yes	47.7	1.60	-0.024			
	M	No	50.2	1.60				

			Yes	48.7	1.04			
	Presence of artificial crowns on the upper	F	No	51.2	1.84	0.014	0.24	0.684
8.	8. anterior teeth		Yes	51.3	1.02	-0.014	0.34	
		M	No	48.8	1.83			
		F	Yes	50.0	1.75			
9.	Desire for artificial crown on the upper	F	No	51.1	1.75	0.000	0.40	0.022
9.	anterior teeth		Yes	50.0	1.74	0.008	0.40	0.832
		M	No	48.9	1.74			
		_	Yes	49.1	1.44			
10	Satisfaction with dental appearance and	F	No	53.2	1.44	0.40	0.45	0.272
10.	aesthetics		Yes	50.9	1.40	0.40	0.45	0.373
		M	No	46.8	1.40			
	11. Desire for aesthetic dental treatment	_	Yes	54.8	1.40			
l		F	No	47.2	1.49	-0.76		
11.		М	Yes	45.2	1.56		0.46	0.097
			No	52.8	1.56			
		_	Yes	49.9				0.331
1.0		F	No	56.0	1.17	0.22	0.22	
12.	Satisfaction with the shape of the teeth		Yes	50.1	1.1.1	0.32	0.33	
		M	No	44.0	1.14			
		_	Yes	50.5	1.14			
12	Cariefa attack which the categorithm at	F	No	53.2	1.14			0.687
13.	Satisfaction with the size of teeth		Yes	49.5	1.12	0.12	0.31	
		M	No	46.8	1.12			
		F	Yes	53.2	1.86			
1.4	Desire to all our method sizes of the eth	F	No	55.0	1.86	-0.12	0.21	
14.	Desire to change the size of teeth		Yes	46.8	1.00	-0.12	0.31	0.687
		M	No	49.5	1.88			
		F	Yes	49.1	1.67	<u> </u>		0.581
1.5	Satisfaction with the aesthetics of smile	_ F	No	51.7	1.67	0.24	0.43	
15.	Satisfaction with the aesthetics of smile	N 4	Yes	50.9	1.64	0.24	0.43	
		M	No	48.3	1.64			

X – mean; SE – standard error; p – value

Table 4. Results of frequency, crosstabulation, ANOVA test, and LSD test in relation to the age group

Dependent variable	Freq	d Crossta	bulation	ANOVA test between groups		LSD test multiple comparation			
	(I) Age group	Yes	s (%)	No	(%)	F	Sig.	(J) Age group	Sig.
	20–30	51.7		48.3				31–40	0.976
	20-30	31.7		40.3				41–50	0.785
1. Satisfaction with the colour of teeth	31–40	51.9	51.5	48.1	48.5	0.042	0.959	20–30	0.976
1. Satisfaction with the colour of teeth	31-40	31.9	31.5	40.1	40.5	0.042	0.939	41–50	0.798
	41–50	50.0		50.0				20–30	0.785
	41-30	30.0		30.0				31–40	0.798
	20-30	48.3		51.7				31–40	0.976
	20-30	40.5		31.7	51.5	0.042	0.959	41–50	0.785
2. Desire for whiter teeth	31–40	48.1	48.5	51.9				20–30	0.976
2. Desire for writter teetif		40.1						41–50	0.798
	41–50	50.0		50.0				20–30	0.785
	41-30	30.0		30.0				31–40	0.798
	20–30	65.1	66.2	34.9	33.8	0.716	0.489	31–40	0.996
		05.1		34.9				41–50	0.246
3. Satisfaction with the position and	31–40	65.1		34.9				20–30	0.996
alignment of teeth	31-40	05.1		34.9	33.6		0.409	41–50	0.325
	41–50	72.0		28.0				20–30	0.246
	41-30	72.0		20.0				31–40	0.325
	20-30	34.6		65.4				31–40	0.815
	20-30	34.0		05.4		0.510	0.601	41–50	0.370
4. Previous orthodontic tretment	31–40	35.8	34.0	64.2	66.0			20–30	0.815
4.1 Tevious of thoughtie treathent	31-40	33.0	37.0	07.2	00.0		0.001	41–50	0.346
	41–50	29.3		70.7				20–30	0.370
	41-30	29.3		70.7				31–40	0.346

