Relationship between Abdominal Obesity and Other Cardiovascular Risk Factors: Cross Sectional Study of Patients with Symptomatic Carotid Disease

Miloš Maksimović¹, Hristina Vlajinac², Djordje Radak^{3,4}, Jelena Marinković⁵,

Jadranka Maksimović², Jagoda Jorga¹

¹Institute of Hygiene and Medical Ecology, School of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia; ²Institute of Epidemiology, School of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia; ³Dedinje Cardiovascular Institute, Belgrade, Serbia;

⁴School of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia;

Institute of Medical Statistics and Informatics, School of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Serbia

SUMMARY

Introduction Obesity, particularly visceral obesity, is considered one of major risk factors for cardiovascular events.

Objectives The aim of the present study was to investigate relationship between abdominal obesity and other cardiovascular risk factors.

Methods The cross-sectional study involved 657 consecutive patients with verified carotid atherosclerosis. Carotid atherosclerosis was estimated by high resolution B-mode ultrasonography. Abdominal obesity was defined as waist circumference >102 cm in men and >88 cm in women.

Results Abdominal obesity was present in 324 (49.3%) participants. Multivariate analyses showed that abdominal obesity was significantly positively associated with female sex, increased Baecke's Work Index of physical activity at work, years of school completed <12, metabolic syndrome, increased triglycerides, hyperglycemia and high serum uric acid. Smoking, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, hypertension, increased total cholesterol, increased HDL and LDL cholesterols, increased high sensitive C-reactive protein, increased fibrinogen, anti-lipid therapy and anti-diabetic therapy were not significantly related to abdominal obesity.

Conclusion Abdominal obesity among patients with symptomatic carotid disease is significantly related to other cardiovascular risk factors, especially metabolic syndrome, metabolic syndrome components and high level of serum uric acid.

Keywords: abdominal obesity; carotid disease; risk factors

INTRODUCTION

Obesity has become a public health problem in many countries over the several past decades, followed by many clinical and public health consequences [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), on the basis of body mass index (BMI), more than 1 billion adults worldwide are overweight, of whom 300 millions are obese. If the current trend continues, this number will increase to 1.5 billion by 2015 [2]. In 2006, in Serbia every second person among those aged >20 years had BMI >25 kg/m², of whom 36.2% was overweight and 18.3% obese [3].

As well known, obesity is positively associated with increased risk for atherosclerotic disease [4] including stroke [5]. Some investigations have suggested that atherosclerosis is not related to general obesity expressed by BMI, but to abdominal obesity measured by waist circumference (WC) or waist/hip ratio [6,7]. Although BMI is usually correlated with waist circumference, people with identical BMI can have a different distribution of body fat. Waist circumference, which can be easily measured, has been established as a leading anthropometric parameter for measuring the intraabdominal fat depot. According to many investigators, it was found to be a better indicator of obesity and health risks, especially for atherosclerotic disease and type 2 diabetes, than BMI [8,9], and was included as a component of metabolic syndrome (MetS).

OBJECTIVE

The aim of the present study was to investigate relationship between abdominal obesity, expressed by waist circumference and other atherosclerotic risk factors in patients with symptomatic carotid atherosclerotic disease.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study involved 657 consecutive patients with verified carotid atherosclerotic disease who were referred to the Vascular Surgery Clinic Dedinje in Belgrade during the period April 2006 – November 2007. The study included subjects who had symptoms of cer-

Correspondence to:

Miloš MAKSIMOVIĆ Institute of Hygiene and Medical Ecology University School of Medicine Dr Subotića 8, 11000 Belgrade Serbia

milos.maksimovic@mfub.bg.ac.rs

ebral ischemia and carotid stenosis of ≥50%, according to the criteria of North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) [10]. Carotid atherosclerosis was estimated by high resolution B-mode ultrasonography HDI, ATL 3500.

Exclusion criteria were <18 years of age, malignant disease, previous endarectomy or rheumatoid arthritis.

Anthropometric parameters and data on cardiovascular risk factors were collected in all participants.

Waist circumference was measured at the midway between the lower ribs and iliac crest. According to WHO criteria patients with abdominal obesity were defined as those with WC >102 cm (men) and >88 cm (women) [11].