			1			1			T
	20–30	27.4		72.6				31–40 41–50	0.328 0.032*
5. Desire for teeth alignment	31–40	22.6	24.4	77.4	75.6	2.434	0.089	20–30	0.032
5. Desire for teeth alignment	31-40	22.0	24.4	//.4	/3.6	2.434	0.069	41–50	0.282
	41–50	15.9		84.1				20–30 31–40	0.032* 0.282
								31–40	0.262
	20–30	56.8		43.2				41–50	0.137
6. Intact natural upper anterior teeth	31–40	49.1	53.5	50.9	46.5	1.661	0.191	20–30 41–50	0.169
			_		-			20–30	0.838 0.137
	41–50	47.6		52.4				31–40	0.838
	20–30	38.0		62.0				31–40	0.335
7. Presence of fillings on the upper					1			41–50 20–30	0.080
anterior teeth	31–40	43.4	41.0	56.6	59.0	1.691	0.185	41–50	0.457
	41–50	48.8		51.2				20-30	0.080
		-						31–40 31–40	0.457 0.008**
	20–30	9.9		90.1				41–50	0.008
8. Presence of artificial crowns on the	31–40	20.8	16.2	79.2	83.8	14.161	0.000*	20-30	0.008**
anterior frontal teeth	31-40	20.0	10.2	7 7.2	05.0		0.000	41–50	0.022*
	41–50	32.9		67.1				20–30 31–40	0.000***
	20–30	22.6		77.4				31–40	0.179
	20-30	22.0		//.4	-			41–50	0.148
9. Desire for artificial crown on the upper anterior teeth	31–40	29.2	25.4	70.8	74.6	1.576	0.208	20–30 41–50	0.179 0.846
apper amerior teem	44 50	20.5		60.5				20–30	0.148
	41–50	30.5		69.5				31–40	0.846
	20–30	60.3		39.7				31–40 41–50	0.411
10. Satisfaction with dental			50.4		41.9			20–30	0.283
appearance and aesthetics	31–40	55.7	58.1	44.3		0.743	0.476	41–50	0.783
	41–50	53.7		46.3				20–30 31–40	0.285 0.783
								31–40	0.775
	20–30	45.5		54.5				41–50	0.136
11. Desire for aestetic dental treatment	31–40	47.2	47.5	52.8	52.5	52.5 1.119	0.328	20-30	0.775
treatment								41–50 20–30	0.295 0.136
	41–50	54.9		45.1				31–40	0.295
	20–30	54.9		45.1				31–40	0.704
12. Satisfaction with the shape of					-			41–50 20–30	0.865 0.704
teeth	31–40	83.0	84.4	17.0	15.6	0.109	0.897	41–50	0.661
	41–50	85.4		14.6				20-30	0.865
								31–40 31–40	0.661 0.481
	20–30	86.6		13.4				41–50	0.150
13. Satisfaction with the size of teeth	31–40	84.0	87.1	16.0	12.9	1.628	0.197	20-30	0.481
					-			41–50 20–30	0.078 0.150
	41–50	92.7		7.3				31–40	0.078
	20–30	13.4		86.6				31–40	0.481
			<u> </u> 		-			41–50 20–30	0.150 0.481
14. Desire to change the size of teeth	31–40	16.0	12.9	84.0	87.1	1.628	0.197	41–50	0.481
	41–50	7.3	1	92.7				20-30	0.150
	11.50	, .5						31–40 31–40	0.078 0.347
	20–30	35.3		64.7				41–50	0.347
15. Satisfaction with the aesthetics of	31–40	20.2	2/1	69.8	65.6	0.551	0.577	20–30	0.347
smile	31-40	30.2	34.4	09.0	65.6			41–50	0.361
	41–50	36.6		63.4				20–30 31–40	0.826
								31-40	0.361

 $I-age\ group\ samples; J-age\ group\ samples; Sig.-significance; F-value; LSD\ test-Fisher's\ least\ significant\ difference *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001;$

age was 9.9%, in the 31–40 age group it was 20.8%, and in the 41-50 age group it reached 32.9%, and the differences between the age groups are statistically significant at the level of p < 0.05 (Table 4). The desire for artificial crowns also linearly increases with the age of respondents, ranging from 22.6% in the 20-30 age group, to 29.2% in the 31-40 age group, to 30.5% in the 41-50 age group, but the differences between the age groups were not statistically significant – p > 0.05 (Table 4). Desire for teeth alignment linearly decreases with the age of respondents from 27.4% (age group 20-30), to 22.6% (age group 31-40) to 15.9% (age group 31–40). The difference between the age groups 20-30 and 41-50 was statistically significant at the significance level p<0.05 (Table 4). Satisfaction with aesthetics of the smile was reported by 35.3% of respondents from the age group 20-30, 30.2% of respondents from the age group 31-40 and 36.6% of respondents from the age group

41–50. The desire for aesthetic dental treatment was recorded in 45.5% patients from the age group 21–30, 47.2% from the age group 31–40, and 54.9% from the age group 41–50. The presence of fillings linearly increases with age, being 38% in the age group 20–30, 43.4% in the age group 31–40, and 48.8% in the age group 41–50. Intact teeth linearly decrease with the age of respondents, dropping from 56.8% (age group 20–30) to 49.1% (age group 31–40) and 47.6% (age group 41–50); however, the differences were not statistically significant – p > 0.05 (Table 4).

Satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics, satisfaction with teeth position and alignment, satisfaction with the shape of natural tooth, satisfaction with the size of natural teeth, satisfaction with aesthetics of a smile (Table 5) have a substantially linear rule of increasing satisfaction with the increase the level of education of responders. With respect to the level of education (Table 5), respon-

Table 5. Results of frequency, crosstabulation, ANOVA test, and LSD test in relation to the education level

Dependent variable	Frequency and crosstabulation			ANOVA test between groups		LSD test multiple comparation					
ререпцент уапаріе	Education level	Yes (%)	No (%)	F	Sig.	Primary	Secondary	Student	University	Master	
		. ,					0.220	Sig.	0.174	0.004	
	primary	0.4	1.7				0.229	0.111	0.174	0.094	
1. Satisfaction with	secondary student	29.1 28.7	34.3 23.6	1 207	0.270			0.136	0.529 0.354	0.195 0.676	
the colour of teeth		34.8	35.6	1.297	0.270				0.354	0.876	
	university	6.9	4.7							0.550	
	master						0.220	0.111	0.174	0.004	
	primary	1.7 34.3	0.4 29.1				0.229	0.111	0.174 0.529	0.094 0.195	
2. Desire for whiter	secondary student	23.6	29.1	1.297	0.270			0.130	0.329	0.193	
teeth		35.6	34.8	1.297	0.270				0.554	0.876	
	university	4.7	6.9							0.550	
	master	0.3	2.5				0.051	0.043*	0.015*	0.016*	
	primary secondary	29.6	35.8				0.051	0.043	0.013	0.016	
3. Satisfaction with	student	25.2	28.4	2.567	0.037*			0.771	0.030	0.174	
the position and alignment of teeth		38.4	29.0		0.037				0.117	0.242	
	university	6.6	4.3							0.770	
	master primary	1.8	0.6				0.131	0.447	0.190	0.224	
	secondary	25.8	34.7	2.487			0.131	0.005**	0.190	0.224	
4. Conducted orthodontic	student	33.7	22.4		0.043*			0.003	0.412	0.042	
tretment	university	33.1	36.3		0.045				0.033	0.243	
		5.5	6.9							0.904	
	master	2.6	0.9				0.110	0.098	0.034*	0.018*	
	primary secondary	37.6	29.8				0.110	0.098	0.034*	0.018*	
5. Desire for teeth	student	29.9	25.1	2.916	0.021*			0.620	0.030	0.056	
alignment	university	27.4	37.7	2.910	0.021				0.079	0.030	
	master	2.6	6.9							0.545	
	primary	0.8	1.3				0.767	0.318	0.557	0.574	
	secondary	27.6	36.3				0.707	0.008*	0.240	0.503	
6. Intact natural upper anterior	student	30.7	21.1	1.878	0.113			0.000	0.107	0.380	
teeth	university	35.0	35.4	1.070	0.113				0.107	0.380	
	master	5.8	5.8							0.300	
	primary	1.5	0.7				0.610	0.158	0.464	0.305	
7 Dunner of City	secondary	37.6	27.6				0.010	0.001**	0.369	0.303	
7. Presence of fillings on the upper	student	18.3	31.8	3.401	0.009**			3.001	0.008**	0.130	
anterior teeth	university	37.6	33.6	5.701	0.007				3.330	0.417	
	master	5.1	6.4							0.717	