Blood pressure was measured using the method recommended by the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure [12]. The cut-off point was $\geq 130/<130$ or $\geq 85/<85$ mm Hg.

To estimate metabolic parameters, fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and lipoproteins, blood samples were obtained after an overnight fast and avoidance of liquids. Levels of fasting plasma glucose (FPG), total cholesterol (TC), serum triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and serum uric acid level were estimated using commercial kits (Abbot, IL, USA) on an automated analyzer (AEROSETTM, Abbot, IL, USA). Levels of high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) and fibrinogen were measured by using Immunoturbidimetric Fixed Time test (Olympus Diagnostics, O'Callaghan's Mills Co. Clare, Ireland), and high value of hsCRP was detected according to the CDC recommendations (\geq 3 mg/L) [13].

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was defined according to NCEP III criteria [14]. The patients were classified as having metabolic syndrome if they fulfilled 3 or more of the following criteria: 1) triglycerides $\geq 1.69 \text{ mmol/L}$; 2) HDL-C <1.03 mmol/L (men) and <1.29 mmol/L (women); 3) systolic blood pressure $\geq 130 \text{ mm}$ Hg or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 85 \text{ mm}$ Hg or antihypertensive drug therapy; 4) obesity, defined as waist circumference >102 cm (men) and >88 cm (women); and 5) abnormal glucose metabolism defined as fasting glucose $\geq 6.11 \text{ mmol/L}$.

Data on formal educational status, smoking habit and physical activity of study participants were collected by the use of questionnaire.

The cut-off point for educational level was $\leq 12/>12$ years of schooling.

In terms of smoking, participants were divided into never/ever smokers. According to alcohol consumption each patient was classified as drinker (former or current) or non-drinker [15].

Physical activity was assessed in two ways:

1. Physical activity was defined as any type of non-occupational physical exercise for more than 30 minutes per day, during the previous month. Classification of participants in physically active and inactive group was based on the cut-off level of ≤ 1 per week/>1 per week.

2. Baecke's questionnaire [16] was used for assessing work, sport and leisure physical activity of each participant.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described as means ± standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables were presented by counts and percentages. In the analysis of data univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used. All variables which were associated with abdominal obesity at significance level of $p \le 0.1$ were included in the model of multivariate logistic regression analysis. Formal detection of collinearity between independent variables was produced by using Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) which represents the amount of inflation in the variance when the collinearity of a variable with others exists. Criterion used was that with VIFs >2.0 the logistic regression model can lead to inflated standard errors [17]. If collinearity existed the approach to drop one of the correlated variables from the logistic regression model was established in order to reduce multicollinearity.

Data were analyzed using SPSS package version 15 with significance level set at p < 0.05.

The study was reviewed and given ethical approval by the Ethics Committee at the University School of Medicine in Belgrade. All patients gave written, informed consent.

RESULTS

Of 657 patients, 324 (49.3%) had abdominal obesity. Patients with and without abdominal obesity did not significantly differ either in the degree of carotid stenosis or in the degree of its clinical manifestation (Table 1).

Abdominal obesity was significantly more frequent in women than in men. Patients with abdominal obesity had significantly higher education level, and patients without obesity significantly more frequently consumed alcohol (Table 2). The compared groups did not significantly differ in terms of age, smoking and physical activity.

Patients with abdominal obesity had significantly more frequently metabolic syndrome and all its components excluding hypertension. Obese patients had significantly more frequently increased serum uric acid, and they were significantly more frequently on anti-diabetic therapy (Table 3). There were no significant differences between the compared groups in the frequency of increased blood pressure,

Table 1. Carotid stenosis and clinical manifestation of carotid atherosclerotic disease in patients with and without abdominal obesity

Variable		Abdominal obesity (n)		
		With (n=324)	Without (n=333)	p value ^a
Carotid stenos	is ≥70%	261 (80.6%)	283 (85.0%)	0.133
Clinical manifestation of carotid disease	Amaurosis fugax	109 (33.6%)	118 (35.4%)	0.689
	Transient ischemic attack	91 (28.1%)	79 (23.7%)	0.202
	Stroke	124 (38.3%)	136 (40.8%)	0.501

n – number of patients

^a According to univariate logistic regression analysis

Table 2. Some demographic characteristics and habits of patients with and without abdominal obesity