	primary	1.3	1.0			0.772	0.771	0.949	0.606
8. Presence of artificial crowns on the upper anterior	secondary	29.5	32.1		0.767		0.991	0.358	0.562
	student	24.4	26.6	0.458				0.377	0.573
teeth	university	41.0	34.1						0.277
	master	3.8	6.2						
	primary	3.3	0.3			0.014*	0.002**	0.005**	0.001**
9. Desire for artificial	secondary	39.3	29.1				0.024	0.163	0.019*
crown on the upper	student	20.5	28.2	4.145	0.003**			0.323	0.310
anterior teeth	university	34.4	35.5						0.108
	master	2.5	7.0						
	primary	0.4	2.0			0.109	0.049*	0.118	0.046*
10. Satisfaction	secondary	30.5	33.3				0.159	0.871	0.239
with dental appearance and	student	29.0	22.4	1.760	0.136			0.111	0.729
aesthetics	university	33.3	37.8						0.202
	master	6.8	4.5						
	primary	1.8	0.4			0.176	0.071	0.217	0.125
	secondary	32.9	30.6		0.102		0.082	0.624	0.527
11. Desire for aestetic dental treatment	student	21.5	30.6	1.943				0.025*	0.703
derital treatment	university	38.6	32.1						0.365
	master	5.3	6.3						
	primary	0.2	5.3		0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***
	secondary	30.1	40.0	5.215			0.206	0.048*	0.220
12. Satisfaction with the shape of teeth	student	26.7	24.0					0.560	0.633
the shape of teeth	university	36.8	26.7						0.878
	master	6.2	4.0						
	primary	0.2	6.5			0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***
	secondary	28.9	50.0				0.018*	0.000***	0.048*
13. Satisfaction with the size of teeth	student	26.8	22.6	9.318	0.000***			0.229	0.559
the size of teeth	university	37.8	17.7						0.924
	master	6.2	3.2						
	primary	6.5	0.2			0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***
	secondary	50.0	28.9				0.018*	0.000***	0.048*
14. Desire to change the size of teeth	student	22.6	26.8	9.318	0.000***			0.229	0.559
the size of teeth	university	17.7	37.8						0.924
	master	3.2	6.2						
	primary	0.0	1.6			0.160	0.061	0.131	0.044*
15. Satisfaction with	secondary	27.9	33.7				0.074	0.667	0.098
the aesthetics of	student	30.9	23.8	1.985	0.096			0.155	0.548
smile	university	33.3	36.2						0.151
	master	7.9	4.8						

Sig. – significance; F – value; LSD test – Fisher's least significant difference * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

dents with university degree reported greatest satisfaction with their dental appearance and aesthetics (33.3%), satisfaction with teeth position and alignment (38.4%), satisfaction with the shape of natural teeth (36.8%), satisfaction with the size of natural teeth (37.8%), satisfaction with the aesthetics of smile (33.3%), and satisfaction with tooth color (34.8%). The intact anterior teeth also showed significant increasing pattern with the increase in the level of education (Table 5), with the highest percentage in respondents with a university degree (35%). University students (Table 5) had the lowest percentage of fillings on anterior teeth (18.3%). As compared with other groups, the respondents with a university degree (Table 5) had the most 'no' answers related to the desire for teeth alignment (37.7%), desire for teeth size change (37.8%), desire for whiter teeth (34.8%), desire for artificial crown (35.5%). The greatest desire for artificial crowns (Table 5) was expressed by respondents with secondary education degree (39.3%). Previous orthodontic treatment (Table 5) was reported by only one quarter of the total number of respondents, mostly students (33.7%), as compared with all the other groups.

DISCUSSION

Attitudes toward the importance of our dental appearance and aesthetics have shown rapid changes over the past decades. Patient's subjective evaluation and satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics is becoming more important factor in aesthetic treatments, restorative procedures, and prosthetic therapy. This is of great importance for a

predictable transition between initial contact insertion to definitive restoration in the therapeutic procedure.

In this study, satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics was expressed by 58.1% of respondents from Novi Sad, Serbia (Table 4). This result is similar to data reported by Samorodnitzky-Naveh et al. [7] in Israel (62.7%), Tin-Oo et al. [13] in Malaysia (47.2%), Akarslan et al. [14] in Turkey (57.3%), Lajnert et al. [15] in Croatia (43%), but this percentage is lower than that obtained by Azodo et al. [16] in the study of young adults in Nigeria (79.4%), Alkhatib et al. [11, 17] in the United Kingdom (75%), and Meng et al. [18] in Florida (76%).

Many factors are important for subjective evaluation of dental appearance [5]. Individuals exhibit varying degrees of sensitivity to certain esthetic issues [19]. The results of this study can be explained by the fact that the standard of beauty differs between people of different race, place of residence and period in which the research is being conducted. All this can result in variations in the self-perception and subjective evaluation of dental appearance and aesthetics.

According to the results of Akarslan et al. [14], 55.1% of respondents in Turkey were dissatisfied with the color of their teeth. Study from the United States showed that 34% of adults were dissatisfied with their tooth color [20]. It was reported that 31.6% of the participants in a study conducted in North America and 52.6% in China were dissatisfied with their tooth color [21, 22]. Samorodnitzky-Naveh et al. [7] concluded that 37.3% of respondents in Israel were dissatisfied with their dental appearance and the color of the teeth was the main reason for dissatisfaction in 89.3% of participants. Of the total number of respondents dissatisfied with their tooth color, 88.2% of participants said that they would undergo the procedure of teeth whitening. Similar to the results of previous authors and according to our results, 48.5% of participants were dissatisfied with the color of their teeth (51.1% female and 48.9% male respondents), whereas 48.3% of respondents from the age group 20-30, 48.1% of respondents from the age group 31-40, and 50.1% of respondents from the age group 41-50 desired whiter teeth.

It is commonly considered that women are more interested in their appearance than men. This agrees well with the idea that physical injury affects women's self-esteem more than men's. The conducted study did not reveal statistical significance with respect to gender in any of the examined parameters but female participants were more dissatisfied with their dental appearance and aesthetics (53.2%) as compared with male ones (46.8%). The desire for teeth alignment is higher among women, being 57.3%, compared to 42.7% in men. Desire for aesthetic dental treatment is also higher in women with 54.8%, compared to 45.2% in men. The results of our research are similar to study of Akarslan et al. [14] from Turkey, who established that females were more dissatisfied with the general appearance of their teeth (43%) as compared with males (41.7%), but the difference was found to be non-significant. Tin-Oo et al. [13] reported that dissatisfaction with general dental appearance was more common in females (79.8%) than in males (20.2%) and differed significantly. Vallittu et al. [23] reported similar results from Eastern Finland. Samorodnitzky-Naveh et al. [7] reported that females (65.4%) were more satisfied with the general appearance of their teeth than males (59.8%) in Israel; however, the sample consisted of more males than females as the participants were selected from patients attending a military clinic. According to Wolfart et al. [24], the degree of satisfaction concerning appearance of anterior incisors in accordance with golden standard values is higher for men than for woman.