	Abdominal obesity*			
Variable	With (n=324)	Without (n=333)	p valueª	
Age (years)	65.3±7.8	65.3±8.9	0.990	
Female sex	152 (46.9%)	93 (27.9%)	<0.001	
Years of school <12	142 (43.8%)	100 (30.0%)	<0.001	
Ever smokers	203 (62.6%)	229 (68.8%)	0.099	
Alcohol consumption	105 (32.4%)	137 (41.1%)	0.021	
Physical inactivity ^b	297 (91.7%)	305 (91.6%)	0.978	
Work index ^c	2.89±0.63	2.79±0.62	0.058	
Sport index ^c	1.95±0.35	1.93±0.35	0.411	
Leisure index ^c	2.40±0.65	2.41±0.70	0.482	

 * The values are expressed as mean value \pm standard deviation or number of patients with percent.

^a According to univariate logistic regression analysis

^b Non-occupational physical exercise 0-4 times per month

^c According to Baecke's questionnaire

Table 3. Clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients with and without abdominal obesity

	Abdominal obesity (n)		
Variable	With	Without	p value ^a
	(n=324)	(n=333)	
Metabolic syndrome	269 (83.0%)	96 (28.8%)	<0.001
Increased blood pressure ^b	293 (90.4%)	289 (86.8%)	0.143
Triglycerides ≥1.69 mmol/L	191 (58.9%)	116 (34.8%)	<0.001
Low HDL-cholesterol ^c	223 (68.8%)	186 (55.9%)	0.001
Fasting glucose ≥6.11 mmol/L	95 (29.3%)	66 (19.8%)	0.005
Total cholesterol ≥5.2 mmol/L	161 (49.7%)	160 (48.0%)	0.674
LDL-cholesterol ≥3.4 mmol/L	155 (47.8%)	151 (45.3%)	0.522
High sensitive CRP ≥3mg/L	133 (41.0%)	113 (33.9%)	0.060
Fibrinogen >4 g/L	86 (26.5%)	73 (21.9%)	0.135
Serum uric acid >6.8 mg/dL	92 (28.4%)	60 (18.0%)	0.002
Anti-diabetic therapy	98 (30.2%)	76 (22.8%)	0.032
Anti-lipid therapy	154 (47.5%)	145 (43.5%)	0.346

^a According to univariate logistic regression analysis

^b \geq 130/ \geq 85 mm Hg or on antihypertensive therapy

° <1.03 mmol/L in men and <1.29 mmol/L in women

total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, high sensitive C-reactive protein and fibrinogen, and in the use of anti-lipid therapy.

Patients with and without abdominal obesity significantly differed in the number of other metabolic syndrome components (p<0.001). Three or four components were present in 51.8% patients with abdominal obesity and in 28.8% of patients without abdominal obesity (Table 4).

Since there were moderate collinearity between the MetS and its components (VIF=2.33), in multivariate logistic regression analysis two models were used. In the Model 1 MetS (but not its components) was included together with other risk factors. In the Model 2, in spite of MetS, its components were included together with other risk factors. According to the results of Model 1, the abdominal obesity was significantly positively associated with female sex, higher Baecke's work index of physical activity, metabolic syndrome and high serum uric acid. According to the results of Model 2, the abdominal obesity was significantly positively associated with female sex, high serum uric acid, increased triglycerides and hyperglycemia (Table 5).
 Table 4. Number of other metabolic syndrome (MetS) components

 (increased blood pressure, hypertrygliceridemia, low HDL-cholesterol

 and hyperglycemia) in patients with and without abdominal obesity

MetS	Abdominal obesity (n)		
components	With (n=324)	Without (n=333)	
0	7 (2.2%)	11 (3.3%)	
1	48 (14.8%)	104 (31.2%)	
2	101 (31.2%)	122 (36.6%)	
3	129 (39.8%)	77 (23.1%)	
4	39 (12.0%)	19 (5.7%)	

Table 5. Variables significantly related to abdominal obesity according to multivariate regression analyses