According to Vallittu et al. [23], the perception that very white teeth are beautiful decreased with age and young patients expressed greater preference for white teeth than older ones [23]. In the study of Meng et al. [18], 75% of older respondents were satisfied with their dental appearance. Satisfaction with dental appearance and color of teeth was established by Lajnert et al. [15] in 80% of Croatian population, as well as by Alkhatib at al. [11] in 80.3% of the respondents from the 55+ age group in the United Kingdom. According to Alkhatib et al. [11], age had an impact on dissatisfaction with dental aesthetics; they also showed that older people in the United Kingdom were more satisfied with their dental appearance. These findings show a certain degree of agreement with the descriptive outcome of the study of Akarslan et al. [14]. In the present study, satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics was expressed by 60.3% of respondents from the 20-30 age group, 55.7% from the age group 31-40, and 53.7% from the 41-50 years of age group. According to our results and the results of the mentioned authors, the age is not necessarily associated with dissatisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics. Although the dental aesthetic appearance gets worse with age, the level of acceptability of such changes by the elderly is significantly higher than in younger patients. For older patients, the appearance of teeth was not as important as for younger patients. This finding may be due to more advanced cognition in older age which may override effects of cultural or behavioural factors thought to influence self-perceived appearance.

In the present study, respondents with high education levels were more satisfied with their dental appearance and aesthetics than those with lower levels of education. The intact anterior teeth showed significant increasing pattern with the increase in education level. Respondents with a university degree had the most 'no' answers (compared to other groups) related to the desire for teeth alignment, desire for resizing of teeth, desire for whiter teeth, and desire for artificial crown. Respondents with high education levels were more satisfied with the color of the teeth and had no desire for whiter teeth than those with lower levels of education according to studies of Xiao et al. [22] and Akarslan et al. [14]. These findings suggest that higher self-satisfaction with the aesthetics of teeth observed in respondents with higher academic titles may reflect more self-esteem of these respondents. The study of Tin-Oo et al. [13] revealed that satisfaction with tooth shade or general dental aesthetic was not related with educational level of the respondents.

CONCLUSION

Dentists can expect differences in satisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics depending on the age, gender, and level of education of the patients. The results of this study suggest that dental appearance and aesthetics might be more important for women than for men, with the difference being minor. The age is not necessarily associated with dissatisfaction with dental appearance and aesthetics even though dental appearance deteriorates with age. Respondents with high education levels were more satisfied with their dental appearance and aesthetics than those with lower levels of education. The varying attitudes toward dental appearance and aesthetics must be acknowl-

edged in treatment decisions. Understanding the prevalence of satisfaction with present dental appearance and desired treatments for the improvement of their aesthetics can guide the dentists in planning intervention strategies to improve esthetics. Close communication between the patient and dentist is required when aesthetic restorative procedures of upper front teeth are planned. When planning aesthetic treatments and therapies, the dentist should take into consideration patients' subjective evaluation of the aesthetics to harmonize the function, structure and biology in order to achieve the highest level of patient satisfaction. Improvement in esthetic satisfaction improved the quality of life related to oral health and its dimensions of psychological discomfort and psychological disability.