	Variable	OR (95%CI)	p value
Model 1	Female sex	2.34 (1.56–3.51)	<0.001
	Baecke's work index	1.44 (1.06–1.95)	0.018
	Metabolic syndrome	11.04 (7.53–16.19	<0.001
	Serum uric acid >6.8 mg/dL	1.67 (1.05–2.64)	0.029
	Female sex	2.56 (1.79–3.65)	<0.001
12	Years of school <12	1.66 (1.17–2.34)	0.004
Model	Serum uric acid >6.8 mg/dL	2.03 (1.35–3.04)	0.001
	Triglycerides ≥1.69 mmol/L	2.43 (1.74–3.38)	<0.001
	Fasting glucose ≥6.11 mmol/L	1.64 (1.11–2.42)	0.013

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval

Model 1 included: sex (females/males), years of school (<12/ \ge 12), smoking (never/ever), alcohol consumption (drinkers/non-drinkers), Baecke's work index for physical activity, MetS (yes/no), high sensitive CRP (\ge 3mg/L/ <3mg/L), serum uric acid (>6.8 mg/dL/≤6.8 mg/dL) and anti-diabetic therapy (yes/no).

Model 2 included all variables from Model 1 with exception of MetS, which was replaced by its components: blood pressure (\geq 130/<130 or \geq 85/<85 mmHg or on antihypertensive therapy), triglycerides (\geq 1.69/<1.69 mmol/L), HDL-C (<1.03/ \geq 1.03 mmol/L in men and <1.29/ \geq 1.29 mmol/L in women) and fasting blood glucose (\geq 6.11/<6.11 mmol/L).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, out of 657 participants 324 (49.3%) had abdominal obesity. According to multivariate analyses, abdominal obesity was significantly positively related to female sex, higher Baecke's work index, years of school <12, metabolic syndrome, increased triglycerides, hyper-glycemia and high serum uric acid. The number of other metabolic syndrome components (hypertension, hyper-tryglicidemia, low level of HDL-C and hyperglycemia) was significantly greater in patients with abdominal obesity than in those without abdominal obesity.

Association of abdominal obesity with the MetS and its components (hypertrygliceridemia and hyperglycemia) could have been expected.

There are the literature data supporting the notion that abdominal obesity is predictive of insulin resistance and of the metabolic syndrome [18]. Abdominal obesity influences insulin resistance, a key abnormality associated with metabolic syndrome, as well as alterations in lipids (increased levels of triglycerides and very-low density lipoproteins, and low level of HDL-C), blood pressure, coagulation, fibrinolysis and inflammation, leading to endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis [19]. Although there are forms of insulin resistance unrelated to abdominal obesity [20, 21], the most prevalent form of insulin resistance is found among patients with an excess of abdominal fat [22]. Recent studies that have measured abdominal fat using computed tomography have shown that, out of subjects with abdominal obesity, those with a selective excess of intra-abdominal fat accumulation have the most atherogenic and diabetogenic metabolic profile in comparison with individuals who have selective excess of subcutaneous fat [18, 22, 23].

There are several possible explanations for the observed association between excess visceral fat accumulation and the MetS.

Visceral fat is thought to release fatty acids into the portal circulation, where they may cause insulin resistance in the liver and subsequently in muscles [24, 25].

A parallel hypothesis is that adipose tissue is an endocrine organ that secretes a variety of products that affect atherosclerotic process, such as leptin, interleukin 6, angiotensin II, adiponectin, resistin, tumor necrosis factor- α , inflammatory markers, markers of homeostasis and fibrinolysis and other [26, 27].

Another emerging hypothesis is that excess intra-abdominal fat is a marker of impaired ability of subcutaneous adipose tissue to store excess energy intake, leading to the ectopic storage of fat into nonadipose tissue such as skeletal muscles, liver, heart and even in pancreatic β -cells [28].

Elevated level of uric acid has been shown to be, at least in some studies, an independent predictor of coronary heart disease, stroke and peripheral arterial disease [29-32]. The results of recently published meta-analysis [32] including 16 prospective cohort studies, suggests that hyperuricemia may modestly increase the risks of both stroke incidence and mortality.

There is no agreement about the mechanism through which hyperuricemia increases the risk for cardiovascular disease.

Association of serum uric acid with obesity was reported in several investigations [33, 34]. Abdominal obesity was found to be the main determinant of uric acid variance [35]. Hyperuricemia has been also associated with other MetS components, such as hypertension and dislypidemia (hypertrigyceridemia and decreased values of HDL-C) [36, 37, 38]. A prospective study of 8429 men and 1260 women (20-82 years old) showed that higher serum uric acid is a strong and independent predictor of incident MetS in men and women [39].

Serum uric acid has been related to insulin resistance which in turn is associated with MetS and cardiovascular

risk factors. The results of a population-based study which comprised 4536 subjects free from diabetes at baseline and followed during a mean of 10.1 years, suggest that serum uric acid is a strong and independent risk factor for diabetes [40].

There are suggestions that association between uric acid and carotid plaque may be attributable to MetS – dependent and independent mechanisms [41].

In the present study high serum uric acid was related to abdominal obesity independently of MetS, its components, and other atherosclerotic risk factors.

Inverse association between abdominal obesity and education level in the present study, which was independent of sex, was seen in some other populations, but only in women [42, 43]. According to the results of a large crosssectional study conducted in seven major Latin American cities, Boissonnet et al. [43] concluded that obesity should be considered as a socially-generated disease and an indicator of socioeconomic disadvantages.

Higher Baecke's work index among our patients with abdominal obesity than in those without abdominal obesity could be explained by the fact that obese patients, because of their lower education, were more frequently bluecollar workers.

The main drawback of the present study is its crosssectional design which does not make possible to judge causal relationship. Consequently, since all participants had clinical manifestation of carotid atherosclerosis, there was a possibility that analyzed variables might have been changed after the events.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, among patients with symptomatic carotid disease, the abdominal obesity was significantly related to other cardiovascular risk factors, especially metabolic syndrome and its components, and increased serum uric acid.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia through contract No. III41002 (2011-2014).

REFERENCES

- Olshansky SJ, Passaro DJ, Hershow RC, Layden J, Carnes BA, Brody J, et al. A potential decline in life expectancy in the United States in the 21st century. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352:1138-48.
- Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycemia. Report of a WHO/IDF Consultation. Part 1: Diabetes mellitus – Diagnosis. 2006.
- Republic of Serbia, Ministry of Health. National Health Survey, Serbia 2006 – Key Findings. Belgrade: Ministry of Health; 2006.
- Levitan EB, Yang AZ, Wolk A, Mittleman MA. Adiposity and incidence of heart failure hospitalization and mortality: A population based prospective study. Circ Heart Fail. 2009; 2:202-8.
- 5. Park JW, Lee SY, Kim SY, Choe H, Jee SH. BMI and stroke risk in Korean women. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2008; 16:396-401.
- Lear SA, Humphries KH, Kohli S, Frohlich JJ, Birmingham CL, Mancini GB. Visceral adipose tissue, a potential risk factor for carotid atherosclerosis: results of the Multicultural Community Health Assessment Trial (M-CHAT). Stroke. 2007; 38:2422-9.
- Burke GL, Bertoni G, Shea S, Tracy R, Watson K, Blumenthal RS, et al. The impact of obesity on cardiovascular risk factors on subclinical vascular disease: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Arch Intern Med. 2008; 168:928-35.
- Jansen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Ross R. Body mass index, waist circumference, and health risk: evidence in support of current National Institutes of Health guidelines. Arch Intern Med. 2002; 162:2074-9.
- Canoy D, Boekholdt SM, Wareham N, Luben R, Welch A, Bingham S, et al. Body fat distribution and risk of coronary heart disease in men and women in the European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and Nutrition in Norfolk cohort: a population-based prospective study. Circulation. 2007; 116:2933-43.
- Barnett HJM, Taylor DW, Eliasziw M, Fox AJ, Ferguson GG, Haynes RB, et al. Benefit of Carotid Endarectomy in Patients with Symptomatic Moderate or Severe Stenosis. N Engl J Med. 1998; 339:1415-25.
- 11. World Health Organization. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Geneva: WHO; 1998.
- Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Hypertension. 2003; 42:1206-52.
- Centers for Disease Control/American Heart Association Workshop on Inflammatory Markers, and Cardiovascular Disease: application to clinical and public health practice: Atlanta, March 14-15, 2002. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2002.
- Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NECP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment panel III). Final report. Circulation. 2002; 106:3143-421.
- 15. Freiberg MS, Cabral HJ, Heeren TC, Vasan RS, Curtis Ellison R; Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Alcohol consumption and the prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome in the US: a cross-sectional analysis of data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Diabetes Care. 2004; 27:2954-9.
- Baecke JA, Burema HJ, Fritjers JER. A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 1982; 36:932-42.
- 17. Allison PD. Logistic Regression Using SAS: Theory and Application. 8th ed. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, Inc.; 2009. p.50.
- Van Gaal LF, Mertens IL, De Block CE. Mechanisms linking obesity with cardiovascular disease. Nature. 2006; 444:875-80.
- Garg A, Misra A. Lipodystrophies: rare disorders causing metabolic syndrome. Endocrinol Metab Clin Orth Am. 2004; 33:305-31.
- Danforth E. Failure of adipocyte differentiation causes type II diabetes mellitus? Nature Genet. 2000; 26(1):13.
- 21. Després JP. Is visceral obesity the cause of the metabolic syndrome? Ann Med. 2006; 38:52-63.
- Després JP, Lemieux I. Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome. Nature. 2006; 444:881-7.
- Després JP, Lemieux I, Bergeron J, Pibarot P, Mathieu P, Larose E, et al. Abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome: contribution to global cardiometabolic risk. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2008; 28:1039-49.