REFERENCES

- Knezović-Zlatarić D. Fundamentals of aesthetics in dental medicine. Zagreb: Croatian chamber of dental medicine; 2013.
- Mehl C, Wolfart S, Vollrath O, Wenz HJ, Kern M. Perception of dental esthetics in different cultures. Int J Prosthodont. 2014; 27(6):523–9. [DOI: 10.11607/ijp.3908] [PMID: 25390865]
- Montero J, Gómez-Polo C, Santos JA, Portillo M, Lorenzo MC, Albaladejo A. Contributions of dental colour to the physical attractiveness stereotype. J Oral Rehabil. 2014; 41(10):768–82. [DOI: 10.1111/joor.12194] [PMID: 24905467]
- Newton JT, Prabhu N, Robinson PG. The impact of dental appearance on the appraisal of personal characteristics. Int J Prosthodont. 2003; 16:429–34. [PMID: 12956500]
- Carlsson GE, Johansson A, Johansson AK, Ordell S, Ekbäck G, Unell L. Attitudes toward Dental Appearance in 50 and 60-Year-Old Subject Living in Sweden. J Esthet Restor Dent. 2008; 20:46–56. [DOI: 10.1111/j.1708-8240.2008.00148.x] [PMID: 18237340]
- Kershaw S, Newton JT, Williams DM. The influence of tooth colour on the perceptions of personal characteristics among female dental patients: comparisons of unmodified, decayed and 'whitened' teeth. Br Dent J. 2008; 204(5):E9. [DOI: 10.1038/bdj.2008.134] [PMID: 18297050]
- Samorodnitzky-Naveh GR, Geiger SB, Levin L. Patients' satisfaction with dental aesthetics. J Am Dent Assoc. 2007; 138(6):805–8.
 [DOI: 10.14219/jada.archive.2007.0269] [PMID: 17545270]
- Ashley M, Lamb DJ, Ellis B. Defects of dental appearance assessed by patient and dental student groups. J Oral Rehabil. 2001; 28:1116–21. [DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2842.2001.00838.x] [PMID: 11874510]
- Ahmad I. Protocols for Predictable Aesthetic Dental Restorations. United Kingdom: A Blackwell Publishing Company; 2006.
- Wolfart S, Quaas AC, Freitag S, Kropp P, Gerber WD, Kern M. General well-being as an important co-factor of self-assessment of dental appearance. Int J Prosthodont. 2006; 19(5):449–54. [PMID: 17323722]
- Alkhatib MN, Holt R, Bedi R. Age and perception of dental appearance and tooth colour. Gerodontology. 2005; 22(1):32–6. [DOI: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2004.00045.x] [PMID: 15747896]
- Gržić R, Spalj S, Lajnert V, Glavičić S, Uhac I, Pavičić DK. Factors influencing a patient's decision to choose the type of treatment to improve dental aesthetics. Military-medical and pharmaceutical review. 2012; 69(11):978–85. [PMID: 23311250]
- 13. Tin-Oo MM, Saddki N, Hassan N. Factor influencing patient satisfaction with dental appearance and treatments they desire to