- 24. Greco AV, Mingrone G, Giancaterini A, Manco M, Morroni M, Cinti S, et al. Insulin resistance in morbid obesity: reversal with intramyocellular fat depletion. Diabetes. 2002; 51:144-51.
- Yu C, Chen Y, Cline GW, Zhang D, Zong H, Wang Y, et al. Mechanism by which fatty acids inhibit insulin activation of insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1)-associated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity in muscle. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:50230-6.
- Holst D, Grimaldi PA. New factors in the regulation of adipose tissue differentiation and metabolism. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2002; 13:241-6.
- Fried SK, Bunkin DA, Greenberg AS. Omental and subcutaneous adipose tissues of obese subjects release interleukin-6: depot difference and regulation by glucocorticoid. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1998; 83:847-50.
- Miranda PJ, DeFronzo RA, Califf R M, Guyton JR Metabolic syndrome: definition, pathophysiology, and mechanisms. Am Heart J. 2005; 149:33-45.
- Liese AD, Hense HW, Lowel H, Doring A, Tietze M, Keil U. Association of serum uric acid with all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality and incident myocardial infarction in the MONICA Augsburg cohort. World Health Organization Monitoring Trends and Determinants in Cardiovascular Diseases. Epidemiology. 1999; 10:391-7.
- Lehto S, Niskanen L, Rönnemaa T, Laakso M. Serum uric acid is a strong predictor of stroke in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Stroke. 1998; 29:635-9.
- Langlois M, De Bacquer D, Duprez D, De Buyzere M, Delanghe J, Blaton V. Serum uric acid in hypertensive patients with and without peripheral arterial disease. Atherosclerosis. 2003; 68:163-8.
- Kim SY, Guevara JP, Kim KM, Choi HK, Heitjan DF, Albert DA. Hyperuricemia and risk of stroke: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Arthritis Rheum. 2009; 61:885-92.
- Loenen HM, Eshius H, Lowik MR, Schouten EG, Hulshof KF, Odink J, et al. Serum uric acid correlates in elderly men and women with special reference to body composition and dietary intake (Dutch Nutrition Surveillance System). J Clin Epidemiol. 1990; 43:1297-303.
- Oyama C, Takahashi T, Oyamada M, Oyamada T, Ohno T, Miyashita M, et al. Serum uric acid as an obesity-related indicator in early adolescence. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2006; 209:257-62.
- Onat A, Uyarel H, Hergenç G, Karabulut A, Albayrak S, Sar I. Serum Uric Acid is a determinant of metabolic syndrome in a populationbased study. Am J Hypertens. 2006; 19:1055-62.
- Sadr SM, Namayandeh SM, Moadares MM, Rafiei M. Serum uric acid levels and its association with cardiovascular risk factors. Iranian J Publ Health. 2009; 38:53-9.
- Nagahama K, Iseki K, Inoue T, Touma T, Ikemiya Y, Takishita S. Hyperuricemia and cardiovascular risk factor clustering in a screened cohort in Okinawa, Japan. Hypertens Res. 2004; 27:227-33.
- Numata T, Miyatake N, Wadab J, Makinob H. Comparison of serum uric acid levels between Japanese with and without metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2008; 80(1):e1-e5.
- Sui X, Church TS, Meriwether RA, Lobelo F, Blair SN. Uric acid and the development of metabolic syndrome in women and men. Metabolism. 2008; 57:845-52.
- Dehghan A, Van Hoek M, Sijbrands EJG, Hofman A, Witteman JCM. High serum uric acid as a novel risk factor for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2008; 31:361-2.
- Ishizaka N, Ishizaka Y, Toda E, Nagai R, Yamakado M. Association between serum uric acid, metabolic syndrome, and carotid atherosclerosis in Japanese individuals. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2005; 25:1038-44.
- 42. Hajian-Tilaki KO. Association of educational level with risk of obesity and abdominal obesity in Iranian adults. J Pub Health. 2010; 32:202-9.
- Boissonnet C, Schargrodsky H, Pellegrini F, Machhia A, Champagne BM, Wilson E, et al. Educational inequalities in obesity, abdominal obesity, and metabolic syndrome in seven Latin American cities: the CARMELA Study. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2011; 18:550-6.