- improve aesthetics. BMC Oral Health. 2011; 11:6. [DOI: 10.1186/1472-6831-11-6] [PMID: 21342536]
- Akarslan Z, Sadik B, Erten H, Karabulut E. Dental esthetic satisfaction received and desired dental treatment for improvement of aesthetic. Indian J Dent Res. 2009; 20(2):195–200. [DOI: 10.4103/0970-9290.52902] [PMID: 19553722]
- Lajnert V, Pavičić DK, Gržić R, Kovač Z, Pahor D, Kuis D, et al. Influences of age and maxillary anterior teeth status on patients satisfaction with dental appearance and tooth colour. Gerodontology. 2012; 29(2):e674–9.
 [DOI: 10.1111/i.1741-2358.2011.00543.x] [PMID: 21923864]
- Azodo CC, Ogbomo AC. Self-Evaluated Dental Appearance Satisfaction among Young Adults. Ann Med Health Sci Res. 2014; 4(4):603–7. [DOI: 10.4103/2141-9248.139339] [PMID: 25221713]
- Alkhatib MN, Holt R, Bedi R. Prevalence of self-assessed tooth discolouration in the United Kingdom. J Dent. 2004; 32:561–6. [PMID: 15386863]
- Meng X, Gilbert GH, Litaker MS. Dynamics of satisfaction with dental appearance among dentate adults: 24-month incidence. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2008; 36:370–81. [PMID: 19145724]
- Witt M, Flores-Mir C. Laypeople's preferences regarding frontal dentofacial esthetics: Tooth related factors. J Am Dent Assoc. 2011; 142:635–45. [DOI: 10.14219/jada.archive.2011.0245] [PMID: 21628684]
- Odiso LL, Gibb RD, Gerlach RW. Impact of demographic, behavioural, and dental care utilization parameters on tooth colour and personal satisfaction. Compend Contin Educ Dent Suppl. 2000; 21:35–41. [PMID: 11908408]
- Shulman JD, Maupome G, Clark DC, Levy SM. Perceptions of desirable tooth color among parents, dentists and children. J Am Dent Assoc. 2004; 135:595–604.
 [DOI: 10.14219/jada.archive.2004.0247] [PMID: 15202751]
- Xiao J, Zhanon X, Zhu W, Zhang B, Li J, Xu X. The prevalence of tooth discolouration and the self-satisfaction with tooth colour in Chinese urban population. J Oral Rehabil. 2007; 34(5):351–60. [DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2842.2007.01729.x] [PMID: 17441876]
- Vallittu PK, Vallittu ASJ, Lassila VP. Dental aesthetics a survey of attitudes in different groups of patients. J Dent. 1996; 24(5):335–8. [DOI: 10.1016/0300-5712(95)00079-8] [PMID: 8916647]
- Wolfart S, Quaas AC, Freitag S, Kropp P, Gerber WD, Kern M. Subjective and objective perception of upper incisors. J Oral Rehabil. 2006; 33:489–95. [DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2842.2005.01581.x] [PMID: 16774506]

Субјективно вредновање и однос према денталном изгледу и естетици у односу на године старости, пол и степен образовања

Љиљана Страјнић 1 , Дијана Булатовић 1 , Ивица Станчић 2 , Раде Живковић 2

Универзитет у Новом Саду, Медицински факултет, Клиника за стоматологију Војводине, Нови Сад, Србија;

²Универзитет у Београду, Стоматолошки факултет, Клиника за стоматолошку протетику, Београд, Србија

КРАТАК САДРЖАЈ

Увод Пацијентово субјективно вредновање денталног изгледа и естетике постаје све важнији фактор приликом естетских третмана и протетских терапија.

Циљ рада Циљ истраживања био је да истражи утицај година старости, нивоа образовања, пола испитаника и различити зубни статус и изглед горњих предњих зуба (боја, величина, облик, поредак предњих зуба) на задовољство испитаника денталним изгледом и естетиком горњих предњих зуба и постојање жеље за унапређењем денталне естетике. **Методе рада** Истраживање је спроведено на 480 особа од 20 до 50 година, просечне старости 30,84 година. Било је 236 испитаника мушког пола и 244 испитаника женског пола. Испитаници су интервјуисани путем упитника специјално направљеног за потребе овог истраживања. За потребе истраживања испитаници су подељени према годинама старости у три старосне групе: млађа старосна група (20–30 година), средња старосна група (31–40 година), старија старосна група (41–50 година).

Резултати Спроведена студија није утврдила статистичку сигнификантност у односу на пол ни у једном од испитиваних параметара (*p* > 0,05). Половина испитаника у свакој старосној групи била је задовољна денталним изгледом и естетиком: 60,3% испитаника у старосној групи 20–30 година, 55,7% у старосној групи 31–40 година и 53,7% у старосној групи 41–50 година. Задовољство денталним изгледом и естетиком има линеарно правило пораста задовољства са порастом степена образовања и највеће је код испитаника са завршеним факултетом (33,3%).

Закључак Жене су биле незадовољније својим денталним изгледом и естетиком у односу са мушкарце, али разлика није статистички значајна. Пацијенти са високим степеном образовања били су задовољнији денталним изгледом и естетиком него испитаници са нижим степеном образовања.

Кључне речи: дентална естетика; дентални изглед; предњи зуби; субјективно вредновање; боја зуба

Примљен • Received: 07/09/2016 Ревизија • Revision: 10/10/2016 Прихваћен • Accepted: 13/10/2016