Веза између абдоминалне гојазности и других кардиоваскуларних фактора ризика код особа оболелих од симптоматске каротидне болести – студија пресека

Милош Максимовић¹, Христина Влајинац², Ђорђе Радак^{3,4}, Јелена Маринковић⁵, Јадранка Максимовић², Јагода Јорга¹

- ¹Институт за хигијену и медицинску екологију, Медицински факултет, Универзитет у Београду, Београд, Србија;
- ²Институт за епидемиологију, Медицински факултет, Универзитет у Београду, Београд, Србија;
- ³Институт за кардиоваскуларне болести "Дедиње", Београд, Србија;
- ⁴Медицински факултет, Универзитет у Београду, Београд, Србија;

5Институт за медицинску статистику и информатику, Медицински факултет, Универзитет у Београду, Београд, Србија

КРАТАК САДРЖАЈ

Увод Гојазност, посебно абдоминална, један је од главних фактора ризика за настанак кардиоваскуларних болести. **Циљ рада** Циљ рада је био да се утврди веза између абдо-

циљ рада циљ рада је оио да се утврди веза између аодоминалне гојазности и других фактора ризика за кардиоваскуларне болести.

Методе рада Студијом пресека обухваћено је 657 особа са дијагностикованом каротидном болешћу. Каротидна болест је дијагностикована на основу доплер налаза. Абдоминална гојазност је одређена као обим струка већи од 102 *ст* код мушкараца и 88 *ст* код жена.

Резултати Абдоминална гојазност је забележена код 324 испитаника (49,3%). Мултиваријантном анализом је утврђено да је она значајно повезана са женским полом, повећаним Бекеовим (*Baecke*) индексом физичке активности на послу, нижом стручном спремом (до 12 година школовања), метаболичким синдромом, повишеним нивоом триглицерида, хипергликемијом и високим нивоом мокраћне киселине. Пушење, пијење алкохолних пића, физичка неактивност, повећан ниво укупног холестерола и холестерола мале и велике густине, повишена концентрација С-реактивног протеина и фибриногена и антилипидна и антидијабетичка терапија нису били значајно повезани са абдоминалном гојазношћу. Закључак Абдоминална гојазност код особа са симптоматском каротидном болешћу значајно је повезана са другим факторима ризика за кардиоваскуларне болести, посебно с метаболичким синдромом, компонентама метаболичког синдрома и високим нивоом мокраћне киселине.

Кључне речи: абдоминална гојазност; каротидна болест; фактори ризика

Примљен • Received: 11/04/2012

Ревизија • Revision: 20/07/2012

Прихваћен • Accepted: 23/07/2012